


# FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY

## FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY



## CLARKE COUNTY, IOWA

### AND INCORPORATED AREAS

COMMUNITY NAME	NUMBER
CLARKE COUNTY, UNINCORPORATED AREAS	190856
MURRAY, CITY OF <sup>1</sup>	190625
OSCEOLA, CITY OF	190637
WOODBURN, CITY OF	190070

<sup>1</sup> No Special Flood Hazard Areas Identified



# FEMA

**PRELIMINARY**

**April 12, 2016**

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY NUMBER  
19039CV000B

2.3.3.0

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<b>SECTION 1.0 – INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 The National Flood Insurance Program	1
1.2 Purpose of this Flood Insurance Study Report	2
1.3 Jurisdictions Included in the Flood Insurance Study Project	2
1.4 Considerations for using this Flood Insurance Study Report	3
<b>SECTION 2.0 – FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS</b>	<b>16</b>
2.1 Floodplain Boundaries	16
2.2 Floodways	16
2.3 Base Flood Elevations	19
2.4 Non-Encroachment Zones	19
2.5 Coastal Flood Hazard Areas	19
2.5.1 Water Elevations and the Effects of Waves	19
2.5.2 Floodplain Boundaries and BFEs for Coastal Areas	19
2.5.3 Coastal High Hazard Areas	19
2.5.4 Limit of Moderate Wave Action	20
<b>SECTION 3.0 – INSURANCE APPLICATIONS</b>	<b>20</b>
3.1 National Flood Insurance Program Insurance Zones	20
3.2 Coastal Barrier Resources System	20
<b>SECTION 4.0 – AREA STUDIED</b>	<b>21</b>
4.1 Basin Description	21
4.2 Principal Flood Problems	21
4.3 Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures	22
4.4 Levees	22
<b>SECTION 5.0 – ENGINEERING METHODS</b>	<b>23</b>
5.1 Hydrologic Analyses	23
5.2 Hydraulic Analyses	25
5.3 Coastal Analyses	29
5.3.1 Total Stillwater Elevations	29
5.3.2 Waves	29
5.3.3 Coastal Erosion	29
5.3.4 Wave Hazard Analyses	29
5.4 Alluvial Fan Analyses	30
<b>SECTION 6.0 – MAPPING METHODS</b>	<b>31</b>
6.1 Vertical and Horizontal Control	31
6.2 Base Map	32
6.3 Floodplain and Floodway Delineation	32

6.4	Coastal Flood Hazard Mapping	33
6.5	FIRM Revisions	34
6.5.1	Letters of Map Amendment	34
6.5.2	Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill	34
6.5.3	Letters of Map Revision	34
6.5.4	Physical Map Revisions	35
6.5.5	Contracted Restudies	35
6.5.6	Community Map History	35
<b>SECTION 7.0 – CONTRACTED STUDIES AND COMMUNITY COORDINATION</b>		<b>37</b>
7.1	Contracted Studies	37
7.2	Community Meetings	37
<b>SECTION 8.0 – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</b>		<b>39</b>
<b>SECTION 9.0 – BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES</b>		<b>40</b>

#### Figures

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 1: FIRM Panel Index	7
Figure 2: FIRM Notes to Users	9
Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM	12
Figure 4: Floodway Schematic	17
Figure 5: Wave Runup Transect Schematic	19
Figure 6: Coastal Transect Schematic	20
Figure 7: Frequency Discharge-Drainage Area Curves	24
Figure 8: 1% Annual Chance Total Stillwater Elevations for Coastal Areas	29
Figure 9: Transect Location Map	30

#### Tables

	<u>Page</u>
Table 1: Listing of NFIP Jurisdictions	2
Table 2: Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report	18
Table 3: Flood Zone Designations by Community	20
Table 4: Coastal Barrier Resources System Information	21
Table 5: Basin Characteristics	21
Table 6: Principal Flood Problems	21
Table 7: Historic Flooding Elevations	22
Table 8: Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures	22
Table 9: Levees	22
Table 10: Summary of Discharges	24
Table 11: Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevations	24
Table 12: Stream Gage Information used to Determine Discharges	24
Table 13: Summary of Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analyses	26
Table 14: Roughness Coefficients	28
Table 15: Summary of Coastal Analyses	29

Table 16: Tide Gage Analysis Specifics	29
Table 17: Coastal Transect Parameters	29
Table 18: Summary of Alluvial Fan Analyses	30
Table 19: Results of Alluvial Fan Analyses	30
Table 20: Countywide Vertical Datum Conversion	31
Table 21: Stream-by-Stream Vertical Datum Conversion	31
Table 22: Base Map Sources	32
Table 23: Summary of Topographic Elevation Data used in Mapping	33
Table 24: Floodway Data	33
Table 25: Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data for Selected Streams	33
Table 26: Summary of Coastal Transect Mapping Considerations	33
Table 27: Incorporated Letters of Map Change	35
Table 28: Community Map History	36
Table 29: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report	37
Table 30: Community Meetings	38
Table 31: Map Repositories	39
Table 32: Additional Information	40
Table 33: Bibliography and References	41

**Volume 1**  
Exhibits

Flood Profiles

**[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

**Published Separately**

Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)

# FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY REPORT CLARKE COUNTY, IOWA

## SECTION 1.0 – INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 The National Flood Insurance Program

The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) is a voluntary Federal program that enables property owners in participating communities to purchase insurance protection against losses from flooding. This insurance is designed to provide an insurance alternative to disaster assistance to meet the escalating costs of repairing damage to buildings and their contents caused by floods.

For decades, the national response to flood disasters was generally limited to constructing flood-control works such as dams, levees, sea-walls, and the like, and providing disaster relief to flood victims. This approach did not reduce losses nor did it discourage unwise development. In some instances, it may have actually encouraged additional development. To compound the problem, the public generally could not buy flood coverage from insurance companies, and building techniques to reduce flood damage were often overlooked.

In the face of mounting flood losses and escalating costs of disaster relief to the general taxpayers, the U.S. Congress created the NFIP. The intent was to reduce future flood damage through community floodplain management ordinances, and provide protection for property owners against potential losses through an insurance mechanism that requires a premium to be paid for the protection.

The U.S. Congress established the NFIP on August 1, 1968, with the passage of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968. The NFIP was broadened and modified with the passage of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and other legislative measures. It was further modified by the National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 and the Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2004. The NFIP is administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), which is a component of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

Participation in the NFIP is based on an agreement between local communities and the Federal Government. If a community adopts and enforces floodplain management regulations to reduce future flood risks to new construction and substantially improved structures in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs), the Federal Government will make flood insurance available within the community as a financial protection against flood losses. The community's floodplain management regulations must meet or exceed criteria established in accordance with Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 60.3, *Criteria for land Management and Use*.

SFHAs are delineated on the community's Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). Under the NFIP, buildings that were built before the flood hazard was identified on the community's FIRMs are generally referred to as "Pre-FIRM" buildings. When the NFIP was created, the U.S. Congress recognized that insurance for Pre-FIRM buildings would be prohibitively expensive if the premiums were not subsidized by the Federal Government. Congress also recognized that most of these floodprone buildings were built by individuals who did not have sufficient knowledge of the flood hazard to make informed decisions. The NFIP requires that full actuarial rates reflecting the complete flood risk be charged on all buildings constructed or substantially improved on or after

the effective date of the initial FIRM for the community or after December 31, 1974, whichever is later. These buildings are generally referred to as “Post-FIRM” buildings.

## 1.2 Purpose of this Flood Insurance Study Report

This Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report revises and updates information on the existence and severity of flood hazards for the study area. The studies described in this report developed flood hazard data that will be used to establish actuarial flood insurance rates and to assist communities in efforts to implement sound floodplain management.

In some states or communities, floodplain management criteria or regulations may exist that are more restrictive than the minimum Federal requirements. Contact your State NFIP Coordinator to ensure that any higher State standards are included in the community’s regulations.

## 1.3 Jurisdictions Included in the Flood Insurance Study Project

This FIS Report covers the entire geographic area of Clarke County, Iowa.

The jurisdictions that are included in this project area, along with the Community Identification Number (CID) for each community and the 8-digit Hydrologic Unit Codes (HUC-8) sub-basins affecting each, are shown in Table 1. The Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel numbers that affect each community are listed. If the flood hazard data for the community is not included in this FIS Report, the location of that data is identified.

The location of flood hazard data for participating communities in multiple jurisdictions is also indicated in the table.

Jurisdictions that have no identified SFHAs as of the effective date of this study are indicated in the table. Changed conditions in these communities (such as urbanization or annexation) or the availability of new scientific or technical data about flood hazards could make it necessary to determine SFHAs in these jurisdictions in the future.

**Table 1: Listing of NFIP Jurisdictions**

Community	CID	HUC-8 Sub-Basin(s)	Located on FIRM Panel(s)	If Not Included, Location of Flood Hazard Data
Clarke County Unincorporated Areas	190856	07100008, 10280102, 10280201	19039C0025B, 19039C0050B, 19039C0075B, 19039C0100B, 19039C0125B, 19039C0150B, 19039C0162B, 19039C0175B, 19039C0184B, 19039C0189B, 19039C0191B,	

Clarke County Unincorporated Areas			19039C0192B, 19039C0193B, 19039C0194B, 19039C0200B, 19039C0211B, 19039C0213B, 19039C0225B, 19039C0238B, 19039C0239B, 19039C0250B, 19039C0275B, 19039C0300B, 19039C0306B, 19039C0325B, 19039C0350B, 19039C0375B	
City of Murray	190625	07100008, 10280102	19039C0162B	
City of Osceola	190637	07100008	19039C0184B, 19039C0189B, 19039C0191B, 19039C0192B, 19039C0193B, 19039C0194B, 19039C0211B, 19039C0213B, 19039C0306B	
City of Woodburn	190070	07100008	19039C0238B, 19039C0239B	

<sup>1</sup>No Special Flood Hazard Areas Identified

#### 1.4 Considerations for using this Flood Insurance Study Report

The NFIP encourages State and local governments to implement sound floodplain management programs. To assist in this endeavor, each FIS Report provides floodplain data, which may include a combination of the following: 10-, 4-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2-percent annual chance flood elevations (the 1% annual chance flood elevation is also referred to as the Base Flood Elevation (BFE)); delineations of the 1% annual chance and 0.2% annual chance floodplains; and 1% annual chance floodway. This information is presented on the FIRM and/or in many components of the FIS Report, including Flood Profiles, Floodway Data tables, Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevations tables, and Coastal Transect Parameters tables (not all components may be provided for a specific FIS).

This section presents important considerations for using the information contained in this FIS

Report and the FIRM, including changes in format and content. Figures 1, 2, and 3 present information that applies to using the FIRM with the FIS Report.

- Part or all of this FIS Report may be revised and republished at any time. In addition, part of this FIS Report may be revised by a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR), which does not involve republication or redistribution of the FIS Report. Refer to Section 6.5 of this FIS Report for information about the process to revise the FIS Report and/or FIRM.

It is, therefore, the responsibility of the user to consult with community officials by contacting the community repository to obtain the most current FIS Report components. Communities participating in the NFIP have established repositories of flood hazard data for floodplain management and flood insurance purposes. Community map repository addresses are provided in Table 31, “Map Repositories,” within this FIS Report.

- New FIS Reports are frequently developed for multiple communities, such as entire counties. A countywide FIS Report incorporates previous FIS Reports for individual communities and the unincorporated area of the county (if not jurisdictional) into a single document and supersedes those documents for the purposes of the NFIP.

The initial Countywide FIS Report for Clarke County became effective on (DATE). Refer to Table 28 for information about subsequent revisions to the FIRMs.

- Selected FIRM panels for the community may contain information (such as floodways and cross sections) that was previously shown separately on the corresponding Flood Boundary and Floodway Map panels. In addition, former flood hazard zone designations have been changed as follows:

<u>Old Zone</u>	<u>New Zone</u>
A1 through A30	AE
V1 through V30	VE
B	X (shaded)
C	X (unshaded)


- The CRS is a voluntary incentive program that recognizes and encourages community floodplain management activities that exceed the minimum NFIP requirements. Visit the FEMA Web site at [www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-community-rating-system](http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-community-rating-system) contact your appropriate FEMA Regional Office for more information about this program.
- FEMA has developed a *Guide to Flood Maps* (FEMA 258) and online tutorials to assist users in accessing the information contained on the FIRM. These include how to read panels and step-by-step instructions to obtain specific information. To obtain this guide and other assistance in using the FIRM, visit the FEMA Web site at [www.fema.gov/online-tutorials](http://www.fema.gov/online-tutorials).

The FIRM Index in Figure 1 shows the overall FIRM panel layout within Clarke County, and also displays the panel number and effective date for each FIRM panel in the county. Other information

shown on the FIRM Index includes community boundaries, flooding sources, watershed boundaries, and United States Geological Survey (USGS) Hydrologic Unit Code – 8 (HUC-8) codes.

**Page Intentionally Left Blank**

Figure 1: FIRM Panel Index



Map Projection:  
State Plane Iowa South (FIPS Zone 1402) Coordinate System, Lambert Conformal Conic Projection; North American Datum 1983, GRS 1980 spheroid; North American Vertical Datum of 1988

THE INFORMATION DEPICTED ON THIS MAP AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION ARE ALSO AVAILABLE IN DIGITAL FORMAT AT

[HTTP://MSC.FEMA.GOV](http://MSC.FEMA.GOV)

SEE FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION



**NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM**  
FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP INDEX

CLARKE COUNTY, IOWA And Incorporated Areas  
PANELS PRINTED:  
0025, 0050, 0075, 0100, 0125, 0150, 0162, 0175, 0184, 0189, 0191, 0192, 0193, 0194, 0200, 0211, 0213, 0225, 0238, 0239, 0250, 0275, 0300, 0306, 0325, 0350, 0375



FEMA

MAP NUMBER  
19039CINDOA  
MAP EFFECTIVE

**Page Intentionally Left Blank**

Each FIRM panel may contain specific notes to the user that provide additional information regarding the flood hazard data shown on that map. However, the FIRM panel does not contain enough space to show all the notes that may be relevant in helping to better understand the information on the panel. Figure 2 contains the full list of these notes.

**Figure 2: FIRM Notes to Users**

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>NOTES TO USERS</b></p> <p>For information and questions about this map, available products associated with this FIRM including historic versions of this FIRM, how to order products, or the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call the FEMA Map Information eXchange at 1-877-FEMA-MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA Map Service Center website at <a href="http://msc.fema.gov/">http://msc.fema.gov/</a>. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. Many of these products can be ordered or obtained directly from the website. Users may determine the current map date for each FIRM panel by visiting the FEMA Map Service Center website or by calling the FEMA Map Information eXchange.</p> <p>Communities annexing land on adjacent FIRM panels must obtain a current copy of the adjacent panel as well as the current FIRM Index. These may be ordered directly from the Map Service Center at the number listed above.</p> <p>For community and countywide map dates, refer to Table 28 in this FIS Report.</p> <p>To determine if flood insurance is available in the community, contact your insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620.</p> <p><b><u>PRELIMINARY FIS REPORT:</u></b> FEMA maintains information about map features, such as street locations and names, in or near designated flood hazard areas. Requests to revise information in or near designated flood hazard areas may be provided to FEMA during the community review period, at the final Consultation Coordination Officer's meeting, or during the statutory 90-day appeal period. Approved requests for changes will be shown on the final printed FIRM.</p>
<p>The map is for use in administering the NFIP. It may not identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. Consult the community map repository to find updated or additional flood hazard information.</p> <p><b><u>BASE FLOOD ELEVATIONS:</u></b> For more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and/or floodways have been determined, consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables within this FIS Report. Use the flood elevation data within the FIS Report in conjunction with the FIRM for construction and/or floodplain management.</p> <p><b><u>FLOODWAY INFORMATION:</u></b> Boundaries of the floodways were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the FIS Report for this jurisdiction.</p>

## Figure 2. FIRM Notes to Users

**FLOOD CONTROL STRUCTURE INFORMATION:** Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to Section 4.3 "Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures" of this FIS Report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

**PROJECTION INFORMATION:** The projection used in the preparation of the map was State Plane 1402. The horizontal datum was NAD 83 GRS 1980 Spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or State Plane zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of the FIRM.

**ELEVATION DATUM:** Flood elevations on the FIRM are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at <http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/> or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

*NGS Information Services  
NOAA, N/NGS12  
National Geodetic Survey  
SSMC-3, #9202  
1315 East-West Highway  
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3282  
(301) 713-3242*

Local vertical monuments may have been used to create the map. To obtain current monument information, please contact the appropriate local community listed in Table 31 of this FIS Report.

**BASE MAP INFORMATION:** Base map information shown on the FIRM was provided by Iowa Geological and Water Survey, Department of Natural Resources (DNR). For information about base maps, refer to Section 6.2 "Base Map" in this FIS Report.

Corporate limits shown on the map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after the map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

**Figure 2. FIRM Notes to Users**

**NOTES FOR FIRM INDEX**

**REVISIONS TO INDEX:** As new studies are performed and FIRM panels are updated within Clarke County, Iowa, corresponding revisions to the FIRM Index will be incorporated within the FIS Report to reflect the effective dates of those panels. Please refer to Table 28 of this FIS Report to determine the most recent FIRM revision date for each community. The most recent FIRM panel effective date will correspond to the most recent index date.

**SPECIAL NOTES FOR SPECIFIC FIRM PANELS**

This Notes to Users section was created specifically for Clarke County, Iowa, effective **(DATE)**.

**FLOOD RISK REPORT:** A Flood Risk Report (FRR) may be available for many of the flooding sources and communities referenced in this FIS Report. The FRR is provided to increase public awareness of flood risk by helping communities identify the areas within their jurisdictions that have the greatest risks. Although non-regulatory, the information provided to reduce these risks. It can also be used by communities developing or updating flood risk mitigation plans. These plans allow communities to identify and evaluate opportunities to reduce potential loss of life and property. However, the FRR is not intended to be the final authoritative source of all flood risk data for a project area; the FRR is not intended to be the final authoritative source of all flood risk data for a project area; rather, it should be used with other data sources to paint a comprehensive picture of flood risk.

Each FIRM panel contains an abbreviated legend for the features shown on the maps. However, the FIRM panel does not contain enough space to show the legend for all map features. Figure 3 shows the full legend of all map features. Note that not all of these features may appear on the FIRM panels in Clarke County.






**Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM**

<p><b>SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS:</b> <i>The 1% annual chance flood, also known as the base flood or 100-year flood, has a 1% chance of happening or being exceeded each year. Special Flood Hazard Areas are subject to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. The Base Flood Elevation is the water surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood. The floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights. See note for specific types. If the floodway is too narrow to be shown, a note is shown.</i></p>	
	<p>Special Flood Hazard Areas subject to inundation by the 1% annual chance flood (Zones A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V and VE)</p>
<p>Zone A</p>	<p>The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance floodplains. No base (1% annual chance) flood elevations (BFEs) or depths are shown within this zone.</p>
<p>Zone AE</p>	<p>The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance floodplains. Base flood elevations derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown within this zone, either at cross section locations or as static whole-foot elevations that apply throughout the zone.</p>
<p>Zone AH</p>	<p>The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the areas of 1% annual chance shallow flooding (usually areas of ponding) where average depths are between 1 and 3 feet. Whole-foot BFEs derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown at selected intervals within this zone.</p>
<p>Zone AO</p>	<p>The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the areas of 1% annual chance shallow flooding (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain) where average depths are between 1 and 3 feet. Average whole-foot depths derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown within this zone.</p>
<p>Zone AR</p>	<p>The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to areas that were formerly protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decertified. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.</p>
<p>Zone A99</p>	<p>The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to areas of the 1% annual chance floodplain that will be protected by a Federal flood protection system where construction has reached specified statutory milestones. No base flood elevations or flood depths are shown within this zone.</p>
<p>Zone V</p>	<p>The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance coastal floodplains that have additional hazards associated with storm waves. Base flood elevations are not shown within this zone.</p>

**Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM**

Zone VE	Zone VE is the flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance coastal floodplains that have additional hazards associated with storm waves. Base flood elevations derived from the coastal analyses are shown within this zone as static whole-foot elevations that apply throughout the zone.
	Regulatory Floodway determined in Zone AE.
<b>OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD</b>	
	Shaded Zone X: Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood hazards and areas of 1% annual chance flood hazards with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile.
	Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard – Zone X: The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance floodplains that are determined based on future-conditions hydrology. No base flood elevations or flood depths are shown within this zone.
	Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee: Areas where an accredited levee, dike, or other flood control structure has reduced the flood risk from the 1% annual chance flood.
<b>OTHER AREAS</b>	
	Zone D (Areas of Undetermined Flood Hazard): The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to unstudied areas where flood hazards are undetermined, but possible
	Unshaded Zone X: Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance flood hazard
<b>FLOOD HAZARD AND OTHER BOUNDARY LINES</b>	
 (ortho)      (vector)	Flood Zone Boundary (white line on ortho-photography-based mapping; gray line on vector-based mapping)
	Limit of Study
	Jurisdiction Boundary
	Limit of Moderate Wave Action (LiMWA): Indicates the inland limit of the area affected by waves greater than 1.5 feet
<b>GENERAL STRUCTURES</b>	
 <i>Aqueduct</i> <i>Channel</i> <i>Culvert</i> <i>Storm Sewer</i>	Channel, Culvert, Aqueduct, or Storm Sewer
 <i>Dam</i> <i>Jetty</i> <i>Weir</i>	Dam, Jetty, Weir

**Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM**

  <i>Bridge</i>	<p>Levee, Dike or Floodwall</p> <p>Bridge</p>
<p><b>COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AND OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREAS (OPA):</b> <i>CBRS areas and OPAs are normally located within or adjacent to Special Flood Hazard Areas.</i></p>	
 <p><b>CBRS AREA</b> 09/30/2009</p>  <p><b>OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREA</b> 09/30/2009</p>	<p>Coastal Barrier Resources System Area: Labels are shown to clarify where this area shares a boundary with an incorporated area or overlaps with the floodway.</p> <p>Otherwise Protected Area</p>
<p><b>REFERENCE MARKERS</b></p>	
 <p>22.0</p>	<p>River mile Markers</p>
<p><b>CROSS SECTION &amp; TRANSECT INFORMATION</b></p>	
	<p>Lettered Cross Section with Regulatory Water Surface Elevation (BFE)</p>
	<p>Numbered Cross Section with Regulatory Water Surface Elevation (BFE)</p>
	<p>Unlettered Cross Section with Regulatory Water Surface Elevation (BFE)</p>
	<p>Coastal Transect</p>
 	<p>Profile Baseline: Indicates the modeled flow path of a stream and is shown on FIRM panels for all valid studies with profiles or otherwise established base flood elevation.</p> <p>Coastal Transect Baseline: Used in the coastal flood hazard model to represent the 0.0-foot elevation contour and the starting point for the transect and the measuring point for the coastal mapping.</p>
 <p><b>ZONE AE</b> (EL 16)</p>	<p>Base Flood Elevation Line (shown for flooding sources for which no cross sections or profile are available)</p> <p>Static Base Flood Elevation value (shown under zone label)</p>
<p><b>ZONE AO</b> (DEPTH 2)</p>	<p>Zone designation with Depth</p>

**Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM**

<p><b>ZONE AO (DEPTH 2) (VEL 15 FPS)</b></p>	<p>Zone designation with Depth and Velocity</p>
<p><b>BASE MAP FEATURES</b></p>	
<p><i>Missouri Creek</i> </p>	<p>River, Stream or Other Hydrographic Feature</p>
<p></p>	<p>Interstate Highway</p>
<p></p>	<p>U.S. Highway</p>
<p></p>	<p>State Highway</p>
<p></p>	<p>County Highway</p>
<p>MAPLE LANE </p>	<p>Street, Road, Avenue Name, or Private Drive if shown on Flood Profile</p>
<p> RAILROAD</p>	<p>Railroad</p>
<p></p>	<p>Horizontal Reference Grid Line</p>
<p></p>	<p>Horizontal Reference Grid Ticks</p>
<p></p>	<p>Secondary Grid Crosshairs</p>
<p>Land Grant</p>	<p>Name of Land Grant</p>
<p>7</p>	<p>Section Number</p>
<p>R. 43 W. T. 22 N.</p>	<p>Range, Township Number</p>
<p><b>4276<sup>000</sup>mE</b></p>	<p>Horizontal Reference Grid Coordinates (UTM)</p>
<p><b>365000 FT</b></p>	<p>Horizontal Reference Grid Coordinates (State Plane)</p>
<p><b>80° 16' 52.5"</b></p>	<p>Corner Coordinates (Latitude, Longitude)</p>

## **SECTION 2.0 – FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS**

### **2.1 Floodplain Boundaries**

To provide a national standard without regional discrimination, the 1% annual chance (100-year) flood has been adopted by FEMA as the base flood for floodplain management purposes. The 0.2% annual chance (500-year) flood is employed to indicate additional areas of flood hazard in the community.

Each flooding source included in the project scope has been studied and mapped using professional engineering and mapping methodologies that were agreed upon by FEMA and Clarke County as appropriate to the risk level. Flood risk is evaluated based on factors such as known flood hazards and projected impact on the built environment. Engineering analyses were performed for each studied flooding source to calculate its 1% annual chance flood elevations; elevations corresponding to other floods (e.g. 10-, 4-, 2-, 0.2-percent annual chance, etc.) may have also been computed for certain flooding sources. Engineering models and methods are described in detail in Section 5.0 of this FIS Report. The modeled elevations at cross sections were used to delineate the floodplain boundaries on the FIRM; between cross sections, the boundaries were interpolated using elevation data from various sources. More information on specific mapping methods is provided in Section 6.0 of this FIS Report.

Depending on accuracy of available topographic data (Table 23), study methodologies employed (Section 5.0), and flood risk, certain flooding sources may be mapped to show both the 1% and 0.2% annual chance floodplain boundaries, regulatory water surface elevations (BFEs), and/or a regulatory floodway. Similarly, other flooding sources may be mapped to show only the 1% annual chance floodplain boundary on the FIRM, without published water surface elevations. In cases where the 1% and 0.2% annual chance floodplain boundaries are close together, only the 1% annual chance floodplain boundary is shown on the FIRM. Each FIRM panel contains an abbreviated legend of the features shown on the maps. However, the FIRM panel does not contain enough space to show the legend for all map features. Figure 3 shows the full legend of all map features. Note that not all of these features may appear on the FIRM panels in Clarke County.

Table 2, “Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report,” lists each flooding source, including its study limits, affected communities, mapped zone on the FIRM, and the completion date of its engineering analysis from which the flood elevations on the FIRM and in the FIS Report were derived. Descriptions and dates for the latest hydrologic and hydraulic analyses of the flooding sources are shown in Table 13. Floodplain boundaries for these flooding sources are shown on the FIRM (published separately) using the symbology described in Figure 3.

Small areas within the floodplain boundaries may lie above the flood elevations but cannot be shown due to limitations of the map scale and/or lack of detailed topographic data. The procedures to remove these areas from the SFHA are described in Section 6.5 of this FIS Report.

### **2.2 Floodways**


Encroachment on floodplains, such as structures and fill, reduces flood-carrying capacity, increases flood heights and velocities, and increases flood hazards in areas beyond the encroachment itself. One aspect of floodplain management involves balancing the economic gain

from floodplain development against the resulting increase in flood hazard.

For purposes of the NFIP, a floodway is used as a tool to assist local communities in balancing floodplain development against increasing flood hazard. With this approach, the area of the 1% annual chance floodplain on a river is divided into a floodway and a floodway fringe based on hydraulic modeling. The floodway is the channel of a stream, plus any adjacent floodplain areas, that must be kept free of encroachment in order to carry the 1% annual chance flood. The floodway fringe is the area between the floodway and the 1% annual chance floodplain boundaries where encroachment is permitted. The floodway must be wide enough so that the floodway fringe could be completely obstructed without increasing the water surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood more than 1 foot at any point. Typical relationships between the floodway and the floodway fringe and their significance to floodplain development are shown in Figure 4.

To participate in the NFIP, Federal regulations require communities to limit increases caused by encroachment to 1.0 foot, provided that hazardous velocities are not produced. Regulations for Io require communities in Clarke County to limit increases caused by encroachment to 1.0 foot and several communities have adopted additional restrictions. The floodways in this project are presented to local agencies as minimum standards that can be adopted directly or that can be used as a basis for additional floodway projects.

**Figure 4: Floodway Schematic**



There are no floodways calculated for this FIS Project.

**Table 2: Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report**

Flooding Source	Community	Downstream Limit	Upstream Limit	HUC-8 Sub-Basin(s)	Length (mi) (streams or coastlines)	Area (mi <sup>2</sup> ) (estuaries or ponding)	Floodway (Y/N)	Zone shown on FIRM	Date of Analysis
Flooding sources within Lake Red Rock Watershed	Clarke County (Unincorporated Areas), City of Osceola, City of Woodburn	At the mouth of Lake Red Rock Watershed	At the headwaters of Lake Red Rock Watershed	07100008	268.3	299.8	N	A	12/31/2014
Flooding sources within Thompson Watershed	Clarke County (Unincorporated Areas)	At the mouth of Thompson Watershed	At the headwaters of Thompson Watershed	10280102	81.3	107.6	N	A	12/31/2014
Flooding sources within Upper Chariton	Clarke County (Unincorporated Areas)	At the mouth of Upper Chariton Watershed	At the headwaters of Upper Chariton Watershed	10280201	25.5	24.3	N	A	12/31/2014

## **2.3 Base Flood Elevations**

The hydraulic characteristics of flooding sources were analyzed to provide estimates of the elevations of floods of the selected recurrence intervals. The Base Flood Elevation (BFE) is the elevation of the 1% annual chance flood. These BFEs are most commonly rounded to the whole foot, as shown on the FIRM, but in certain circumstances or locations they may be rounded to 0.1 foot. Cross section lines shown on the FIRM may also be labeled with the BFE rounded to 0.1 foot. Whole-foot BFEs derived from engineering analyses that apply to coastal areas, areas of ponding, or other static areas with little elevation change may also be shown at selected intervals on the FIRM.

Cross sections with BFEs shown on the FIRM correspond to the cross sections shown in the Floodway Data table and Flood Profiles in this FIS Report. BFEs are primarily intended for flood insurance rating purposes. For construction and/or floodplain management purposes, users are cautioned to use the flood elevation data presented in this FIS Report in conjunction with the data shown on the FIRM.

## **2.4 Non-Encroachment Zones**

Regulations for IA require communities in Clarke County to limit increases caused by encroachment to 1.0 foot and several communities have adopted additional restrictions for non-encroachment areas.

## **2.5 Coastal Flood Hazard Areas**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

### **2.5.1 Water Elevations and the Effects of Waves**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

### **Figure 5: Wave Runup Transect Schematic**

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

### **2.5.2 Floodplain Boundaries and BFEs for Coastal Areas**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

### **2.5.3 Coastal High Hazard Areas**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

## Figure 6: Coastal Transect Schematic

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

### 2.5.4 Limit of Moderate Wave Action

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

## SECTION 3.0 – INSURANCE APPLICATIONS

### 3.1 National Flood Insurance Program Insurance Zones

For flood insurance applications, the FIRM designates flood insurance rate zones as described in Figure 3. Each FIRM panel contains an abbreviated legend for the features shown on the maps. However, the FIRM panel does not contain enough space to show the legend for all map features. Figure 3 shows the full legend of all map features. Note that not all of these features may appear on the FIRM panels in Clarke County.

Flood insurance zone designations are assigned to flooding sources based on the results of the hydraulic or coastal analyses. Insurance agents use the zones shown on the FIRM and depths and base flood elevations in this FIS Report in conjunction with information on structures and their contents to assign premium rates for flood insurance policies.

The 1% annual chance floodplain boundary corresponds to the boundary of the areas of special flood hazards (e.g. Zones A, AE, V, VE, etc.), and the 0.2% annual chance floodplain boundary corresponds to the boundary of areas of additional flood hazards.

Table 3 lists the flood insurance zones in the unincorporated and incorporated areas of Clarke County.

**Table 3: Flood Zone Designations by Community**

Community	Flood Zone(s)
Clarke County, Unincorporated Areas	A, X
Murray, City of	X
Osceola, City of	A, X
Woodburn, City of	A, X

### 3.2 Coastal Barrier Resources System

The Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA) of 1982 was established by Congress to create areas along the Atlantic and Gulf coasts and the Great Lakes, where restrictions for Federal financial

assistance including flood insurance are prohibited. In 1990, Congress passed the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act (CBIA), which increased the extent of areas established by the CBRA and added “Otherwise Protected Areas” (OPA) to the system. These areas are collectively referred to as the John. H Chafee Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS). The CBRS boundaries that have been identified in the project area are in Table 4, “Coastal Barrier Resource System Information.”

**Table 4: Coastal Barrier Resources System Information**

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

**SECTION 4.0 – AREA STUDIED**

**4.1 Basin Description**

Table 5 contains a description of the characteristics of the HUC-8 sub-basins within which each community falls. The table includes the main flooding sources within each basin, a brief description of the basin, and its drainage area.

**Table 5: Basin Characteristics**

HUC-8 Sub-Basin Name	HUC-8 Sub-Basin Number	Primary Flooding Source	Description of Affected Area	Drainage Area (Square Miles)
Lake Red Rock	07100008	Lake Red Rock	This is the Clarke County portion of the Lake Red Rock, HUC8 ID(07100008), which drains to the Des Moines River Basin	2442
Thompson	10280102	Thompson	This is the Clarke County portion of the Thompson, HUC8 ID(10280102), which drains to the Grand River Basin	2201
Upper Chariton	10280201	Upper Chariton	This is the Clarke County portion of the Upper Chariton, HUC8 ID(10280201), which drains to the Chariton River Basin	1352

**4.2 Principal Flood Problems**

Table 6 contains a description of the principal flood problems that have been noted for Clarke County by flooding source.

**Table 6: Principal Flood Problems**

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

Table 7 contains information about historic flood elevations in the communities within Clarke County.

**Table 7: Historic Flooding Elevations**

**[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

**4.3 Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures**

Table 8 contains information about non-levee flood protection measures within Clarke County such as dams, jetties, and or dikes. Levees are addressed in Section 4.4 of this FIS Report.

**Table 8: Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures**

**[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

**4.4 Levees**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**Table 9: Levees**

**[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

## **SECTION 5.0 – ENGINEERING METHODS**

For the flooding sources in the community, standard hydrologic and hydraulic study methods were used to determine the flood hazard data required for this study. Flood events of a magnitude that are expected to be equaled or exceeded at least once on the average during any 10-, 25-, 50-, 100-, or 500-year period (recurrence interval) have been selected as having special significance for floodplain management and for flood insurance rates. These events, commonly termed the 10-, 25-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year floods, have a 10-, 4-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2% annual chance, respectively, of being equaled or exceeded during any year.

Although the recurrence interval represents the long-term, average period between floods of a specific magnitude, rare floods could occur at short intervals or even within the same year. The risk of experiencing a rare flood increases when periods greater than 1 year are considered. For example, the risk of having a flood that equals or exceeds the 100-year flood (1-percent chance of annual exceedance) during the term of a 30-year mortgage is approximately 26 percent (about 3 in 10); for any 90-year period, the risk increases to approximately 60 percent (6 in 10). The analyses reported herein reflect flooding potentials based on conditions existing in the community at the time of completion of this study. Maps and flood elevations will be amended periodically to reflect future changes.

### **5.1 Hydrologic Analyses**

Hydrologic analyses were carried out to establish the peak elevation-frequency relationships for floods of the selected recurrence intervals for each flooding source studied. Hydrologic analyses are typically performed at the watershed level. Depending on factors such as watershed size and shape, land use and urbanization, and natural or man-made storage, various models or methodologies may be applied. A summary of the hydrologic methods applied to develop the discharges used in the hydraulic analyses for each stream is provided in Table 13. Greater detail (including assumptions, analysis, and results) is available in the archived project documentation.

**Table 10: Summary of Discharges**

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

**Figure 7: Frequency Discharge-Drainage Area Curves**

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

**Table 11: Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevations**

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

**Table 12: Stream Gage Information used to Determine Discharges**

Flooding Source	Gage Identifier	Agency that Maintains Gage	Site Name	Drainage Area (Square Miles)	Period of Record	
					From	To
Chariton River	06903400	United States Geological Survey	Chariton River near Chariton, IA	1,582	6/5/1947	5/2/2012
South River	05487470	United States Geological Survey	South River near Ackworth, IA	3911	6/1/1930	7/20/2010
Squaw Creek	05470500	United States Geological Survey	Squaw Creek at Ames, IA	2683	6/4/1918	4/14/2012
White Breast Creek	05487980	United States Geological Survey	White Breast Creek near Dallas, IA	2807	6/11/1962	4/25/2010

## 5.2 Hydraulic Analyses

Analyses of the hydraulic characteristics of flooding from the sources studied were carried out to provide estimates of the elevations of floods of the selected recurrence intervals. Base flood elevations on the FIRM represent the elevations shown on the Flood Profiles and in the Floodway Data tables in the FIS Report. Rounded whole-foot elevations may be shown on the FIRM in coastal areas, areas of ponding, and other areas with static base flood elevations. These whole-foot elevations may not exactly reflect the elevations derived from the hydraulic analyses. Flood elevations shown on the FIRM are primarily intended for flood insurance rating purposes. For construction and/or floodplain management purposes, users are cautioned to use the flood elevation data presented in this FIS Report in conjunction with the data shown on the FIRM.

A summary of the methods used in hydraulic analyses performed for this project is provided in Table 13. Roughness coefficients are provided in Table 14. Roughness coefficients are values representing the frictional resistance water experiences when passing overland or through a channel. They are used in the calculations to determine water surface elevations. Greater detail (including assumptions, analysis, and results) is available in the archived project documentation.

**Table 13: Summary of Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analyses**

Flooding Source	Study Limits		Hydrologic Model or Method Used	Hydraulic Model or Method Used	Date Analyses Completed	Flood Zone on FIRM	Special Considerations
	Downstream Limit	Upstream Limit					
Flooding sources within Lake Red Rock Watershed	At the mouth of Lake Red Rock Watershed	At the headwaters of Lake Red Rock Watershed	PeakFQ	HEC-RAS 4.1.0	12/31/2014	A	For streams studied by approximate methods, the peak flood discharges were computed for the 10-, 2-, 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance storm events using hydrologic analyses recommended by the Iowa Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) and the Iowa Department of Transportation. This hydrologic analysis method includes use of gage information and USGS regression equations. For additional information on the hydrologic analyses please refer to the Technical Support Data Notebook on the MIP
Flooding sources within Thompson Watershed	At the mouth of Thompson Watershed	At the headwaters of Thompson Watershed	PeakFQ	HEC-RAS 4.1.0	12/31/2014	A	For streams studied by approximate methods, the peak flood discharges were computed for the 10-, 2-, 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance storm events using hydrologic analyses recommended by the Iowa Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) and the Iowa Department of Transportation. This hydrologic analysis method includes use of gage information and USGS regression equations. For additional information on the hydrologic analyses please refer to the Technical Support Data Notebook on the MIP

Flooding Source	Study Limits		Hydrologic Model or Method Used	Hydraulic Model or Method Used	Date Analyses Completed	Flood Zone on FIRM	Special Considerations
	Downstream Limit	Upstream Limit					
Flooding sources within Upper Chariton	At the mouth of Upper Chariton Watershed	At the headwaters of Upper Chariton Watershed	PeakFQ	HEC-RAS 4.1.0	12/31/2014	A	For streams studied by approximate methods, the peak flood discharges were computed for the 10-, 2-, 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance storm events using hydrologic analyses recommended by the Iowa Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) and the Iowa Department of Transportation. This hydrologic analysis method includes use of gage information and USGS regression equations. For additional information on the hydrologic analyses please refer to the Technical Support Data Notebook on the MIP

**Table 14: Roughness Coefficients**

Flooding Source	Channel “n”	Overbank “n”
Bee Creek	0.035-0.45	0.030-0.120
Bird Creek	0.045	0.035-0.120
Brush Creek	0.035-0.045	0.020-0.120
Chariton Creek	0.035-0.045	0.020-0.120
East Long Creek	0.035-0.045	0.030-0.120
Hanner Creek	0.045	0.020-0.120
Hoosier Creek	0.035-0.045	0.030-0.120
Long Creek	0.035	0.030-0.120
Middle Otter Creek	0.035-0.045	0.030-0.120
Mouse Creek	0.045	0.020-0.120
North Hoosier Creek	0.045	0.030-0.120
North Otter Creek	0.035-0.045	0.030-0.120
Otter Creek	0.035-0.045	0.020-0.120
Sevenmile Creek	0.035-0.045	0.030-0.120
Short Creek	0.035-0.045	0.030-0.120
Skinaway Creek	0.045	0.030-0.120
South Otter Creek	0.035-0.045	0.030-0.120
South River	0.035-0.045	0.020-0.120
South Squaw Creek	0.020-0.045	0.020-0.120
South White Breast Creek	0.035-0.045	0.035-0.120
Squaw Creek	0.035-0.045	0.030-0.120
Victory Creek	0.045	0.030-0.120
Squaw Creek	0.035-0.045	0.030-0.120
Walnut Creek	0.035-0.045	0.020-0.120
Weldon River	0.035-0.045	0.020-0.070
West Long Creek	0.035-0.045	0.030-0.120
White Breast Creek	0.035-0.045	0.020-0.120
Wolf Creek	0.035-0.045	0.020-0.120
Flooding sources within Lake Red Rock Watershed	0.045	0.030-0.120
Flooding sources within Thompson Watershed	0.045	0.030-0.0120

Flooding Source	Channel “n”	Overbank “n”
Flooding sources within Upper Chariton Watershed	0.045	0.020-0.120

### 5.3 Coastal Analyses

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

#### **Table 15: Summary of Coastal Analyses**

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

#### 5.3.1 Total Stillwater Elevations

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

#### **Figure 8: 1% Annual Chance Total Stillwater Elevations for Coastal Areas**

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

#### **Table 16: Tide Gage Analysis Specifics**

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

#### 5.3.2 Waves

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

#### 5.3.3 Coastal Erosion

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

#### 5.3.4 Wave Hazard Analyses

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

#### **Table 17: Coastal Transect Parameters**

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

**Figure 9: Transect Location Map**  
[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

**5.4 Alluvial Fan Analyses**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**Table 18: Summary of Alluvial Fan Analyses**  
[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

**Table 19: Results of Alluvial Fan Analyses**  
[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

## SECTION 6.0 – MAPPING METHODS

### 6.1 Vertical and Horizontal Control

All FIS Reports and FIRMs are referenced to a specific vertical datum. The vertical datum provides a starting point against which flood, ground, and structure elevations can be referenced and compared. Until recently, the standard vertical datum used for newly created or revised FIS Reports and FIRMs was the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD29). With the completion of the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88), many FIS Reports and FIRMs are now prepared using NAVD88 as the referenced vertical datum.

Flood elevations shown in this FIS Report and on the FIRMs are referenced to NAVD 88. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between NGVD29 and NAVD88 or other datum conversion, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at [www.ngs.noaa.gov](http://www.ngs.noaa.gov), or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services  
NOAA, N/NGS12  
National Geodetic Survey  
SSMC-3, #9202  
1315 East-West Highway  
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3282  
(301) 713-3242

Temporary vertical monuments are often established during the preparation of a flood hazard analysis for the purpose of establishing local vertical control. Although these monuments are not shown on the FIRM, they may be found in the archived project documentation associated with the FIS Report and the FIRMs for this community. Interested individuals may contact FEMA to access these data.

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for benchmarks in the area, please contact information services Branch of the NGS at (301) 713-3242, or visit their website at [www.ngs.noaa.gov](http://www.ngs.noaa.gov).

The datum conversion locations and values that were calculated for Clarke County are provided in Table 20.

#### **Table 20: Countywide Vertical Datum Conversion**

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

#### **Table 21: Stream-by-Stream Vertical Datum Conversion**

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

## 6.2 Base Map

The FIRMs and FIS Report for this project have been produced in a digital format. The flood hazard information was converted to a Geographic Information System (GIS) format that meets FEMA’s FIRM database specifications and geographic information standards. This information is provided in a digital format so that it can be incorporated into a local GIS and be accessed more easily by the community. The FIRM Database includes most of the tabular information contained in the FIS Report in such a way that the data can be associated with pertinent spatial features. For example, the information contained in the Floodway Data table and Flood Profiles can be linked to the cross sections that are shown on the FIRMs. Additional information about the FIRM Database and its contents can be found in FEMA’s *Guidelines and Standards for Mapping Partners*, Appendix L. [www.fema.gov/guidelines-and-standards-flood-risk-analysis-and-mapping](http://www.fema.gov/guidelines-and-standards-flood-risk-analysis-and-mapping)

Base map information shown on the FIRM was derived from the sources described in Table 22.

**Table 22: Base Map Sources**

Data Type	Data Provider	Data Date	Data Scale	Data Description
Digital Orthophoto	Iowa Department of Natural Resources	2009	1: 400	Base Imagery
Political boundaries	US Census 2010	2010-2014	1:12,000	Municipal and county boundary
Transportation Features	US Census 2010 TIGER files	2010	1:12,000	Roads and railroad line data
Surface Water Features	Iowa Department of Natural Resources	2010	1:12,000	Streams, rivers, and lakes data
Public Land Survey System (PLSS)	Iowa Department of Natural Resources	1998	1:12,000	PLSS data were digitized from USGS quadrangles

## 6.3 Floodplain and Floodway Delineation

The FIRM shows tints, screens, and symbols to indicate floodplains and floodways as well as the locations of selected cross sections used in the hydraulic analyses and floodway computations.

For riverine flooding sources, the mapped floodplain boundaries shown on the FIRM have been delineated using the flood elevations determined at each cross section; between cross sections, the boundaries were interpolated using the topographic elevation data described in Table 23.

In cases where the 1% and 0.2% annual chance floodplain boundaries are close together, only the 1% annual chance floodplain boundary has been shown. Small areas within the floodplain boundaries may lie above the flood elevations but cannot be shown due to limitations of the map scale and/or lack of detailed topographic data.

The floodway widths presented in this FIS Report and on the FIRM were computed for certain stream segments on the basis of equal conveyance reduction from each side of the floodplain. Floodway widths were computed at cross sections. Between cross sections, the floodway boundaries were interpolated. Table 2 indicates the flooding sources for which floodways have been determined. The results of the floodway computations for those flooding sources have been tabulated for selected cross sections and are shown in Table 24, “Floodway Data.”

**Table 23: Summary of Topographic Elevation Data used in Mapping**

Community	Flooding Source	Source for Topographic Elevation Data					
		Description	Scale	Contour Interval	RMSE <sub>z</sub>	Accuracy <sub>z</sub>	Citation
Clarke County	All Sources	Light Detection and Ranging data (LiDAR)	N/A	N/A		18.5cm (bare earth) 37cm (dense vegetation)	Iowa Department of Natural Resources Iowa Statewide 1 M LiDAR Collection

BFEs shown at cross sections on the FIRM represent the 1% annual chance water surface elevations shown on the Flood Profiles and in the Floodway Data tables in the FIS Report.

**Table 24: Floodway Data**

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

**Table 25: Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data for Selected Streams**

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

#### 6.4 Coastal Flood Hazard Mapping

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**Table 26: Summary of Coastal Transect Mapping Considerations**

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

## 6.5 FIRM Revisions

This FIS Report and the FIRM are based on the most up-to-date information available to FEMA at the time of its publication; however, flood hazard conditions change over time. Communities or private parties may request flood map revisions at any time. Certain types of requests require submission of supporting data. FEMA may also initiate a revision. Revisions to FIS projects may take several forms, including Letters of Map Amendment (LOMAs), Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill (LOMR-Fs), Letters of Map Revision (LOMRs) (referred to collectively as Letters of Map Change (LOMCs)), Physical Map Revisions (PMRs), and FEMA-contracted restudies. These types of revisions are further described below. Some of these types of revisions do not result in the republishing of the FIS Report. To assure that any user is aware of all revisions, it is advisable to contact the community repository of flood-hazard data (shown in Table 31, “Map Repositories”).

### 6.5.1 Letters of Map Amendment

A LOMA is an official revision by letter to an effective NFIP map. A LOMA results from an administrative process that involves the review of scientific or technical data submitted by the owner or lessee of property who believes the property has incorrectly been included in a designated SFHA. A LOMA amends the currently effective FEMA map and establishes that a specific property is not located in a SFHA.

To obtain an application for a LOMR, visit [www.fema.gov/floodplain-management/letter-map-amendment-loma](http://www.fema.gov/floodplain-management/letter-map-amendment-loma) and download the form “MT-2 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional Letters of Map Revision and Letters of Map Revision”. Visit the “Flood Map-Related Fees” section to determine the cost of applying for a LOMA.

FEMA offers a tutorial on how to apply for a LOMA. The LOMA Tutorial Series can be accessed at <http://www.fema.gov/online-tutorials>.

For more information about how to apply for a LOMA, call the FEMA Map Information eXchange; toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627).

### 6.5.2 Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill

A LOMR-F is an official revision by letter to an effective NFIP map. A LOMR-F states FEMA’s determination concerning whether a structure or parcel has been elevated on fill above the base flood elevation and is, therefore, excluded from the SFHA.

Information about obtaining an application for a LOMR-F can be obtained in the same manner as that for a LOMA, by visiting [www.fema.gov/floodplain-management/letter-map-amendment-loma](http://www.fema.gov/floodplain-management/letter-map-amendment-loma) for the “MT-1 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional and Final Letters of Map Amendment and Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill” or by calling the FEMA Map Information eXchange, toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627). Fees for applying for a LOMR-F, if any, are listed in the “Flood Map-Related Fees” section.

A tutorial for LOMR-F is available at [www.fema.gov/online-tutorials](http://www.fema.gov/online-tutorials).

### 6.5.3 Letters of Map Revision

A LOMR is an official revision to the currently effective FEMA map. It is used to change flood

zones, floodplain and floodway delineations, flood elevations and planimetric features. All requests for LOMRs should be made to FEMA through the chief executive officer of the community, since it is the community that must adopt any changes and revisions to the map. If the request for a LOMR is not submitted through the chief executive officer of the community, evidence must be submitted that the community has been notified of the request.

To obtain an application for a LOMR, visit [www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-flood-hazard-mapping/mt-2-application-forms-and-instructions](http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-flood-hazard-mapping/mt-2-application-forms-and-instructions) and download the form “MT-2 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional Letters of Map Revision and Letters of Map Revision”. Visit the “Flood Map-Related Fees” section to determine the cost of applying for a LOMR. For more information about how to apply for a LOMR, call the FEMA Map Information eXchange; toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627) to speak to a Map Specialist.

Previously issued mappable LOMCs (including LOMRs) that have been incorporated into the Clarke County FIRM are listed in Table 27.

### **Table 27: Incorporated Letters of Map Change**

**[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

#### **6.5.4 Physical Map Revisions**

PMRs are an official republication of a community’s NFIP map to effect changes to base flood elevations, floodplain boundary delineations, regulatory floodways and planimetric features. These changes typically occur as a result of structural works or improvements, annexations resulting in additional flood hazard areas or correction to base flood elevations or SFHAs.

The community’s chief executive officer must submit scientific and technical data to FEMA to support the request for a PMR. The data will be analyzed and the map will be revised if warranted. The community is provided with copies of the revised information and is afforded a review period. When the base flood elevations are changed, a 90-day appeal period is provided. A 6-month adoption period for formal approval of the revised map(s) is also provided.

For more information about the PMR process, please visit <http://www.fema.gov> and visit the “Flood Map Revision Processes” section.

#### **6.5.5 Contracted Restudies**

The NFIP provides for a periodic review and restudy of flood hazards within a given community. FEMA accomplishes this through a national watershed-based mapping needs assessment strategy, known as the Coordinated Needs Management Strategy (CNMS). The CNMS is used by FEMA to assign priorities and allocate funding for new flood hazard analyses used to update the FIS Report and FIRM. The goal of CNMS is to define the validity of the engineering study data within a mapped inventory. The CNMS is used to track the assessment process, document engineering gaps and their resolution, and aid in prioritization for using flood risk as a key factor for areas identified for flood map updates. Visit <http://www.fema.gov> to learn more about the CNMS or contact the FEMA Regional Office listed in Section 8 of this FIS Report.

#### **6.5.6 Community Map History**

The current FIRM presents flooding information for the entire geographic area of Clarke County. Previously, separate FIRMs, Flood Hazard Boundary Maps (FHBMs) and/or Flood Boundary and Floodway Maps (FBFMs) may have been prepared for the incorporated communities and the

unincorporated areas in the county that had identified SFHAs. Current and historical data relating to the maps prepared for the project area are presented in Table 28, “Community Map History.” A description of each of the column headings and the source of the date is also listed below.

- *Community Name* includes communities falling within the geographic area shown on the FIRM, including those that fall on the boundary line, nonparticipating communities, and communities with maps that have been rescinded. Communities with No Special Flood Hazards are indicated by a footnote. If all maps (FHBM, FBFM, and FIRM) were rescinded for a community, it is not listed in this table unless SFHAs have been identified in this community.
- *Initial Identification Date (First NFIP Map Published)* is the date of the first NFIP map that identified flood hazards in the community. If the FHBM has been converted to a FIRM, the initial FHBM date is shown. If the community has never been mapped, the upcoming effective date or “pending” (for Preliminary FIS Reports) is shown. If the community is listed in Table 28 but not identified on the map, the community is treated as if it were unmapped.
- *Initial FHBM Effective Date* is the effective date of the first Flood Hazard Boundary Map (FHBM). This date may be the same date as the Initial NFIP Map Date.
- *FHBM Revision Date(s)* is the date(s) that the FHBM was revised, if applicable.
- *Initial FIRM Effective Date* is the date of the first effective FIRM for the community. This is the first effective date that is shown on the FIRM panel.
- *FIRM Revision Date(s)* is the date(s) the FIRM was revised, if applicable. This is the revised date that is shown on the FIRM panel, if applicable. As countywide studies are completed or revised, each community listed should have its FIRM dates updated accordingly to reflect the date of the countywide study. Once the FIRMs exist in countywide format, as Physical Map Revisions (PMR) of FIRM panels within the county are completed, the FIRM Revision Dates in the table for each community affected by the PMR are updated with the date of the PMR, even if the PMR did not revise all the panels within that community.

The initial effective date for the Clarke County FIRMs in countywide format was (DATE).

**Table 28: Community Map History**

Community Name	Initial Identification Date (First NFIP Map Published)	Initial FHBM Effective Date	FHBM Revision Date(s)	Initial FIRM Effective Date	FIRM Revision Date(s)
Clarke County Unincorporated Areas	(DATE)	N/A	N/A	(DATE)	N/A
City of Murray	09/05/1975	09/05/1975	N/A	(DATE)	N/A
City of Osceola	04/23/1976	04/23/1976	N/A	(DATE)	N/A

Community Name	Initial Identification Date (First NFIP Map Published)	Initial FHBM Effective Date	FHBM Revision Date(s)	Initial FIRM Effective Date	FIRM Revision Date(s)
City of Woodburn	12/20/1974	12/20/1974	N/A	05/01/2011	N/A

## SECTION 7.0 – CONTRACTED STUDIES AND COMMUNITY COORDINATION

### 7.1 Contracted Studies

Table 29 provides a summary of the contracted studies, by flooding sources that are included in this FIS Report.

**Table 29: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report**

Flooding Source	FIS Report Dated	Contractor	Number	Work Completed Date	Affected Communities
All approximate flooding sources	(DATE)	Iowa Flood Center and IIHR-Hydroscience and Engineering	ESD7385SR ALST100332	8/1/2015	Clarke County (Unincorporated Areas), City of Murray, City of Osceola, City of Woodburn

### 7.2 Community Meetings

The dates of the community meetings held for this FIS project and any previous FIS projects are shown in Table 30. These meetings may have previously been referred to by a variety of names (Community Coordination Officer (CCO), Scoping, Discovery, etc.), but all meetings represent opportunities for FEMA, community officials, study contractors, and other invited guests to discuss the planning for and results of the project.

**Table 30: Community Meetings**

Community	FIS Report Dated	Date of Meeting	Meeting Type	Attended By
Clarke County and Incorporated Areas		03/31/2011, 04/01/2011	Discovery & Re-Discovery (Lake Red Rock HUC8)	Clarke County and Incorporated Communities, STARR, and Iowa DNR
Clarke County and Incorporated Areas		TBD	Flood Risk Review	TBD
Clarke County and Incorporated Areas		TBD	Resilience	TBD
Clarke County and Incorporated Areas		01/15/2015	Pre-DFHP* Conf. Call	Clarke County and Incorporated Communities, Iowa DNR, and Stantec
Clarke County and Incorporated Areas		02/06/2015	DFHP* Review	Clarke County and Incorporated Communities, Iowa DNR, Stantec and Iowa Flood Center
Clarke County and Incorporated Areas		TBD	Final CCO	TBD

\*Draft Flood Hazard Product

## SECTION 8.0 – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Information concerning the pertinent data used in the preparation of this FIS Report can be obtained by submitting an order with any required payment to the FEMA Engineering Library. For more information on this process, see <http://www.fema.gov>.

Table 31 is a list of the locations where FIRMs for Clarke County can be viewed. Please note that the maps at these locations are for reference only and are not for distribution. Also, please note that only the maps for the community listed in the table are available at that particular repository. A user may need to visit another repository to view maps from an adjacent community.

**Table 31: Map Repositories**

Community	Address	City	State	Zip Code
Clarke County Unincorporated Areas	100 South Main Street	Osceola	IA	50213
Murray, City of	420 Maple Street	Murray	IA	50174
Osceola, City of	115 North Fillmore Street	Osceola	IA	50213
Woodburn, City of	607 Sigler Street	Woodburn	IA	50275

The National Flood Hazard Layer (NFHL) dataset is a compilation of effective FIRM databases and LOMCs. Together they create a GIS data layer for a State or Territory. The NFHL is updated as studies become effective and extracts are made available to the public monthly. NFHL data can be viewed or ordered from the website shown in Table 32.

Table 32 contains useful contact information regarding the FIS Report, the FIRM, and other relevant flood hazard and GIS data. In addition, information about the state NFIP Coordinator and GIS Coordinator is shown in this table. At the request of FEMA, each Governor has designated an agency of State or territorial government to coordinate that State's or territory's NFIP activities. These agencies often assist communities in developing and adopting necessary floodplain management measures. State GIS Coordinators are knowledgeable about the availability and location of state and local GIS data in their state.

**Table 32: Additional Information**

FEMA and the NFIP	
FEMA and FEMA Engineering Library website	<a href="http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-flood-hazard-mapping/engineering-library">www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-flood-hazard-mapping/engineering-library</a>
NFIP website	<a href="http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program">http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program</a>
NFHL Dataset	<a href="http://msc.fema.gov">http://msc.fema.gov</a>
FEMA Region VII	Federal Regional Center, 9221 Ward Parkway, Suite 300, Kansas City, Missouri 64114-3322 (816) 283-7002
Other Federal Agencies	
USGS website	<a href="http://www.usgs.gov">http://www.usgs.gov</a>
Hydraulic Engineering Center website	<a href="http://www.hec.usace.army.mil">http://www.hec.usace.army.mil</a>
State Agencies and Organizations	
State NFIP Coordinator	Bill Cappuccio Iowa Department of Natural Resources Wallace State Office Building Des Moines, IA 50319 515-281-8942 bill.cappuccio@dnr.iowa.gov
State GIS Coordinator	Chris Ensminger Iowa Department of Natural Resources 502 E 9 <sup>th</sup> Street Des Moines, IA 50319 Phone: 515-281-4216 chris.ensminger@dnr.iowa.gov
State Floodplain Mapping Coordinator	Scott Ralston Iowa Department of Natural Resources 502 E 9 <sup>th</sup> Street Des Moines, IA 50319 Phone: 515-725-8321 scott.Ralston@dnr.iowa.gov

## SECTION 9.0 – BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES

Table 33 includes sources used in the preparation of and cited in this FIS Report as well as additional studies that have been conducted in the study area.

**Table 33: Bibliography and References**

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	<i>Publication Title, "Article,"</i> Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
Base Map	Iowa Department of Natural Resources		Iowa Department of Natural Resources	Iowa City, Iowa	2009	
Hydrology	Iowa Department of Natural Resources	<i>IDOT, 2010 - IDOT, LRFD Design Manual</i>	Iowa Department of Natural Resources	Iowa City, Iowa	2014	<a href="http://www.iowadot.gov/bridge/manuallrfd.html">http://www.iowadot.gov/bridge/manuallrfd.html</a>
Hydraulics	Iowa Department of Natural Resources	<i>IDOT, 2010 - IDOT, LRFD Design Manual</i>	Iowa Department of Natural Resources	Iowa City, Iowa	2014	<a href="http://www.iowadot.gov/bridge/manuallrfd.html">http://www.iowadot.gov/bridge/manuallrfd.html</a>