

# FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY

## FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

VOLUME 1 OF 1



### LIVINGSTON COUNTY, KENTUCKY AND INCORPORATED AREAS

COMMUNITY NAME	COMMUNITY NUMBER
CARRSVILLE, CITY OF	210460
GRAND RIVERS, CITY OF	210461
LIVINGSTON COUNTY, UNINCORPORATED AREAS	210146
SALEM, CITY OF	210462
SMITHLAND, CITY OF	210147



# FEMA

**PRELIMINARY**

**SEPT 30 2016**

**REVISED:**

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY NUMBER  
21139CV000B

Version Number 2.3.3.3

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Volume 1

	<u>Page</u>
<b>SECTION 1.0 – INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 The National Flood Insurance Program	1
1.2 Purpose of this Flood Insurance Study Report	2
1.3 Jurisdictions Included in the Flood Insurance Study Project	2
1.4 Considerations for using this Flood Insurance Study Report	3
<b>SECTION 2.0 – FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Floodplain Boundaries	13
2.2 Floodways	14
2.3 Base Flood Elevations	16
2.4 Non-Encroachment Zones	16
2.5 Coastal Flood Hazard Areas	16
2.5.1 Water Elevations and the Effects of Waves	16
2.5.2 Floodplain Boundaries and BFEs for Coastal Areas	16
2.5.3 Coastal High Hazard Areas	16
2.5.4 Limit of Moderate Wave Action	17
<b>SECTION 3.0 – INSURANCE APPLICATIONS</b>	<b>17</b>
3.1 National Flood Insurance Program Insurance Zones	17
3.2 Coastal Barrier Resources System	17
<b>SECTION 4.0 – AREA STUDIED</b>	<b>18</b>
4.1 Basin Description	18
4.2 Principal Flood Problems	18
4.3 Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures	19
4.4 Levees	19
<b>SECTION 5.0 – ENGINEERING METHODS</b>	<b>19</b>
5.1 Hydrologic Analyses	20
5.2 Hydraulic Analyses	22
5.3 Coastal Analyses	25
5.3.1 Total Stillwater Elevations	25
5.3.2 Waves	25
5.3.3 Coastal Erosion	25
5.3.4 Wave Hazard Analyses	25
5.4 Alluvial Fan Analyses	26
<b>SECTION 6.0 – MAPPING METHODS</b>	<b>26</b>
6.1 Vertical and Horizontal Control	26
6.2 Base Map	27
6.3 Floodplain and Floodway Delineation	28
6.4 Coastal Flood Hazard Mapping	33
6.5 FIRM Revisions	33
6.5.1 Letters of Map Amendment	33

6.5.2	Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill	33
6.5.4	Letters of Map Revision	34
6.5.3	Physical Map Revisions	34
6.5.4	Contracted Restudies	34
6.5.5	Community Map History	35
<b>SECTION 7.0 – CONTRACTED STUDIES AND COMMUNITY COORDINATION</b>		<b>36</b>
7.1	Contracted Studies	36
7.2	Community Meetings	37
<b>SECTION 8.0 – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</b>		<b>39</b>
<b>SECTION 9.0 – BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES</b>		<b>40</b>

### Figures

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 1: FIRM Panel Index	5
Figure 2: FIRM Notes to Users	6
Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM	9
Figure 4: Floodway Schematic	15
Figure 5: Wave Runup Transect Schematic	16
Figure 6: Coastal Transect Schematic	16
Figure 7: Frequency Discharge-Drainage Area Curves	21
Figure 8: 1% Annual Chance Total Stillwater Elevations for Coastal Areas	25
Figure 9: Transect Location Map	25

### Tables

	<u>Page</u>
Table 1: Listing of NFIP Jurisdictions	2
Table 2: Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report	14
Table 3: Flood Zone Designations by Community	17
Table 4: Coastal Barrier Resources System Information	17
Table 5: Basin Characteristics	18
Table 6: Principal Flood Problems	18
Table 7: Historic Flooding Elevations	19
Table 8: Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures	19
Table 9: Levees	19
Table 10: Summary of Discharges	21
Table 11: Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevations	21
Table 12: Stream Gage Information used to Determine Discharges	21
Table 13: Summary of Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analyses	23
Table 14: Roughness Coefficients	25
Table 15: Summary of Coastal Analyses	25
Table 16: Tide Gage Analysis Specifics	25
Table 17: Coastal Transect Parameters	25

Table 18: Summary of Alluvial Fan Analyses	26
Table 19: Results of Alluvial Fan Analyses	26
Table 20: Countywide Vertical Datum Conversion	27
Table 21: Stream-Based Vertical Datum Conversion	27
Table 22: Base Map Sources	27
Table 23: Summary of Topographic Elevation Data used in Mapping	28
Table 24: Floodway Data	30
Table 25: Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data for Selected Streams	33
Table 26: Summary of Coastal Transect Mapping Considerations	33
Table 27: Incorporated Letters of Map Change	34
Table 28: Community Map History	36
Table 29: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report	36
Table 30: Community Meetings	38
Table 31: Map Repositories	39
Table 32: Additional Information	39
Table 33: Bibliography and References	41

**Volume 1**  
Exhibits

Flood Profiles	<u>Panel</u>
Ohio River	01-04 P
Tennessee River	05-06 P

**Published Separately**

Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)

# FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY REPORT LIVINGSTON COUNTY, KENTUCKY

## SECTION 1.0 – INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 The National Flood Insurance Program

The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) is a voluntary Federal program that enables property owners in participating communities to purchase insurance protection against losses from flooding. This insurance is designed to provide an alternative to disaster assistance to meet the escalating costs of repairing damage to buildings and their contents caused by floods.

For decades, the national response to flood disasters was generally limited to constructing flood-control works such as dams, levees, sea-walls, and the like, and providing disaster relief to flood victims. This approach did not reduce losses nor did it discourage unwise development. In some instances, it may have actually encouraged additional development. To compound the problem, the public generally could not buy flood coverage from insurance companies, and building techniques to reduce flood damage were often overlooked.

In the face of mounting flood losses and escalating costs of disaster relief to the general taxpayers, the U.S. Congress created the NFIP. The intent was to reduce future flood damage through community floodplain management ordinances, and provide protection for property owners against potential losses through an insurance mechanism that requires a premium to be paid for the protection.

The U.S. Congress established the NFIP on August 1, 1968, with the passage of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968. The NFIP was broadened and modified with the passage of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and other legislative measures. It was further modified by the National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 and the Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2004. The NFIP is administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), which is a component of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

Participation in the NFIP is based on an agreement between local communities and the Federal Government. If a community adopts and enforces floodplain management regulations to reduce future flood risks to new construction and substantially improved structures in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs), the Federal Government will make flood insurance available within the community as a financial protection against flood losses. The community's floodplain management regulations must meet or exceed criteria established in accordance with Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 60.3, *Criteria for Land Management and Use*.

SFHAs are delineated on the community's Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). Under the NFIP, buildings that were built before the flood hazard was identified on the community's FIRMs are generally referred to as "Pre-FIRM" buildings. When the NFIP was created, the U.S. Congress recognized that insurance for Pre-FIRM buildings would be prohibitively expensive if the premiums were not subsidized by the Federal Government. Congress also recognized that most of these floodprone buildings were built by individuals who did not have sufficient knowledge of the flood hazard to make informed decisions. The NFIP requires that full actuarial rates reflecting the complete flood risk be charged on all buildings constructed or substantially improved on or after the effective date of the initial FIRM for the community or after December 31, 1974, whichever is later. These buildings are generally referred to as "Post-FIRM" buildings.

## 1.2 Purpose of this Flood Insurance Study Report

This Flood Insurance Study (FIS) Report revises and updates information on the existence and severity of flood hazards for the study area. The studies described in this report developed flood hazard data that will be used to establish actuarial flood insurance rates and to assist communities in efforts to implement sound floodplain management.

In some states or communities, floodplain management criteria or regulations may exist that are more restrictive than the minimum Federal requirements. Contact your State NFIP Coordinator to ensure that any higher State standards are included in the community's regulations.

## 1.3 Jurisdictions Included in the Flood Insurance Study Project

This FIS Report covers the entire geographic area of Livingston County, Kentucky.

The jurisdictions that are included in this project area, along with the Community Identification Number (CID) for each community and the 8-digit Hydrologic Unit Codes (HUC-8) sub-basins affecting each, are shown in Table 1. The Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel numbers that affect each community are listed. If the flood hazard data for the community is not included in this FIS Report, the location of that data is identified.

**Table 1: Listing of NFIP Jurisdictions**

Community	CID	HUC-8 Sub-Basin(s)	Located on FIRM Panel(s)	If Not Included, Location of Flood Hazard Data
Carrsville, City of	210460	05140203	21139C0020C 21139C0040C	
Grand Rivers, City of	210461	05130205, 06040005	21139C0294C 21139C0313D 21139C0314C 21139C0351C 21139C0352C	
Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas	210146	05130205, 05140203, 05140206, 06040005, 06040006	21139C0015C 21139C0020C 21139C0025C <sup>1</sup> 21139C0040C 21139C0045C 21139C0050C <sup>1</sup> 21139C0060C <sup>1</sup> 21139C0070C 21139C0075C <sup>1</sup> 21139C0080C 21139C0085C 21139C0090C 21139C0095C 21139C0105C 21139C0110C 21139C0115C 21139C0120D 21139C0140D	

Community	CID	HUC-8 Sub-Basin(s)	Located on FIRM Panel(s)	If Not Included, Location of Flood Hazard Data
Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas	210146	05130205, 05140203, 05140206, 06040005, 06040006	21139C0150C <sup>1</sup> 21139C0155C 21139C0160D 21139C0165D 21139C0168D 21139C0169D 21139C0170D 21139C0180D 21139C0185D 21139C0190D 21139C0195D 21139C0205D  21139C0210C <sup>1</sup> 21139C0215D 21139C0220D 21139C0230C 21139C0235C  21139C0240C <sup>1</sup> 21139C0245C 21139C0255C 21139C0260D 21139C0265C 21139C0270C 21139C0280C 21139C0285D 21139C0290C 21139C0294C 21139C0295D 21139C0305D 21139C0313D 21139C0314C 21139C0315D  21139C0325C <sup>1</sup> 21139C0335C <sup>1</sup> 21139C0350C <sup>1</sup>  21139C0351C 21139C0352C 21139C0375C <sup>1</sup>	
Salem, City of	210462	05130205	21139C0120D 21139C0140D	
Smithland, City of	210147	05130205, 05140206	21139C0168D 21139C0169D	

<sup>1</sup> Panel Not Printed

#### 1.4 Considerations for using this Flood Insurance Study Report

The NFIP encourages State and local governments to implement sound floodplain management programs. To assist in this endeavor, each FIS Report provides floodplain data, which may include a combination of the following: 10-, 4-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2-percent annual chance flood

elevations (the 1% annual chance flood elevation is also referred to as the Base Flood Elevation (BFE)); delineations of the 1% annual chance and 0.2% annual chance floodplains; and 1% annual chance floodway. This information is presented on the FIRM and/or in many components of the FIS Report, including Flood Profiles, Floodway Data tables, Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevations tables, and Coastal Transect Parameters tables (not all components may be provided for a specific FIS).

This section presents important considerations for using the information contained in this FIS Report and the FIRM, including changes in format and content. Figures 1, 2, and 3 present information that applies to using the FIRM with the FIS Report.

- Part or all of this FIS Report may be revised and republished at any time. In addition, part of this FIS Report may be revised by a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR), which does not involve republication or redistribution of the FIS Report. Refer to Section 6.5 of this FIS Report for information about the process to revise the FIS Report and/or FIRM.

It is, therefore, the responsibility of the user to consult with community officials by contacting the community repository to obtain the most current FIS Report components. Communities participating in the NFIP have established repositories of flood hazard data for floodplain management and flood insurance purposes. Community map repository addresses are provided in Table 31, “Map Repositories,” within this FIS Report.


- New FIS Reports are frequently developed for multiple communities, such as entire counties. A countywide FIS Report incorporates previous FIS Reports for individual communities and the unincorporated area of the county (if not jurisdictional) into a single document and supersedes those documents for the purposes of the NFIP.

The initial Countywide FIS Report for Livingston County became effective on August 16, 2012. Refer to Table 28 for information about subsequent revisions to the FIRMs.


- FEMA has developed a *Guide to Flood Maps* (FEMA 258) and online tutorials to assist users in accessing the information contained on the FIRM. These include how to read panels and step-by-step instructions to obtain specific information. To obtain this guide and other assistance in using the FIRM, visit the FEMA Web site at [www.fema.gov/online-tutorials](http://www.fema.gov/online-tutorials).

The FIRM Index in Figure 1 shows the overall FIRM panel layout within Livingston County, and also displays the panel number and effective date for each FIRM panel in the county. Other information shown on the FIRM Index includes community boundaries, flooding sources, watershed boundaries, and United States Geological Survey (USGS) Hydrologic Unit Code – 8 (HUC-8) codes.

Figure 1: FIRM Panel Index



**ATTENTION:** The corporate limits shown on this FIRM Index are based on the best information available at the time of publication. As such, they may be more current than those shown on FIRM panels issued before MONTH DAY, YEAR.



Map Projection:  
State Plane Lambert Conformal Conic,  
Kentucky Zone 1600; North American Datum 1983

THE INFORMATION DEPICTED ON THIS MAP AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION ARE ALSO AVAILABLE IN DIGITAL FORMAT AT [HTTP://MSC.FEMA.GOV](http://MSC.FEMA.GOV)

SEE FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

\*PANEL NOT PRINTED - OUTSIDE OF LIVINGSTON COUNTY  
\*\* PANEL NOT PRINTED - AREA ALL WITHIN ZONE AE (EL 349)  
\*\*\*PANEL NOT PRINTED - AREA ALL WITHIN ZONE AE (EL 375)

**MAP SYMBOLOGY INDEX LAYERS**

- Highway
- Stream Centerline
- FIRM Panel
- Watershed Boundary
- City, Town
- Livingston County

**NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP INDEX**

LIVINGSTON COUNTY, KENTUCKY and Incorporated Areas  
PANELS PRINTED: 0015, 0020, 0040, 0045, 0070, 0080, 0085, 0090, 0095, 0105, 0110, 0115, 0120, 0140, 0155, 0160, 0165, 0168, 0169, 0170, 0180, 0185, 0190, 0195, 0205, 0215, 0220, 0230, 0235, 0245, 0255, 0260, 0265, 0270, 0280, 0285, 0290, 0294, 0295, 0305, 0313, 0314, 0315, 0351, 0352

**PRELIMINARY**  
**SEPT 30 2016**



FEMA

MAP NUMBER 21139CIND0B  
MAP REVISED

Each FIRM panel may contain specific notes to the user that provide additional information regarding the flood hazard data shown on that map. However, the FIRM panel does not contain enough space to show all the notes that may be relevant in helping to better understand the information on the panel. Figure 2 contains the full list of these notes.

**Figure 2: FIRM Notes to Users**

## **NOTES TO USERS**

For information and questions about this map, available products associated with this FIRM including historic versions of this FIRM, how to order products, or the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call the FEMA Map Information eXchange at 1-877-FEMA-MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA Flood Map Service Center website at [msc.fema.gov](http://msc.fema.gov). Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. Many of these products can be ordered or obtained directly from the website. Users may determine the current map date for each FIRM panel by visiting the FEMA Flood Map Service Center website or by calling the FEMA Map Information eXchange.

Communities annexing land on adjacent FIRM panels must obtain a current copy of the adjacent panel as well as the current FIRM Index. These may be ordered directly from the Flood Map Service Center at the number listed above.

For community and countywide map dates, refer to Table 28 in this FIS Report.

To determine if flood insurance is available in the community, contact your insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620.

**PRELIMINARY FIS REPORT:** FEMA maintains information about map features, such as street locations and names, in or near designated flood hazard areas. Requests to revise information in or near designated flood hazard areas may be provided to FEMA during the community review period, at the final Consultation Coordination Officer's meeting, or during the statutory 90-day appeal period. Approved requests for changes will be shown on the final printed FIRM.

The map is for use in administering the NFIP. It may not identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. Consult the community map repository to find updated or additional flood hazard information.

**BASE FLOOD ELEVATIONS:** For more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and/or floodways have been determined, consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevations tables within this FIS Report. Use the flood elevation data within the FIS Report in conjunction with the FIRM for construction and/or floodplain management.

**FLOODWAY INFORMATION:** Boundaries of the floodways were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the FIS Report for this jurisdiction.

## Figure 2. FIRM Notes to Users

**FLOOD CONTROL STRUCTURE INFORMATION:** Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to Section 4.3 "Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures" of this FIS Report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

**PROJECTION INFORMATION:** The projection used in the preparation of the map was Lambert Conformal Conic, Kentucky Zone 1600. The horizontal datum was NAD83. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or State Plane zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of the FIRM.

**ELEVATION DATUM:** Flood elevations on the FIRM are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at [www.ngs.noaa.gov/](http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/) or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

*NGS Information Services  
NOAA, N/NGS12  
National Geodetic Survey  
SSMC-3, #9202  
1315 East-West Highway  
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3282  
(301) 713-3242*

Local vertical monuments may have been used to create the map. To obtain current monument information, please contact the appropriate local community listed in Table 31 of this FIS Report.

**BASE MAP INFORMATION:** Base map information shown on the FIRM was provided in digital format by the State of Kentucky Division of Geographic Information (KY DGI). Ortho Imagery was originally produced by Photoscience in 2014 and has a 1-meter ground resolution. For information about base maps, refer to Section 6.2 "Base Map" in this FIS Report

The map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on the map.

Corporate limits shown on the map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after the map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

### **NOTES FOR FIRM INDEX**

**REVISIONS TO INDEX:** As new studies are performed and FIRM panels are updated within Livingston County, Kentucky, corresponding revisions to the FIRM Index will be incorporated within the FIS Report to reflect the effective dates of those panels. Please refer to Table 28 of this FIS Report to determine the most recent FIRM revision date for each community. The most recent FIRM panel effective date will correspond to the most recent index date.

## Figure 2. FIRM Notes to Users

**ATTENTION:** The corporate limits shown on this FIRM Index are based on the best information available at the time of publication. As such, they may be more current than those shown on FIRM panels issued before MONTH DAY, YEAR.

### **SPECIAL NOTES FOR SPECIFIC FIRM PANELS**

This Notes to Users section was created specifically for Livingston County, Kentucky, effective 8/8/8888.



**FLOOD RISK REPORT:** A Flood Risk Report (FRR) may be available for many of the flooding sources and communities referenced in this FIS Report. The FRR is provided to increase public awareness of flood risk by helping communities identify the areas within their jurisdictions that have the greatest risks. Although non-regulatory, the information provided within the FRR can assist communities in assessing and evaluating mitigation opportunities to reduce these risks. It can also be used by communities developing or updating flood risk mitigation plans. These plans allow communities to identify and evaluate opportunities to reduce potential loss of life and property. However, the FRR is not intended to be the final authoritative source of all flood risk data for a project area; rather, it should be used with other data sources to paint a comprehensive picture of flood risk.

Each FIRM panel contains an abbreviated legend for the features shown on the maps. However, the FIRM panel does not contain enough space to show the legend for all map features. Figure 3 shows the full legend of all map features. Note that not all of these features may appear on the FIRM panels in Livingston County.



**Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM**

<p><b>SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS:</b> <i>The 1% annual chance flood, also known as the base flood or 100-year flood, has a 1% chance of happening or being exceeded each year. Special Flood Hazard Areas are subject to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. The Base Flood Elevation is the water surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood. The floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights. See note for specific types. If the floodway is too narrow to be shown, a note is shown.</i></p>	
	<p>Special Flood Hazard Areas subject to inundation by the 1% annual chance flood (Zones A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V and VE)</p>
<p>Zone A</p>	<p>The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance floodplains. No base (1% annual chance) flood elevations (BFEs) or depths are shown within this zone.</p>
<p>Zone AE</p>	<p>The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance floodplains. Base flood elevations derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown within this zone.</p>
<p>Zone AH</p>	<p>The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the areas of 1% annual chance shallow flooding (usually areas of ponding) where average depths are between 1 and 3 feet. Whole-foot BFEs derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown at selected intervals within this zone.</p>
<p>Zone AO</p>	<p>The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the areas of 1% annual chance shallow flooding (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain) where average depths are between 1 and 3 feet. Average whole-foot depths derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown within this zone.</p>
<p>Zone AR</p>	<p>The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to areas that were formerly protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decertified. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.</p>
<p>Zone A99</p>	<p>The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to areas of the 1% annual chance floodplain that will be protected by a Federal flood protection system where construction has reached specified statutory milestones. No base flood elevations or flood depths are shown within this zone.</p>
<p>Zone V</p>	<p>The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance coastal floodplains that have additional hazards associated with storm waves. Base flood elevations are not shown within this zone.</p>
<p>Zone VE</p>	<p>Zone VE is the flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance coastal floodplains that have additional hazards associated with storm waves. Base flood elevations derived from the coastal analyses are shown within this zone as static whole-foot elevations that apply throughout the zone.</p>

**Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM**

	Regulatory Floodway determined in Zone AE.
	Non-encroachment zone (see Section 2.4 of this FIS Report for more information)
<b>OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD</b>	
	Shaded Zone X: Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood hazards and areas of 1% annual chance flood hazards with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile.
	Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard – Zone X: The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance floodplains that are determined based on future-conditions hydrology. No base flood elevations or flood depths are shown within this zone.
<b>OTHER AREAS</b>	
	Zone D (Areas of Undetermined Flood Hazard): The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to unstudied areas where flood hazards are undetermined, but possible.
	Unshaded Zone X: Areas of minimal flood hazard.
<b>FLOOD HAZARD AND OTHER BOUNDARY LINES</b>	
	Flood Zone Boundary (white line on ortho-photography-based mapping; gray line on vector-based mapping)
	Limit of Study
	Jurisdiction Boundary
	Limit of Moderate Wave Action (LiMWA): Indicates the inland limit of the area affected by waves greater than 1.5 feet
<b>GENERAL STRUCTURES</b>	
 <i>Aqueduct Channel Culvert Storm Sewer</i>	Channel, Culvert, Aqueduct, or Storm Sewer
 <i>Dam Jetty Weir</i>	Dam, Jetty, Weir
	Levee, Dike, or Floodwall
 <i>Bridge</i>	Bridge

**Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM**

<b>REFERENCE MARKERS</b>	
	River mile Markers
<b>CROSS SECTION &amp; TRANSECT INFORMATION</b>	
	Lettered Cross Section with Regulatory Water Surface Elevation (BFE)
	Numbered Cross Section with Regulatory Water Surface Elevation (BFE)
	Unlettered Cross Section with Regulatory Water Surface Elevation (BFE)
	Coastal Transect
	Profile Baseline: Indicates the modeled flow path of a stream and is shown on FIRM panels for all valid studies with profiles or otherwise established base flood elevation.
	Coastal Transect Baseline: Used in the coastal flood hazard model to represent the 0.0-foot elevation contour and the starting point for the transect and the measuring point for the coastal mapping.
	Base Flood Elevation Line
<b>ZONE AE (EL 16)</b>	Static Base Flood Elevation value (shown under zone label)
<b>ZONE AO (DEPTH 2)</b>	Zone designation with Depth
<b>ZONE AO (DEPTH 2) (VEL 15 FPS)</b>	Zone designation with Depth and Velocity
<b>BASE MAP FEATURES</b>	
	River, Stream or Other Hydrographic Feature
	Interstate Highway
	U.S. Highway
	State Highway
	County Highway
	Street, Road, Avenue Name, or Private Drive if shown on Flood Profile
	Railroad

**Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM**

	Horizontal Reference Grid Line
	Horizontal Reference Grid Ticks
	Secondary Grid Crosshairs
Land Grant	Name of Land Grant
7	Section Number
R. 43 W. T. 22 N.	Range, Township Number
<sup>42</sup> 76 <sup>000</sup> mE	Horizontal Reference Grid Coordinates (UTM)
<b>365000 FT</b>	Horizontal Reference Grid Coordinates (State Plane)
<b>80° 16' 52.5"</b>	Corner Coordinates (Latitude, Longitude)

## SECTION 2.0 – FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS

### 2.1 Floodplain Boundaries

To provide a national standard without regional discrimination, the 1% annual chance (100-year) flood has been adopted by FEMA as the base flood for floodplain management purposes. The 0.2% annual chance (500-year) flood is employed to indicate additional areas of flood hazard in the community.

Each flooding source included in the project scope has been studied and mapped using professional engineering and mapping methodologies that were agreed upon by FEMA and Livingston County as appropriate to the risk level. Flood risk is evaluated based on factors such as known flood hazards and projected impact on the built environment. Engineering analyses were performed for each studied flooding source to calculate its 1% annual chance flood elevations; elevations corresponding to other floods (e.g. 10-, 4-, 2-, 0.2-percent annual chance, etc.) may have also been computed for certain flooding sources. Engineering models and methods are described in detail in Section 5.0 of this FIS Report. The modeled elevations at cross sections were used to delineate the floodplain boundaries on the FIRM; between cross sections, the boundaries were interpolated using elevation data from various sources. More information on specific mapping methods is provided in Section 6.0 of this FIS Report.

Depending on the accuracy of available topographic data (Table 23), study methodologies employed (Section 5.0), and flood risk, certain flooding sources may be mapped to show both the 1% and 0.2% annual chance floodplain boundaries, regulatory water surface elevations (BFEs), and/or a regulatory floodway. Similarly, other flooding sources may be mapped to show only the 1% annual chance floodplain boundary on the FIRM, without published water surface elevations. In cases where the 1% and 0.2% annual chance floodplain boundaries are close together, only the 1% annual chance floodplain boundary is shown on the FIRM. Figure 3, “Map Legend for FIRM”, describes the flood zones that are used on the FIRMs to account for the varying levels of flood risk that exist along flooding sources within the project area. Table 2 and Table 3 indicate the flood zone designations for each flooding source and each community within Livingston County, Kentucky, respectively.

Table 2, “Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report,” lists each flooding source, including its study limits, affected communities, mapped zone on the FIRM, and the completion date of its engineering analysis from which the flood elevations on the FIRM and in the FIS Report were derived. Descriptions and dates for the latest hydrologic and hydraulic analyses of the flooding sources are shown in Table 13. Floodplain boundaries for these flooding sources are shown on the FIRM (published separately) using the symbology described in Figure 3. On the map, the 1% annual chance floodplain corresponds to the SFHAs. The 0.2% annual chance floodplain shows areas that, although out of the regulatory floodplain, are still subject to flood hazards.

Small areas within the floodplain boundaries may lie above the flood elevations but cannot be shown due to limitations of the map scale and/or lack of detailed topographic data. The procedures to remove these areas from the SFHA are described in Section 6.5 of this FIS Report.

**Table 2: Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report**

Flooding Source	Community	Downstream Limit	Upstream Limit	HUC-8 Sub-Basin(s)	Length (mi) (streams or coastlines)	Area (mi <sup>2</sup> ) (estuaries or ponding)	Floodway (Y/N)	Zone shown on FIRM	Date of Analysis
All Zone A Streams in HUC-8 06040006	Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas	Various	Various	06040006	1.0	N/A	N	A	2015
All Zone A Streams in HUC-8 05130205	Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas	Various	Various	05130205	193.2	N/A	N	A	2015
All Zone A Streams in HUC-8 05140203	Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas	Various	Various	05140203	126.4	N/A	N	A	2008
Cooper Creek	Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas	Confluence with Cumberland River	Approximately 0.69 miles upstream of Iuka Road	06040006	2.74	N/A	N	A	2008
Dry Branch	Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas	Confluence with Guess Creek	Approximately 0.56 miles upstream of Iuka Road	06040006	1.06	N/A	N	A	2008
Guess Creek	Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas	Confluence with Cumberland River	Just upstream of Heater Store Road	06040006	4.99	N/A	N	A	2008
Guess Creek Tributary 9	Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas	Confluence with Guess Creek	Approximately 1.01 miles upstream of confluence with Guess Creek	06040006	1.01	N/A	N	A	2008
Jonse Creek	Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas	Confluence with Cumberland River	Approximately 1,725 feet upstream of Iuka Road	06040006	2.19	N/A	N	A	2008
Lee Creek	Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas	Confluence with Cumberland River	Approximately 0.58 miles upstream of Cutoff Road	06040006	2.76	N/A	N	A	2008
Ohio River	Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas	McCracken County boundary	Crittenden County boundary	05140203	40.2	N/A	Y	AE	2008
Tennessee River	Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas	McCracken County boundary	Kentucky Lake Dam	06040006	22.4	N/A	Y	AE	2008


## 2.2 Floodways

Encroachment on floodplains, such as structures and fill, reduces flood-carrying capacity, increases flood heights and velocities, and increases flood hazards in areas beyond the encroachment itself. One aspect of floodplain management involves balancing the economic gain from floodplain development against the resulting increase in flood hazard.

For purposes of the NFIP, a floodway is used as a tool to assist local communities in balancing floodplain development against increasing flood hazard. With this approach, the area of the 1% annual chance floodplain on a river is divided into a floodway and a floodway fringe based on hydraulic modeling. The floodway is the channel of a stream, plus any adjacent floodplain areas, that must be kept free of encroachment in order to carry the 1% annual chance flood. The floodway fringe is the area between the floodway and the 1% annual chance floodplain boundaries where encroachment is permitted. The floodway must be wide enough so that the floodway fringe could be completely obstructed without increasing the water surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood more than 1 foot at any point. Typical relationships between the floodway and the floodway fringe and their significance to floodplain development are shown in Figure 4.

To participate in the NFIP, Federal regulations require communities to limit increases caused by encroachment to 1.0 foot, provided that hazardous velocities are not produced. Regulations for Kentucky require communities in Livingston County to limit increases caused by encroachment to 1.0 foot and several communities have adopted additional restrictions. The floodways in this project are presented to local agencies as minimum standards that can be adopted directly or that can be used as a basis for additional floodway projects.

**Figure 4: Floodway Schematic**



Floodway widths presented in this FIS Report and on the FIRM were computed at cross sections. Between cross sections, the floodway boundaries were interpolated. For certain stream segments, floodways were adjusted so that the amount of floodwaters conveyed on each side of the floodplain would be reduced equally. The results of the floodway computations have been tabulated for selected cross sections and are shown in Table 24, “Floodway Data.”

All floodways that were developed for this Flood Risk Project are shown on the FIRM using the symbology described in Figure 3. In cases where the floodway and 1% annual chance floodplain boundaries are either close together or collinear, only the floodway boundary has been shown on the FIRM. For information about the delineation of floodways on the FIRM, refer to Section 6.3.

### **2.3 Base Flood Elevations**

The hydraulic characteristics of flooding sources were analyzed to provide estimates of the elevations of floods of the selected recurrence intervals. The Base Flood Elevation (BFE) is the elevation of the 1% annual chance flood. These BFEs are most commonly rounded to the whole foot, as shown on the FIRM, but in certain circumstances or locations they may be rounded to 0.1 foot. Cross section lines shown on the FIRM may also be labeled with the BFE rounded to 0.1 foot. Whole-foot BFEs derived from engineering analyses that apply to coastal areas, areas of ponding, or other static areas with little elevation change may also be shown at selected intervals on the FIRM.

Cross sections with BFEs shown on the FIRM correspond to the cross sections shown in the Floodway Data table and Flood Profiles in this FIS Report. BFEs are primarily intended for flood insurance rating purposes. For construction and/or floodplain management purposes, users are cautioned to use the flood elevation data presented in this FIS Report in conjunction with the data shown on the FIRM.

### **2.4 Non-Encroachment Zones**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

### **2.5 Coastal Flood Hazard Areas**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

#### **2.5.1 Water Elevations and the Effects of Waves**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

#### **Figure 5: Wave Runup Transect Schematic**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

#### **2.5.2 Floodplain Boundaries and BFEs for Coastal Areas**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

#### **2.5.3 Coastal High Hazard Areas**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

## Figure 6: Coastal Transect Schematic

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

### 2.5.4 Limit of Moderate Wave Action

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

## SECTION 3.0 – INSURANCE APPLICATIONS

### 3.1 National Flood Insurance Program Insurance Zones

For flood insurance applications, the FIRM designates flood insurance rate zones as described in Each FIRM panel contains an abbreviated legend for the features shown on the maps. However, the FIRM panel does not contain enough space to show the legend for all map features. Figure 3 shows the full legend of all map features. Note that not all of these features may appear on the FIRM panels in Livingston County.

Figure 3, “Map Legend for FIRM.” Flood insurance zone designations are assigned to flooding sources based on the results of the hydraulic or coastal analyses. Insurance agents use the zones shown on the FIRM and depths and base flood elevations in this FIS Report in conjunction with information on structures and their contents to assign premium rates for flood insurance policies.

The 1% annual chance floodplain boundary corresponds to the boundary of the areas of special flood hazards (e.g. Zones A, AE, V, VE, etc.), and the 0.2% annual chance floodplain boundary corresponds to the boundary of areas of additional flood hazards.

Table 3 lists the flood insurance zones in Livingston County.

**Table 3: Flood Zone Designations by Community**

Community	Flood Zone(s)
Carrsville, City of	AE, X
Grand Rivers, City of	AE, X
Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas	A, AE, X
Salem, City of	A, X
Smithland, City of	AE, X

### 3.2 Coastal Barrier Resources System

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**Table 4: Coastal Barrier Resources System Information**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

## SECTION 4.0 – AREA STUDIED

### 4.1 Basin Description

Table 5 contains a description of the characteristics of the HUC-8 sub-basins within which each community falls. The table includes the main flooding sources within each basin, a brief description of the basin, and its drainage area.

**Table 5: Basin Characteristics**

HUC-8 Sub-Basin Name	HUC-8 Sub-Basin Number	Primary Flooding Source	Description of Affected Area	Drainage Area (square miles)
Kentucky Lake	0604005	Kentucky Lake	Smallest drainage area in Livingston County. Affects the Western portion of the City of Grand Rivers and the Livingston County border west of Grand Rivers.	1,810
Lower Cumberland	05130205	Cumberland River	Watershed affects the central and southeastern portion of Livingston County	2,344
Lower Ohio	05140206	Ohio River	Affects the southwestern portion of Livingston county along the county border	927
Lower Ohio-Bay	05140203	Ohio River	Watershed covers the northern portion of Livingston County	1,086
Lower Tennessee	06040006	Tennessee River	Affects the southern and part of the southwestern portion of Livingston county	704

### 4.2 Principal Flood Problems

Table 6 contains a description of the principal flood problems that have been noted for Livingston County by flooding source.

**Table 6: Principal Flood Problems**

Flooding Source	Description of Flood Problems
Ohio River	Floods in the study reach of the Ohio River are a result of excessive headwater and major tributary Runoff. Significant recorded floods on the Ohio River include the January-February 1937, April 1948, and March 1964 flood events (FEMA 2012).

Table 7 contains information about historic flood elevations in the communities within Livingston County.

**Table 7: Historic Flooding Elevations**

Flooding Source	Location	Historic Peak (Feet NAVD88)	Event Date	Approximate Recurrence Interval (years)	Source of Data
Ohio River	Confluence of Cumberland and Tennessee Rivers	55.6	1937	80	NOAA 1937
Ohio River	At Smithland Dam	54.8	2011	N/A	USGS gage

**4.3 Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures**

Table 8 contains information about non-levee flood protection measures within Livingston County such as dams, jetties, and or dikes. Levees are addressed in Section 4.4 of this FIS Report.

**Table 8: Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures**

Flooding Source	Structure Name	Type of Measure	Location	Description of Measure
Kentucky Lake, Tennessee River	Kentucky Dam	Dam	Approximately 22 miles upstream from Paducah, Kentucky	Stretched south across the western tip of Kentucky and nearly the entire width of Tennessee for a total of 2,400 miles of shoreline. Protects the Tennessee, Ohio, and Mississippi Valleys from the Tennessee River flowing in excess into the Ohio and Mississippi rivers (FEMA 2012).

**4.4 Levees**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**Table 9: Levees**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

**SECTION 5.0 – ENGINEERING METHODS**

For the flooding sources in the community, standard hydrologic and hydraulic study methods were used to determine the flood hazard data required for this study. Flood events of a magnitude that are expected to be equaled or exceeded at least once on the average during any 10-, 25-, 50-, 100-, or 500-year period (recurrence interval) have been selected as having special significance for floodplain management and for flood insurance rates. These events, commonly termed the 10-, 25-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year floods, have a 10-, 4-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2% annual chance, respectively, of being equaled or exceeded during any year.

Although the recurrence interval represents the long-term, average period between floods of a specific magnitude, rare floods could occur at short intervals or even within the same year. The risk of experiencing a rare flood increases when periods greater than 1 year are considered. For example, the risk of having a flood that equals or exceeds the 100-year flood (1-percent chance of annual exceedance) during the term of a 30-year mortgage is approximately 26 percent (about 3 in 10); for any 90-year period, the risk increases to approximately 60 percent (6 in 10). The analyses reported herein reflect flooding potentials based on conditions existing in the community at the time of completion of this study. Maps and flood elevations will be amended periodically to reflect future changes.

## **5.1 Hydrologic Analyses**

Hydrologic analyses were carried out to establish the peak elevation-frequency relationships for floods of the selected recurrence intervals for each flooding source studied. Hydrologic analyses are typically performed at the watershed level. Depending on factors such as watershed size and shape, land use and urbanization, and natural or man-made storage, various models or methodologies may be applied. A summary of the hydrologic methods applied to develop the discharges used in the hydraulic analyses for each stream is provided in Table 13. Greater detail (including assumptions, analysis, and results) is available in the archived project documentation.

A summary of the discharges is provided in Table 10.

**Table 10: Summary of Discharges**

Flooding Source	Location	Drainage Area (Square Miles)	Peak Discharge (cfs)				
			10% Annual Chance	4% Annual Chance	2% Annual Chance	1% Annual Chance	0.2% Annual Chance
Ohio River	At River Mile Marker 874	*	1,143,000	*	1,057,000	1,345,000	855,000
Ohio River	At River Mile 921	144,800	877,300	*	1,094,600	1,185,000	1,396,000
Tennessee River	Just downstream of the Kentucky Dam	*	388,000	*	480,000	517,000	600,000

\*Not calculated for this Flood Risk Project

**Figure 7: Frequency Discharge-Drainage Area Curves**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

**Table 11: Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevations**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

**Table 12: Stream Gage Information used to Determine Discharges**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

## 5.2 Hydraulic Analyses

Analyses of the hydraulic characteristics of flooding from the sources studied were carried out to provide estimates of the elevations of floods of the selected recurrence intervals. Base flood elevations on the FIRM represent the elevations shown on the Flood Profiles and in the Floodway Data tables in the FIS Report. Rounded whole-foot elevations may be shown on the FIRM in coastal areas, areas of ponding, and other areas with static base flood elevations. These whole-foot elevations may not exactly reflect the elevations derived from the hydraulic analyses. Flood elevations shown on the FIRM are primarily intended for flood insurance rating purposes. For construction and/or floodplain management purposes, users are cautioned to use the flood elevation data presented in this FIS Report in conjunction with the data shown on the FIRM. The hydraulic analyses for this FIS were based on unobstructed flow. The flood elevations shown on the profiles are thus considered valid only if hydraulic structures remain unobstructed, operate properly, and do not fail.

For streams for which hydraulic analyses were based on cross sections, locations of selected cross sections are shown on the Flood Profiles (Exhibit 1). For stream segments for which a floodway was computed (Section 6.3), selected cross sections are also listed on Table 24, "Floodway Data."

A summary of the methods used in hydraulic analyses performed for this project is provided in Table 13. Roughness coefficients are provided in Table 14. Roughness coefficients are values representing the frictional resistance water experiences when passing overland or through a channel. They are used in the calculations to determine water surface elevations. Greater detail (including assumptions, analysis, and results) is available in the archived project documentation.

**Table 13: Summary of Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analyses**

Flooding Source	Study Limits Downstream Limit	Study Limits Upstream Limit	Hydrologic Model or Method Used	Hydraulic Model or Method Used	Date Analyses Completed	Flood Zone on FIRM	Special Considerations
All Zone A Streams in HUC-8 06040006	Various	Various	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 4.1.0	June 2015	A	
All Zone A Streams in HUC-8 05130205	Various	Various	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 4.1.0	June 2015	A	
All Zone A Streams in HUC-8 05140203	Various	Various	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 3.1.2	June 2008	A	
Cooper Creek	Confluence with Cumberland River	Approximately 0.69 miles upstream of Iuka Road	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 3.1.2	June 2008	A	
Dry Branch	Confluence with Guess Creek	Approximately 0.56 miles upstream of Iuka Road	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 3.1.2	June 2008	A	
Guess Creek	Confluence with Cumberland River	Just upstream of Heater Store Road	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 3.1.2	June 2008	A	
Guess Creek Tributary 9	Confluence with Guess Creek	Approximately 1.01 miles upstream of confluence with Guess Creek	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 3.1.2	June 2008	A	
Jones Creek	Confluence with Cumberland River	Approximately 1,725 feet upstream of Iuka Road	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 3.1.2	June 2008	A	
Lee Creek	Confluence with Cumberland River	Approximately 0.58 miles upstream of Cutoff Road	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 3.1.2	June 2008	A	

Flooding Source	Study Limits Downstream Limit	Study Limits Upstream Limit	Hydrologic Model or Method Used	Hydraulic Model or Method Used	Date Analyses Completed	Flood Zone on FIRM	Special Considerations
Ohio River	McCracken County boundary	Crittenden County boundary	Gage Analysis	HEC-2	June 2008	AE w/ Floodway	
Tennessee River	McCracken County boundary	Kentucky Lake Dam	Log Pearson Type 3	HEC-2	June 2008	AE w/ Floodway	

**Table 14: Roughness Coefficients**

Flooding Source	Channel “n”	Overbank “n”
All Zone A Streams in HUC-8 05130205	0.040-0.045	0.070-0.120
All Zone A Streams in HUC-8 05140203	0.018-0.045	0.070-0.120
All Zone A Streams in HUC-8 06040006	0.018-0.045	0.070-0.120
Ohio River	0.027-0.033	0.075-0.100
Tennessee River	0.027	0.06

### 5.3 Coastal Analyses

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**Table 15: Summary of Coastal Analyses**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

#### 5.3.1 Total Stillwater Elevations

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**Figure 8: 1% Annual Chance Total Stillwater Elevations for Coastal Areas**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

**Table 16: Tide Gage Analysis Specifics**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

#### 5.3.2 Waves

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

#### 5.3.3 Coastal Erosion

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

#### 5.3.4 Wave Hazard Analyses

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**Table 17: Coastal Transect Parameters**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

**Figure 9: Transect Location Map**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

## 5.4 Alluvial Fan Analyses

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

### **Table 18: Summary of Alluvial Fan Analyses**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

### **Table 19: Results of Alluvial Fan Analyses**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

## SECTION 6.0 – MAPPING METHODS

### 6.1 Vertical and Horizontal Control

All FIS Reports and FIRMs are referenced to a specific vertical datum. The vertical datum provides a starting point against which flood, ground, and structure elevations can be referenced and compared. Until recently, the standard vertical datum used for newly created or revised FIS Reports and FIRMs was the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD29). With the completion of the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88), many FIS Reports and FIRMs are now prepared using NAVD88 as the referenced vertical datum.

Flood elevations shown in this FIS Report and on the FIRMs are referenced to NAVD88. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between NGVD29 and NAVD88 or other datum conversion, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at [www.ngs.noaa.gov](http://www.ngs.noaa.gov), or contact the National Geodetic Survey (NGS) at the following address:

NGS Information Services  
NOAA, N/NGS12  
National Geodetic Survey  
SSMC-3, #9202  
1315 East-West Highway  
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3282  
(301) 713-3242

Temporary vertical monuments are often established during the preparation of a flood hazard analysis for the purpose of establishing local vertical control. Although these monuments are not shown on the FIRM, they may be found in the archived project documentation associated with the FIS Report and the FIRMs for this community. Interested individuals may contact FEMA to access these data.

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for benchmarks in the area, please contact information services Branch of the NGS at (301) 713-3242, or visit their website at [www.ngs.noaa.gov](http://www.ngs.noaa.gov).

The datum conversion locations and values that were calculated for Livingston County are provided in Table 20.

**Table 20: Countywide Vertical Datum Conversion**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

**Table 21: Stream-Based Vertical Datum Conversion**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

**6.2 Base Map**

The FIRMs and FIS Report for this project have been produced in a digital format. The flood hazard information was converted to a Geographic Information System (GIS) format that meets FEMA’s FIRM database specifications and geographic information standards. This information is provided in a digital format so that it can be incorporated into a local GIS and be accessed more easily by the community. The FIRM Database includes most of the tabular information contained in the FIS Report in such a way that the data can be associated with pertinent spatial features. For example, the information contained in the Floodway Data table and Flood Profiles can be linked to the cross sections that are shown on the FIRMs. Additional information about the FIRM Database and its contents can be found in FEMA’s *Guidelines and Standards for Flood Risk Analysis and Mapping*, [www.fema.gov/guidelines-and-standards-flood-risk-analysis-and-mapping](http://www.fema.gov/guidelines-and-standards-flood-risk-analysis-and-mapping).

Base map information shown on the FIRM was derived from the sources described in Table 22.

**Table 22: Base Map Sources**

Data Type	Data Provider	Data Date	Data Scale	Data Description
Digital Orthophoto	Photo Science, Inc.	2008	*	Orthophoto, DOQ, NAIP
Digital Orthophoto	USDA/FSA Aerial Photography Field Office	2014	*	Orthophoto, DOQ, NAIP
LiDAR	Photo Sciences, Inc.	2012-2014	*	Spatial and attribute information for the index of USGS 7.5-Minute Series Topographic Map boundaries. Additionally, these map boundaries were divided to create the S_FIRM_Panel feature class.
Political boundaries	Commonwealth of Kentucky, Division of Geographic Information	2009	*	Kentucky county boundaries
Permanent Bench Mark Data Sheets	National Geodetic Survey	2004	*	Visual Bench Mark Identification
Permanent Bench Mark Data Sheets	National Geodetic Survey	2005	*	Visual Bench Mark Identification

**Table 22: Base Map Sources continued**

Data Type	Data Provider	Data Date	Data Scale	Data Description
Transportation Features	KYTC Division of Planning	2005	1:24,000	Major roads
Transportation Features	KYTC Division of Planning	2006	1:24,000	Major roads
Waterway Mile Marker Database	USACE	1994	*	River marks along Ohio River, Tennessee River, Kentucky Lake and Cumberland River, Lake Barkely
Water features	USGS	2002	*	S_Wtr_LN feature class

\*Data not available

### 6.3 Floodplain and Floodway Delineation

The FIRM shows tints, screens, and symbols to indicate floodplains and floodways as well as the locations of selected cross sections used in the hydraulic analyses and floodway computations.

For riverine flooding sources, the mapped floodplain boundaries shown on the FIRM have been delineated using the flood elevations determined at each cross section; between cross sections, the boundaries were interpolated using the topographic elevation data described in Table 23.

In cases where the 1% and 0.2% annual chance floodplain boundaries are close together, only the 1% annual chance floodplain boundary has been shown. Small areas within the floodplain boundaries may lie above the flood elevations but cannot be shown due to limitations of the map scale and/or lack of detailed topographic data.

The floodway widths presented in this FIS Report and on the FIRM were computed for certain stream segments on the basis of equal conveyance reduction from each side of the floodplain. Floodway widths were computed at cross sections. Between cross sections, the floodway boundaries were interpolated. Table 2 indicates the flooding sources for which floodways have been determined. The results of the floodway computations for those flooding sources have been tabulated for selected cross sections and are shown in Table 24, "Floodway Data."

**Table 23: Summary of Topographic Elevation Data used in Mapping**

Community	Flooding Source	Source for Topographic Elevation Data					
		Description	Scale	Contour Interval	RMSE <sub>z</sub>	Accuracy <sub>z</sub>	Citation
Carrsville, City of	All within HUC 05140203	USGS 7.5-Minute Series Topographic Map	1:24,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	USGS 1989
Grand Rivers, City of	All within HUC 05140203	USGS 7.5-Minute Series Topographic Map	1:24,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	USGS 1989

**Table 22: Base Map Sources continued**

Community	Flooding Source	Source for Topographic Elevation Data					
		Description	Scale	Contour Interval	RMSE <sub>z</sub>	Accuracy <sub>z</sub>	Citation
Grand Rivers, City of	All within HUC 05130205, 06040005	Light Detection and Ranging data (LiDAR)	N/A	N/A	15.00 cm	30.00 cm	USGS 2015
Livingston County	All within HUC 05140203	USGS 7.5-Minute Series Topographic Map	1:24,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	USGS 1989
Livingston County	All within HUC 05130205, 05140203, 05140206, 06040006, 06040005	Light Detection and Ranging data (LiDAR)	N/A	N/A	15.00 cm	30.00 cm	USGS 2015
Salem, City of	All within HUC 05130205	Light Detection and Ranging data (LiDAR)	N/A	N/A	15.00 cm	30.00 cm	USGS 2015
Smithland, City of	All within HUC 05130205, 05140203, 05140206	USGS 7.5-Minute Series Topographic Map	1:24,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	USGS 1989
Smithland, City of	All within HUC 05130205, 05140203, 05140206	Light Detection and Ranging data (LiDAR)	N/A	N/A	15.00 cm	30.00 cm	USGS 2015

BFEs shown at cross sections on the FIRM represent the 1% annual chance water surface elevations shown on the Flood Profiles and in the Floodway Data tables in the FIS Report.

**Table 24: Floodway Data**

LOCATION		FLOODWAY			1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION ( FEET NAVD88)			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH <sup>2</sup> (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/ SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
A	931.9	15,976/3,651	427,123	2.8	340.2	340.2	341.1	0.9
B	930.9	15,547/3,893	428,268	2.8	340.4	340.4	341.3	0.9
C	929.9	15,492/4,257	400,559	3.0	340.6	340.6	341.6	1.0
D	929.0	15,150/3,926	397,643	3.0	340.8	340.8	341.8	1.0
E	927.9	14,220/3,496	373,551	3.2	341.0	341.0	342.0	1.0
F	927.0	13,973/2,490	436,228	2.7	341.2	341.2	342.1	0.9
G	926.0	12,690/1,817	342,110	3.5	341.3	341.3	342.2	0.9
H	925.0	11,500/1,903	316,458	3.7	341.5	341.5	342.5	1.0
I	922.9	6,050/4,993	222,201	5.3	341.2	341.2	342.1	0.9
J	922.0	5,220/4,998	217,276	5.5	342.5	342.5	343.5	1.0
K	921.0	5,530/5,528	201,417	5.9	342.8	342.8	343.8	1.0
L	918.0	8,223/6,043	273,080	4.2	344.3	344.3	344.9	0.6
M	917.0	8,071/5,856	260,060	4.4	344.5	344.5	345.1	0.6
N	916.0	8,247/3,944	261,987	4.4	344.8	344.8	345.4	0.6
O	915.0	7,364/2,833	249,205	4.6	345.2	345.2	345.8	0.6
P	914.6	7,470/3,299	250,133	4.6	345.5	345.5	346.1	0.6
Q	914.0	6,872/5,518	224,995	5.1	345.8	345.8	346.4	0.6
R	913.0	5,312/5,204	241,658	4.7	346.4	346.4	347.0	0.6
S	912.0	4,716/4,117	201,773	5.7	346.5	346.5	347.0	0.5
T	911.0	4,879/4,881	179,434	6.4	346.8	346.8	347.3	0.5
U	910.0	6,923/4,641	262,321	4.4	347.8	347.8	348.4	0.6

<sup>1</sup>Stream distance in miles below Pittsburgh

<sup>2</sup>Width/Width within county

TABLE 24

**FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
LIVINGSTON COUNTY, KENTUCKY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**FLOODING SOURCE: OHIO RIVER**

**Table 24: Floodway Data continued**

LOCATION		FLOODWAY			1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION (FEET NAVD88)			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH <sup>2</sup> (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
<b>OHIO RIVER (CONTINUED)</b>								
V	909.0	7,711/4,673	286,213	4.0	348.0	348.0	348.6	0.6
W	908.0	6,233/5,642	245,405	4.7	348.3	348.3	348.9	0.6
X	907.0	5,381/4,783	200,227	5.7	348.5	348.5	349.1	0.6
Y	906.0	4,883/4,269	194,942	5.9	349.1	349.1	349.7	0.6
Z	905.0	4,552/4,121	181,573	6.3	349.5	349.5	350.1	0.6
AA	904.0	3,329/2,495	174,326	6.6	350.0	350.0	350.6	0.6
AB	903.0	2,942/2,828	161,342	7.1	350.3	350.3	351.0	0.7
AC	902.0	3,505/3,294	189,211	6.0	351.0	351.0	351.7	0.7
AD	901.0	4,738/4,520	209,810	5.5	351.6	351.6	352.2	0.6
AE	900.6	4,570/4,558	193,628	5.9	351.7	351.7	352.4	0.7
AF	900.0	4,050/3,898	216,475	5.3	352.0	352.0	352.7	0.7
AG	899.0	2,663/2,586	164,994	6.9	352.2	352.2	352.8	0.6
AH	898.0	2,405/2,317	140,517	8.1	352.4	352.4	353.1	0.7
AI	897.0	2,849/2,548	161,944	7.1	353.3	353.3	354.0	0.7
AJ	896.0	4,097/2,695	204,743	5.6	353.9	353.9	354.5	0.6
AK	895.0	3,906/1,304	159,070	7.2	353.9	353.9	354.5	0.6
AL	894.0	4,940/1,325	219,961	5.2	355.1	355.1	355.8	0.7
AM	893.0	4,760/1,636	211,495	5.4	355.3	355.3	356.0	0.7

<sup>1</sup>Stream distance in miles below Pittsburgh

<sup>2</sup>Width/Width within county

<b>TABLE 24</b>	<b>FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY</b>	<b>FLOODWAY DATA</b>
	<b>LIVINGSTON COUNTY, KENTUCKY</b>	
	<b>AND INCORPORATED AREAS</b>	<b>FLOODING SOURCE: OHIO RIVER</b>

**Table 24: Floodway Data continued**

LOCATION		FLOODWAY			1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION (FEET NAVD88)			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH <sup>2</sup> (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
A	3.1	6,000/2,697 <sup>3</sup>	176,490	2.9	340.2	339.2 <sup>4</sup>	340.1	0.9
B	5.3	2,830/2,130	112,046	4.6	340.5	339.5 <sup>5</sup>	340.4	0.9
C	7.8	3,820/2,807	130,380	4.0	340.5	340.2 <sup>5</sup>	341.0	0.8
D	9.4	3,550/1,568	124,041	4.2	340.5	340.5	341.4	0.9
E	11.9	3,100/1,845	117,566	4.4	341.0	341.0	341.9	0.9
F	13.8	3,580/2,546	121,745	4.3	341.5	341.5	342.5	1.0
G	15.8	3,000/1,927	115,547	4.5	341.9	341.9	342.8	0.9
H	17.5	3,080/1,631	114,481	4.5	342.8	342.8	343.7	0.9
I	19.7	3,200/1,631	114,481	4.5	342.8	342.8	343.7	0.9
J	21.6	3,000/1,211	109,207	4.7	343.2	343.2	344.2	1.0

<sup>1</sup>Stream distance in miles above confluence with Ohio River

<sup>2</sup>Width/Width within county

<sup>3</sup>Width is encompassed by Ohio River floodway

<sup>4</sup>Elevation computed without consideration of overflow effects from Ohio River

<sup>5</sup>Elevation computed without consideration of backwater effects from Ohio River

TABLE 24

**FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
LIVINGSTON COUNTY, KENTUCKY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**FLOODING SOURCE: TENNESSEE RIVER**

## **Table 25: Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data for Selected Streams**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

### **6.4 Coastal Flood Hazard Mapping**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

## **Table 26: Summary of Coastal Transect Mapping Considerations**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

### **6.5 FIRM Revisions**

This FIS Report and the FIRM are based on the most up-to-date information available to FEMA at the time of its publication; however, flood hazard conditions change over time. Communities or private parties may request flood map revisions at any time. Certain types of requests require submission of supporting data. FEMA may also initiate a revision. Revisions may take several forms, including Letters of Map Amendment (LOMAs), Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill (LOMR-Fs), Letters of Map Revision (LOMRs) (referred to collectively as Letters of Map Change (LOMCs)), Physical Map Revisions (PMRs), and FEMA-contracted restudies. These types of revisions are further described below. Some of these types of revisions do not result in the republishing of the FIS Report. To assure that any user is aware of all revisions, it is advisable to contact the community repository of flood-hazard data (shown in Table 31, “Map Repositories”).

#### **6.5.1 Letters of Map Amendment**

A LOMA is an official revision by letter to an effective NFIP map. A LOMA results from an administrative process that involves the review of scientific or technical data submitted by the owner or lessee of property who believes the property has incorrectly been included in a designated SFHA. A LOMA amends the currently effective FEMA map and establishes that a specific property is not located in a SFHA.

To obtain an application for a LOMA, visit [www.fema.gov/floodplain-management/letter-map-amendment-loma](http://www.fema.gov/floodplain-management/letter-map-amendment-loma) and download the form “MT-1 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional and Final Letters of Map Amendment and Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill”. Visit the “Flood Map-Related Fees” section to determine the cost, if any, of applying for a LOMA.

FEMA offers a tutorial on how to apply for a LOMA. The LOMA Tutorial Series can be accessed at [www.fema.gov/online-tutorials](http://www.fema.gov/online-tutorials).

For more information about how to apply for a LOMA, call the FEMA Map Information eXchange; toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627).

#### **6.5.2 Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill**

A LOMR-F is an official revision by letter to an effective NFIP map. A LOMR-F states FEMA’s determination concerning whether a structure or parcel has been elevated on fill above the base flood elevation and is, therefore, excluded from the SFHA.

Information about obtaining an application for a LOMR-F can be obtained in the same manner as that for a LOMA, by visiting [www.fema.gov/floodplain-management/letter-map-amendment-loma](http://www.fema.gov/floodplain-management/letter-map-amendment-loma) for the “MT-1 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional and Final Letters of Map Amendment and Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill” or by calling the FEMA Map Information eXchange, toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627). Fees for applying for a LOMR-F, if any, are listed in the “Flood Map-Related Fees” section.

A tutorial for LOMR-F is available at [www.fema.gov/online-tutorials](http://www.fema.gov/online-tutorials).

### **6.5.3 Letters of Map Revision**

A LOMR is an official revision to the currently effective FEMA map. It is used to change flood zones, floodplain and floodway delineations, flood elevations and planimetric features. All requests for LOMRs should be made to FEMA through the chief executive officer of the community, since it is the community that must adopt any changes and revisions to the map. If the request for a LOMR is not submitted through the chief executive officer of the community, evidence must be submitted that the community has been notified of the request.

To obtain an application for a LOMR, visit [www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-flood-hazard-mapping/mt-2-application-forms-and-instructions](http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-flood-hazard-mapping/mt-2-application-forms-and-instructions) and download the form “MT-2 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional Letters of Map Revision and Letters of Map Revision”. Visit the “Flood Map-Related Fees” section to determine the cost of applying for a LOMR. For more information about how to apply for a LOMR, call the FEMA Map Information eXchange; toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627) to speak to a Map Specialist.

Previously issued mappable LOMCs (including LOMRs) that have been incorporated into the Livingston County FIRM are listed in Table 27.

**Table 27: Incorporated Letters of Map Change**

[Not applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

### **6.5.4 Physical Map Revisions**

Physical Map Revisions (PMRs) are an official republication of a community’s NFIP map to effect changes to base flood elevations, floodplain boundary delineations, regulatory floodways and planimetric features. These changes typically occur as a result of structural works or improvements, annexations resulting in additional flood hazard areas or correction to base flood elevations or SFHAs.

The community’s chief executive officer must submit scientific and technical data to FEMA to support the request for a PMR. The data will be analyzed and the map will be revised if warranted. The community is provided with copies of the revised information and is afforded a review period. When the base flood elevations are changed, a 90-day appeal period is provided. A 6-month adoption period for formal approval of the revised map(s) is also provided.

For more information about the PMR process, please visit [www.fema.gov](http://www.fema.gov) and visit the “Flood Map Revision Processes” section.

### **6.5.5 Contracted Restudies**

The NFIP provides for a periodic review and restudy of flood hazards within a given community. FEMA accomplishes this through a national watershed-based mapping needs assessment strategy,

known as the Coordinated Needs Management Strategy (CNMS). The CNMS is used by FEMA to assign priorities and allocate funding for new flood hazard analyses used to update the FIS Report and FIRM. The goal of CNMS is to define the validity of the engineering study data within a mapped inventory. The CNMS is used to track the assessment process, document engineering gaps and their resolution, and aid in prioritization for using flood risk as a key factor for areas identified for flood map updates. Visit [www.fema.gov](http://www.fema.gov) to learn more about the CNMS or contact the FEMA Regional Office listed in Section 8 of this FIS Report.

### 6.5.6 Community Map History

The current FIRM presents flooding information for the entire geographic area of Livingston County. Previously, separate FIRMs, Flood Hazard Boundary Maps (FHBM) and/or Flood Boundary and Floodway Maps (FBFM) may have been prepared for the incorporated communities and the unincorporated areas in the county that had identified SFHAs. Current and historical data relating to the maps prepared for the project area are presented in Table 28, "Community Map History." A description of each of the column headings and the source of the date is also listed below.

- *Community Name* includes communities falling within the geographic area shown on the FIRM, including those that fall on the boundary line, nonparticipating communities, and communities with maps that have been rescinded. Communities with No Special Flood Hazards are indicated by a footnote. If all maps (FHBM, FBFM, and FIRM) were rescinded for a community, it is not listed in this table unless SFHAs have been identified in this community.
- *Initial Identification Date (First NFIP Map Published)* is the date of the first NFIP map that identified flood hazards in the community. If the FHBM has been converted to a FIRM, the initial FHBM date is shown. If the community has never been mapped, the upcoming effective date or "pending" (for Preliminary FIS Reports) is shown. If the community is listed in Table 28 but not identified on the map, the community is treated as if it were unmapped.
- *Initial FHBM Effective Date* is the effective date of the first Flood Hazard Boundary Map (FHBM). This date may be the same date as the Initial NFIP Map Date.
- *FHBM Revision Date(s)* is the date(s) that the FHBM was revised, if applicable.
- *Initial FIRM Effective Date* is the date of the first effective FIRM for the community.
- *FIRM Revision Date(s)* is the date(s) the FIRM was revised, if applicable. This is the revised date that is shown on the FIRM panel, if applicable. As countywide studies are completed or revised, each community listed should have its FIRM dates updated accordingly to reflect the date of the countywide study. Once the FIRMs exist in countywide format, as Physical Map Revisions (PMR) of FIRM panels within the county are completed, the FIRM Revision Dates in the table for each community affected by the PMR are updated with the date of the PMR, even if the PMR did not revise all the panels within that community.

The initial effective date for the Livingston County FIRMs in countywide format was 08/16/2012.

**Table 28: Community Map History**

Community Name	Initial Identification Date	Initial FHBM Effective Date	FHBM Revision Date(s)	Initial FIRM Effective Date	FIRM Revision Date(s)
Carrsville, City of <sup>1</sup>	08/16/2012	N/A	N/A	08/16/2012	TBD
Grand Rivers, City of <sup>1</sup>	08/16/2012	N/A	N/A	08/16/2012	TBD
Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas <sup>1</sup>	08/16/2012	N/A	N/A	08/16/2012	TBD
Salem, City of <sup>1</sup>	08/16/2012	N/A	N/A	08/16/2012	TBD
Smithland, City of	02/01/1974	02/01/1974	03/05/1976	09/16/1988	TBD 08/16/2012

<sup>1</sup> This community did not have a FIRM prior to the first countywide FIRM for Livingston County

## SECTION 7.0 – CONTRACTED STUDIES AND COMMUNITY COORDINATION

### 7.1 Contracted Studies

Table 29 provides a summary of the contracted studies, by flooding source, that are included in this FIS Report.

**Table 29: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report**

Flooding Source	FIS Report Dated	Contractor	Number	Work Completed Date	Affected Communities
All Zone A Streams in HUC-8 05140203	08/16/2012	AMEC	EMA-2007-CA-5772	September 2008	Carrsville, City of; Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas
All Zone A Streams in HUC 05130205	TBD	AECOM	FY12.10	May 2015	Grand Rivers, City of; Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas; Salem, City of; Smithland, City of
Cooper Creek	08/16/2012	AMEC	EMA-2007-CA-5772	September 2008	Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas
Dry Branch	08/16/2012	AMEC	EMA-2007-CA-5772	September 2008	Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas
Guess Creek	08/16/2012	AMEC	EMA-2007-CA-5772	September 2008	Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas
Guess Creek Tributary 9	08/16/2012	AMEC	EMA-2007-CA-5772	September 2008	Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas
Jones Creek	08/16/2012	AMEC	EMA-2007-CA-5772	September 2008	Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas

**Table 29: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report**

Flooding Source	FIS Report Dated	Contractor	Number	Work Completed Date	Affected Communities
Lee Creek	08/16/2012	AMEC	EMA-2007-CA-5772	September 2008	Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas
Ohio River	08/16/2012	AMEC	EMA-2007-CA-5772	September 2008	Carrsville, City of; Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas; Smithland, City of
Tennessee River	08/16/2012	AMEC	EMA-2007-CA-5772	September 2008	Grand Rivers, City of; Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas

## 7.2 Community Meetings

The dates of the community meetings held for this Flood Risk Project and previous Flood Risk Projects are shown in Table 30. These meetings may have previously been referred to by a variety of names (Community Coordination Officer (CCO), Scoping, Discovery, etc.), but all meetings represent opportunities for FEMA, community officials, study contractors, and other invited guests to discuss the planning for and results of the project.

**Table 30: Community Meetings**

Community	FIS Report Dated	Date of Meeting	Meeting Type	Attended By
Carrsville, City of	8/8/8888	9/18/2013	Discovery	FEMA, KDOW, KYEM, USACE, and the study contractor
		8/8/8888	CCO Meeting	TBD
	08/16/2012	05/22/2007	Scoping	FEMA, KDOW, the community, and the study contractor
		03/03/2009	CCO Meeting	FEMA, KDOW, the community, and the study contractor
Grand Rivers, City of	8/8/8888	9/18/2013	Discovery	FEMA, KDOW, KYEM, USACE, and the study contractor
		8/8/8888	CCO Meeting	TBD
	08/16/2012	05/22/2007	Scoping	FEMA, KDOW, the community, and the study contractor
		03/03/2009	CCO Meeting	FEMA, KDOW, the community, and the study contractor
Livingston County Unincorporated Areas	8/8/8888	9/18/2013	Discovery	FEMA, KDOW, KYEM, USACE, and the study contractor
		8/8/8888	CCO Meeting	TBD
	08/16/2012	05/22/2007	Scoping	FEMA, KDOW, the community, and the study contractor
		03/03/2009	CCO Meeting	FEMA, KDOW, the community, and the study contractor
Salem, City of	8/8/8888	9/18/2013	Discovery	FEMA, KDOW, KYEM, USACE, and the study contractor
		8/8/8888	CCO Meeting	TBD
	08/16/2012	05/22/2007	Scoping	FEMA, KDOW, the community, and the study contractor
		03/03/2009	CCO Meeting	FEMA, KDOW, the community, and the study contractor
Smithland, City of	8/8/8888	9/18/2013	Discovery	FEMA, KDOW, KYEM, USACE, and the study contractor
		8/8/8888	CCO Meeting	TBD
	08/16/2012	05/22/2007	Scoping	FEMA, KDOW, the community, and the study contractor
		03/03/2009	CCO Meeting	FEMA, KDOW, the community, and the study contractor

## SECTION 8.0 – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Information concerning the pertinent data used in the preparation of this FIS Report can be obtained by submitting an order with any required payment to the FEMA Engineering Library. For more information on this process, see [www.fema.gov](http://www.fema.gov).

Table 31 is a list of the locations where FIRMs for Livingston County can be viewed. Please note that the maps at these locations are for reference only and are not for distribution. Also, please note that only the maps for the community listed in the table are available at that particular repository. A user may need to visit another repository to view maps from an adjacent community.

**Table 31: Map Repositories**

Community	Address	City	State	Zip Code
Carrsville, City of	Livingston County Offices & Library 321 Court Street	Smithland	KY	42081
Grand Rivers, City of	City Hall 122 West Cumberland Avenue	Grand Rivers	KY	42045
Livingston County, Unincorporated Areas	Livingston County Offices & Library 321 Court Street	Smithland	KY	42081
Salem, City of	City Hall 111 Court Street	Salem	KY	42078
Smithland, City of	City Hall 310 Wilson Avenue	Smithland	KY	42081

The National Flood Hazard Layer (NFHL) dataset is a compilation of effective FIRM databases and LOMCs. Together they create a GIS data layer for a State or Territory. The NFHL is updated as studies become effective and extracts are made available to the public monthly. NFHL data can be viewed or ordered from the website shown in Table 32.

Table 32 contains useful contact information regarding the FIS Report, the FIRM, and other relevant flood hazard and GIS data. In addition, information about the State NFIP Coordinator and GIS Coordinator is shown in this table. At the request of FEMA, each Governor has designated an agency of State or territorial government to coordinate that State's or territory's NFIP activities. These agencies often assist communities in developing and adopting necessary floodplain management measures. State GIS Coordinators are knowledgeable about the availability and location of State and local GIS data in their state.

**Table 32: Additional Information**

FEMA and the NFIP	
FEMA and FEMA Engineering Library website	<a href="http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-flood-hazard-mapping/engineering-library">www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-flood-hazard-mapping/engineering-library</a>

**Table 32: Additional Information continued**

NFIP website	<a href="http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program">www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program</a>
NFHL Dataset	<a href="http://msc.fema.gov">msc.fema.gov</a>
FEMA Region IV	Federal Emergency Management Agency, 3003 Chamblee Tucker Road, Atlanta, GA 30341 770-220-5200
Other Federal Agencies	
USGS website	<a href="http://www.usgs.gov">www.usgs.gov</a>
Hydraulic Engineering Center website	<a href="http://www.hec.usace.army.mil">www.hec.usace.army.mil</a>
State Agencies and Organizations	
State NFIP Coordinator	Alex J. VanPelt KY Division of Water 200 Fair Oaks Lane Frankfort, KY 40601 502-564-3410 4952 <a href="mailto:alex.vanpelt@ky.gov">alex.vanpelt@ky.gov</a>
State GIS Coordinator	Kent Anness Statewide GIS Coordinator Commonwealth Office of Technology Kentucky Division of Geographic Information 669 Chamberlin Drive Frankfort, KY 40601 Phone: 502-564-6268 <a href="mailto:kent.anness@ky.gov">kent.anness@ky.gov</a>
Statewide Regulatory Coordinator	Carey Johnson Statewide Regulatory Coordinator 200 Fair Oaks Lane, Fourth Floor Frankfort, KY 40601 Phone: 502-564-3410 <a href="mailto:carey.johnson@ky.gov">carey.johnson@ky.gov</a>

## SECTION 9.0 – BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES

Table 33 includes sources used in the preparation of and cited in this FIS Report as well as additional studies that have been conducted in the study area.

**Table 33: Bibliography and References**

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	<i>Publication Title, "Article," Volume, Number, etc.</i>	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
Explore Kentucky Lake 2016	Explore Kentucky Lake/Explorations Media Group, LLC	<i>Lake Barkley History</i>				<a href="https://www.explorekentuckylake.com/lakesarea/history/barkley.htm">https://www.explorekentuckylake.com/lakesarea/history/barkley.htm</a>
FEMA 2012	Federal Emergency Management Agency	<i>Flood Insurance Study Livingston County, Kentucky</i>		Washington, D.C.	2012	FEMA Flood Map Service Center <a href="http://msc.fema.gov">msc.fema.gov</a>
KY DGI 2005	Commonwealth of Kentucky, Division of Geographic Information	<i>County Boundary Polygons of Kentucky</i>		Frankfort, KY	January 2005	<a href="http://kygeonet.ky.gov/">http://kygeonet.ky.gov/</a>
KYTC 2015	Kentucky Transportation Commission, Division of Planning	<i>KYTC Major Roads</i>		Frankfort, KY	August 2015	<a href="http://kygeonet.ky.gov/">http://kygeonet.ky.gov/</a>
Lake Productions 2016	Lake Productions, LLC	<i>Kentucky Lake History &amp; Facts</i>		Marion, KY	2016	<a href="http://www.kentuckylake.com/kentucky-lake.shtml">http://www.kentuckylake.com/kentucky-lake.shtml</a>
NGS 2004	National Geodetic Survey	<i>Permanent Bench Mark Data Sheets</i>	National Geodetic Survey	Silver Spring, MD	2004	<a href="http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/">http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/</a>
NGS 2005	National Geodetic Survey	<i>Permanent Bench Mark Data Sheets</i>	National Geodetic Survey	Silver Spring, MD	2005	<a href="http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/">http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/</a>
NOAA 1937	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	<i>Monthly Weather Report, February 1937</i>		Silver Spring, MD	February 1937	<a href="http://www.lib.noaa.gov/">http://www.lib.noaa.gov/</a>

**Table 33: Bibliography and References continued**

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	Publication Title, "Article," Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
Photo Sciences 2008	Photo Sciences, Inc	<i>Ketucky Staewide 2' Aerial Imagery</i>			June 2008	
Photo Sciences 2015	Photo Sciences, Inc	<i>LiDAR Data, Scale 1:24,0000</i>		Washington, D.C.	2015	
USACE 1981	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Ohio River Divison	<i>Ohio River Profiles</i>		Cincinnati, OH	January 1981	
USACE 1994	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	<i>Waterway Mile Marker Database</i>	USACE		1994	
USACE 2004	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers,Hydrologic Engineering Center	<i>River Analysis System, HEC-RAS, Version 3.1.2 Computer Program, revised April 2004</i>	USACE	Davis, CA	April 2004	
USACE 2010	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers,Hydrologic Engineering Center	<i>River Analysis System, HEC-RAS, Version 4.1.0 Computer Program</i>	USACE	Davis, CA	January 2010	
USGS 1976	U.S. Department of Interior, Geological Survey	<i>Technique for Estimating Magnitude and Frequency of Floods in Kentucky, Water Resources Investigations 76-62</i>	USGS	Washington, D.C.	November 1976	
USGS 1989	U.S. Department of Interior, Geological Survey	<i>7.5-Minute Series Topographic Maps, Scale 1:24,000</i>		Washington, D.C.	January 1989	<a href="http://topomaps.usgs.gov">topomaps.usgs.gov</a>

**Table 33: Bibliography and References continued**

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	<i>Publication Title, "Article,"</i> Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
USGS 2002	U.S. Department of Interior, Geological Survey	<i>National Hydrography Dataset basic features</i>	USGS		2002	
USGS 2004	U.S. Department of Interior, Geological Survey	<i>Sterographic Data</i>		Reston, VA	January 2004	
USGS 2015	U.S. Department of Interior, Geological Survey					<a href="http://lidar.cr.usgs.gov/">lidar.cr.usgs.gov/</a>
USGS 2016	U.S. Department of Interior, Geological Survey	<i>USGS 03399800: Ohio River at Smithland Dam, Smithland, KY</i>		Washington, D.C.	2016	<a href="http://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/">http://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/</a>
Watershed Concepts 2008	Watershed Concepts, a Division of Hays, Seay, Mattern & Mattern, Inc.	<i>Watershed Information System (WISE) Computer Software, Version 4.1.0</i>			2008	