

# FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY

## FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

VOLUME 1 OF 1



### SCOTT COUNTY, KENTUCKY AND INCORPORATED AREAS

COMMUNITY NAME	COMMUNITY NUMBER
GEORGETOWN, CITY OF	210208
SADIEVILLE, CITY OF	210260
SCOTT COUNTY UNINCORPORATED AREAS	210207
STAMPING GROUND, CITY OF	210261



# FEMA

**PRELIMINARY**

**FEB 26 2016**

**REVISED:**  
MONTH DAY, YEAR

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY NUMBER  
**21209CV000B**

Version Number 2.3.3.3

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Volume 1

	<u>Page</u>
<b>SECTION 1.0 – INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 The National Flood Insurance Program	1
1.2 Purpose of this Flood Insurance Study Report	2
1.3 Jurisdictions Included in the Flood Insurance Study Project	2
1.4 Considerations for using this Flood Insurance Study Report	3
<b>SECTION 2.0 – FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Floodplain Boundaries	13
2.2 Floodways	17
2.3 Base Flood Elevations	18
2.4 Non-Encroachment Zones	18
2.5 Coastal Flood Hazard Areas	19
2.5.1 Water Elevations and the Effects of Waves	19
2.5.2 Floodplain Boundaries and BFEs for Coastal Areas	19
2.5.3 Coastal High Hazard Areas	19
2.5.4 Limit of Moderate Wave Action	19
<b>SECTION 3.0 – INSURANCE APPLICATIONS</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1 National Flood Insurance Program Insurance Zones	19
3.2 Coastal Barrier Resources System	20
<b>SECTION 4.0 – AREA STUDIED</b>	<b>20</b>
4.1 Basin Description	20
4.2 Principal Flood Problems	20
4.3 Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures	21
4.4 Levees	21
<b>SECTION 5.0 – ENGINEERING METHODS</b>	<b>22</b>
5.1 Hydrologic Analyses	22
5.2 Hydraulic Analyses	27
5.3 Coastal Analyses	31
5.3.1 Total Stillwater Elevations	31
5.3.2 Waves	31
5.3.3 Coastal Erosion	31
5.3.4 Wave Hazard Analyses	32
5.4 Alluvial Fan Analyses	32
<b>SECTION 6.0 – MAPPING METHODS</b>	<b>32</b>
6.1 Vertical and Horizontal Control	32
6.2 Base Map	33
6.3 Floodplain and Floodway Delineation	34
6.4 Coastal Flood Hazard Mapping	49
6.5 FIRM Revisions	49

6.5.1	Letters of Map Amendment	49
6.5.2	Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill	49
6.5.3	Letters of Map Revision	50
6.5.4	Physical Map Revisions	50
6.5.5	Contracted Restudies	51
6.5.6	Community Map History	51
<b>SECTION 7.0 – CONTRACTED STUDIES AND COMMUNITY COORDINATION</b>		<b>52</b>
7.1	Contracted Studies	52
7.2	Community Meetings	53
<b>SECTION 8.0 – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</b>		<b>55</b>
<b>SECTION 9.0 – BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES</b>		<b>56</b>

#### Figures

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 1: FIRM Panel Index	5
Figure 2: FIRM Notes to Users	6
Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM	9
Figure 4: Floodway Schematic	17
Figure 5: Wave Runup Transect Schematic	19
Figure 6: Coastal Transect Schematic	19
Figure 7: Frequency Discharge-Drainage Area Curves	27
Figure 8: 1% Annual Chance Total Stillwater Elevations for Coastal Areas	31
Figure 9: Transect Location Map	32

#### Tables

	<u>Page</u>
Table 1: Listing of NFIP Jurisdictions	2
Table 2: Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report	14
Table 3: Flood Zone Designations by Community	19
Table 4: Coastal Barrier Resources System Information	20
Table 5: Basin Characteristics	20
Table 6: Principal Flood Problems	20
Table 7: Historic Flooding Elevations	21
Table 8: Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures	21
Table 9: Levees	21
Table 10: Summary of Discharges	23
Table 11: Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevations	27
Table 12: Stream Gage Information used to Determine Discharges	27
Table 13: Summary of Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analyses	28
Table 14: Roughness Coefficients	31
Table 15: Summary of Coastal Analyses	31

Table 16: Tide Gage Analysis Specifics	31
Table 17: Coastal Transect Parameters	32
Table 18: Summary of Alluvial Fan Analyses	32
Table 19: Results of Alluvial Fan Analyses	32
Table 20: Countywide Vertical Datum Conversion	33
Table 21: Stream-by-Stream Vertical Datum Conversion	33
Table 22: Base Map Sources	33
Table 23: Summary of Topographic Elevation Data used in Mapping	35
Table 24: Floodway Data	46
Table 25: Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data for Selected Streams	47
Table 26: Summary of Coastal Transect Mapping Considerations	49
Table 27: Incorporated Letters of Map Change	50
Table 28: Community Map History	52
Table 29: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report	52
Table 30: Community Meetings	54
Table 31: Map Repositories	55
Table 32: Additional Information	56
Table 33: Bibliography and References	57

**Volume 1**  
**Exhibits**

Flood Profiles	<u>Panel</u>
Cane Run	01-04 P
Cane Run Tributary	05-06 P
Dry Run	07-09 P
Eagle Creek	10-12 P
Lanes Run	13-15 P
Locust Fork	16-18 P
North Elkhorn Creek	19-24g P
Royal Springs Creek	24h P
South Elkhorn Creek	25 P

**Published Separately**

Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)

# FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY REPORT SCOTT COUNTY, KENTUCKY

## SECTION 1.0 – INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 The National Flood Insurance Program

The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) is a voluntary Federal program that enables property owners in participating communities to purchase insurance protection against losses from flooding. This insurance is designed to provide an insurance alternative to disaster assistance to meet the escalating costs of repairing damage to buildings and their contents caused by floods.

For decades, the national response to flood disasters was generally limited to constructing flood-control works such as dams, levees, sea-walls, and the like, and providing disaster relief to flood victims. This approach did not reduce losses nor did it discourage unwise development. In some instances, it may have actually encouraged additional development. To compound the problem, the public generally could not buy flood coverage from insurance companies, and building techniques to reduce flood damage were often overlooked.

In the face of mounting flood losses and escalating costs of disaster relief to the general taxpayers, the U.S. Congress created the NFIP. The intent was to reduce future flood damage through community floodplain management ordinances, and provide protection for property owners against potential losses through an insurance mechanism that requires a premium to be paid for the protection.

The U.S. Congress established the NFIP on August 1, 1968, with the passage of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968. The NFIP was broadened and modified with the passage of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and other legislative measures. It was further modified by the National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 and the Flood Insurance Reform Act of 2004. The NFIP is administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), which is a component of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

Participation in the NFIP is based on an agreement between local communities and the Federal Government. If a community adopts and enforces floodplain management regulations to reduce future flood risks to new construction and substantially improved structures in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs), the Federal Government will make flood insurance available within the community as a financial protection against flood losses. The community's floodplain management regulations must meet or exceed criteria established in accordance with Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 60.3, *Criteria for land Management and Use*.

SFHAs are delineated on the community's Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). Under the NFIP, buildings that were built before the flood hazard was identified on the community's FIRMs are generally referred to as "Pre-FIRM" buildings. When the NFIP was created, the U.S. Congress recognized that insurance for Pre-FIRM buildings would be prohibitively expensive if the premiums were not subsidized by the Federal Government. Congress also recognized that most of these floodprone buildings were built by individuals who did not have sufficient knowledge of the flood hazard to make informed decisions. The NFIP requires that full actuarial rates reflecting the

complete flood risk be charged on all buildings constructed or substantially improved on or after the effective date of the initial FIRM for the community or after December 31, 1974, whichever is later. These buildings are generally referred to as “Post-FIRM” buildings.

**1.2 Purpose of this Flood Insurance Study Report**

This Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report revises and updates information on the existence and severity of flood hazards for the study area. The studies described in this report developed flood hazard data that will be used to establish actuarial flood insurance rates and to assist communities in efforts to implement sound floodplain management.

In some states or communities, floodplain management criteria or regulations may exist that are more restrictive than the minimum Federal requirements. Contact your State NFIP Coordinator to ensure that any higher State standards are included in the community’s regulations.

**1.3 Jurisdictions Included in the Flood Insurance Study Project**

This FIS Report covers the entire geographic area of Scott County, Kentucky.

The jurisdictions that are included in this project area, along with the Community Identification Number (CID) for each community and the 8-digit Hydrologic Unit Codes (HUC-8) sub-basins affecting each, are shown in Table 1. The Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) panel numbers that affect each community are listed. If the flood hazard data for the community is not included in this FIS Report, the location of that data is identified.

**Table 1: Listing of NFIP Jurisdictions**

Community	CID	HUC-8 Sub-Basin(s)	Located on FIRM Panel(s)	If Not Included, Location of Flood Hazard Data
Georgetown, City of	210208	05100205	21209C0119D 21209C0120D 21209C0176D 21209C0177D 21209C0178D 21209C0179D 21209C0181D 21209C0182D 21209C0183D 21209C0184D 21209C0187D 21209C0191D 21209C0195D	
Sadieville, City of	210260	05100205	21209C0043C 21209C0044C 21209C0050C 21209C0125C	

**Table 1: Listing of NFIP Jurisdictions**

Community	CID	HUC-8 Sub-Basin(s)	Located on FIRM Panel(s)	If Not Included, Location of Flood Hazard Data
Scott County Unincorporated Areas	210207	05100205	21209C0025C 21209C0043C 21209C0044C 21209C0050C 21209C0065C 21209C0075C <sup>1</sup> 21209C0089C 21209C0093C 21209C0100C 21209C0115D 21209C0119D 21209C0120D 21209C0125C 21209C0150D 21209C0175D 21209C0176D 21209C0177D 21209C0178D 21209C0179D 21209C0181D 21209C0182D 21209C0183D 21209C0184D 21209C0187D 21209C0190D 21209C0191D 21209C0195D 21209C0225D 21209C0235D 21209C0251C 21209C0250C <sup>1</sup> 21209C0275C <sup>1</sup>	
Stamping Grounds, City of	210261	05100205	21209C0089C 21209C0093C	

<sup>1</sup>Panel Not Printed

**1.4 Considerations for using this Flood Insurance Study Report**

The NFIP encourages State and local governments to implement sound floodplain management

programs. To assist in this endeavor, each FIS Report provides floodplain data, which may include a combination of the following: 10-, 4-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2-percent annual chance flood elevations (the 1% annual chance flood elevation is also referred to as the Base Flood Elevation (BFE)); delineations of the 1% annual chance and 0.2% annual chance floodplains; and 1% annual chance floodway. This information is presented on the FIRM and/or in many components of the FIS Report, including Flood Profiles, Floodway Data tables, Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevations tables, and Coastal Transect Parameters tables (not all components may be provided for a specific FIS).

This section presents important considerations for using the information contained in this FIS Report and the FIRM, including changes in format and content. Figures 1, 2, and 3 present information that applies to using the FIRM with the FIS Report.

- Part or all of this FIS Report may be revised and republished at any time. In addition, part of this FIS Report may be revised by a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR), which does not involve republication or redistribution of the FIS Report. Refer to Section 6.5 of this FIS Report for information about the process to revise the FIS Report and/or FIRM.

It is, therefore, the responsibility of the user to consult with community officials by contacting the community repository to obtain the most current FIS Report components. Communities participating in the NFIP have established repositories of flood hazard data for floodplain management and flood insurance purposes. Community map repository addresses are provided in Table 31, “Map Repositories,” within this FIS Report.


- New FIS Reports are frequently developed for multiple communities, such as entire counties. A countywide FIS Report incorporates previous FIS Reports for individual communities and the unincorporated area of the county (if not jurisdictional) into a single document and supersedes those documents for the purposes of the NFIP.

The initial Countywide FIS Report for Scott County became effective on January 8, 2014. Refer to Table 28 for information about subsequent revisions to the FIRMs.


- FEMA has developed a *Guide to Flood Maps* (FEMA 258) and online tutorials to assist users in accessing the information contained on the FIRM. These include how to read panels and step-by-step instructions to obtain specific information. To obtain this guide and other assistance in using the FIRM, visit the FEMA Web site at <http://www.fema.gov/online-tutorials>.

The FIRM Index in Figure 1 shows the overall FIRM panel layout within Scott County, and also displays the panel number and effective date for each FIRM panel in the county. Other information shown on the FIRM Index includes community boundaries, flooding sources, watershed boundaries, and United States Geological Survey (USGS) Hydrologic Unit Code – 8 (HUC-8) codes.

Figure 1: FIRM Panel Index



**ATTENTION:** The corporate limits shown on this FIRM Index are based on the best information available at the time of publication. As such, they may be more current than those shown on FIRM panels issued before MONTH DAY, YEAR.



Map Projection:  
Kentucky State Plane Single Zone  
North American Datum 1983

THE INFORMATION DEPICTED ON THIS MAP AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION ARE ALSO AVAILABLE IN DIGITAL FORMAT AT [HTTP://MSC.FEMA.GOV](http://MSC.FEMA.GOV)

SEE FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

\*PANEL NOT PRINTED - AREA OUTSIDE COUNTY BOUNDARY

**MAP SYMBOLOGY INDEX LAYERS**

- Highway
- Stream Centerline
- FIRM Panel
- Watershed Boundary
- City, Town
- Scott County

**NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP INDEX**

SCOTT COUNTY, KENTUCKY and Incorporated Areas  
PANELS PRINTED: 0025, 0043, 0044, 0050, 0065, 0089, 0093, 0100, 0115, 0119, 0120, 0125, 0150, 0175, 0176, 0177, 0178, 0179, 0181, 0182, 0183, 0184, 0187, 0190, 0191, 0195, 0225, 0235, 0251

**PRELIMINARY**  
**FEB 26 2016**



FEMA

MAP NUMBER  
21209CIND0B  
MAP REVISED

Each FIRM panel may contain specific notes to the user that provide additional information regarding the flood hazard data shown on that map. However, the FIRM panel does not contain enough space to show all the notes that may be relevant in helping to better understand the information on the panel. Figure 2 contains the full list of these notes.

**Figure 2: FIRM Notes to Users**

## **NOTES TO USERS**

For information and questions about this map, available products associated with this FIRM including historic versions of this FIRM, how to order products, or the National Flood Insurance Program in general, please call the FEMA Map Information eXchange at 1-877-FEMA-MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA Flood Map Service Center website at <http://msc.fema.gov>. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. Many of these products can be ordered or obtained directly from the website. Users may determine the current map date for each FIRM panel by visiting the FEMA Flood Map Service Center website or by calling the FEMA Map Information eXchange.

Communities annexing land on adjacent FIRM panels must obtain a current copy of the adjacent panel as well as the current FIRM Index. These may be ordered directly from the Map Service Center at the number listed above.

For community and countywide map dates, refer to Table 28 in this FIS Report.

To determine if flood insurance is available in the community, contact your insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620.

PRELIMINARY FIS REPORT: FEMA maintains information about map features, such as street locations and names, in or near designated flood hazard areas. Requests to revise information in or near designated flood hazard areas may be provided to FEMA during the community review period, at the final Consultation Coordination Officer's meeting, or during the statutory 90-day appeal period. Approved requests for changes will be shown on the final printed FIRM.

The map is for use in administering the NFIP. It may not identify all areas subject to flooding, particularly from local drainage sources of small size. Consult the community map repository to find updated or additional flood hazard information.

BASE FLOOD ELEVATIONS: For more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) and/or floodways have been determined, consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stillwater Elevations tables within this FIS Report. Use the flood elevation data within the FIS Report in conjunction with the FIRM for construction and/or floodplain management.

FLOODWAY INFORMATION: Boundaries of the floodways were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the FIS Report for this jurisdiction.

## Figure 2. FIRM Notes to Users

**FLOOD CONTROL STRUCTURE INFORMATION:** Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to Section 4.3 "Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures" of this FIS Report for information on flood control structures for this jurisdiction.

**PROJECTION INFORMATION:** The projection used in the preparation of the map was State Plane, Lambert Conformal Conic, Kentucky FIPS Zone 1600. The horizontal datum was North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83), GRS 80 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or State Plane zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of the FIRM.

**ELEVATION DATUM:** Flood elevations on the FIRM are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 and the North American Vertical Datum of 1988, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at <http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/> or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

*NGS Information Services  
NOAA, N/NGS12  
National Geodetic Survey  
SSMC-3, #9202  
1315 East-West Highway  
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3282  
(301) 713-3242*

Local vertical monuments may have been used to create the map. To obtain current monument information, please contact the appropriate local community listed in Table 31 of this FIS Report.

**BASE MAP INFORMATION:** Base map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by the State of Kentucky Division of Geographic Information (KY DGI). Ortho imagery was originally produced by Photoscience in 2012 and has a 1-meter ground resolution. For information about base maps, refer to Section 6.2 "Base Map" in this FIS Report.

The map reflects more detailed and up-to-date stream channel configurations than those shown on the previous FIRM for this jurisdiction. The floodplains and floodways that were transferred from the previous FIRM may have been adjusted to conform to these new stream channel configurations. As a result, the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data tables may reflect stream channel distances that differ from what is shown on the map.

Corporate limits shown on the map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to annexations or de-annexations may have occurred after the map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

**Figure 2. FIRM Notes to Users**

**NOTES FOR FIRM INDEX**

REVISIONS TO INDEX: As new studies are performed and FIRM panels are updated within Scott County, KY, corresponding revisions to the FIRM Index will be incorporated within the FIS Report to reflect the effective dates of those panels. Please refer to Table 28 of this FIS Report to determine the most recent FIRM revision date for each community. The most recent FIRM panel effective date will correspond to the most recent index date.

**SPECIAL NOTES FOR SPECIFIC FIRM PANELS**

This Notes to Users section was created specifically for Scott County, Kentucky, effective January 8, 2014.

FLOOD RISK REPORT: A Flood Risk Report (FRR) may be available for many of the flooding sources and communities referenced in this FIS Report. The FRR is provided to increase public awareness of flood risk by helping communities identify the areas within their jurisdictions that have the greatest risks. Although non-regulatory, the information provided within the FRR can assist communities in assessing and evaluating mitigation opportunities to reduce these risks. It can also be used by communities developing or updating flood risk mitigation plans. These plans allow communities to identify and evaluate opportunities to reduce potential loss of life and property. However, the FRR is not intended to be the final authoritative source of all flood risk data for a project area; rather, it should be used with other data sources to paint a comprehensive picture of flood risk.

Each FIRM panel contains an abbreviated legend for the features shown on the maps. However, the FIRM panel does not contain enough space to show the legend for all map features. Figure 3 shows the full legend of all map features. Note that not all of these features may appear on the FIRM panels in Scott County.





**Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM**

<p><b>SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS:</b> <i>The 1% annual chance flood, also known as the base flood or 100-year flood, has a 1% chance of happening or being exceeded each year. Special Flood Hazard Areas are subject to flooding by the 1% annual chance flood. The Base Flood Elevation is the water surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood. The floodway is the channel of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be kept free of encroachment so that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without substantial increases in flood heights. See note for specific types. If the floodway is too narrow to be shown, a note is shown.</i></p>	
	<p>Special Flood Hazard Areas subject to inundation by the 1% annual chance flood (Zones A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V and VE)</p>
Zone A	The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance floodplains. No base (1% annual chance) flood elevations (BFEs) or depths are shown within this zone.
Zone AE	The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance floodplains. Base flood elevations derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown within this zone, either at cross section locations or as static whole-foot elevations that apply throughout the zone.
Zone AH	The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the areas of 1% annual chance shallow flooding (usually areas of ponding) where average depths are between 1 and 3 feet. Whole-foot BFEs derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown at selected intervals within this zone.
Zone AO	The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the areas of 1% annual chance shallow flooding (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain) where average depths are between 1 and 3 feet. Average whole-foot depths derived from the hydraulic analyses are shown within this zone.
Zone AR	The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to areas that were formerly protected from the 1% annual chance flood by a flood control system that was subsequently decertified. Zone AR indicates that the former flood control system is being restored to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or greater flood.
Zone A99	The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to areas of the 1% annual chance floodplain that will be protected by a Federal flood protection system where construction has reached specified statutory milestones. No base flood elevations or flood depths are shown within this zone.
Zone V	The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance coastal floodplains that have additional hazards associated with storm waves. Base flood elevations are not shown within this zone.
Zone VE	Zone VE is the flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance coastal floodplains that have additional hazards associated with storm waves. Base flood elevations derived from the coastal analyses are shown within this zone as static whole-foot elevations that apply throughout the zone.

**Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM**

	<p>Regulatory Floodway determined in Zone AE.</p>
	<p>Non-encroachment zone (see Section 2.4 of this FIS Report for more information)</p>
<p><b>OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD</b></p>	
	<p>Shaded Zone X: Areas of 0.2% annual chance flood hazards and areas of 1% annual chance flood hazards with average depths of less than 1 foot or with drainage areas less than 1 square mile.</p>
	<p>Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard – Zone X: The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to the 1% annual chance floodplains that are determined based on future-conditions hydrology. No base flood elevations or flood depths are shown within this zone.</p>
	<p>Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee: Areas where an accredited levee, dike, or other flood control structure has reduced the flood risk from the 1% annual chance flood. See Notes to Users for important information.</p>
	<p>Area with Flood Risk due to Levee: Areas where a non-accredited levee, dike, or other flood control structure is shown as providing protection to less than the 1% annual chance flood.</p>
<p><b>OTHER AREAS</b></p>	
	<p>Zone D (Areas of Undetermined Flood Hazard): The flood insurance rate zone that corresponds to unstudied areas where flood hazards are undetermined, but possible</p>
	<p>Unshaded Zone X: Areas determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance flood hazard</p>
<p><b>FLOOD HAZARD AND OTHER BOUNDARY LINES</b></p>	
<p>(ortho) (vector)</p>	<p>Flood Zone Boundary (white line on ortho-photography-based mapping; gray line on vector-based mapping)</p>
	<p>Limit of Study</p>
	<p>Jurisdiction Boundary</p>
	<p>Limit of Moderate Wave Action (LiMWA): Indicates the inland limit of the area affected by waves greater than 1.5 feet</p>
<p><b>GENERAL STRUCTURES</b></p>	
<p>Aqueduct Channel Culvert Storm Sewer</p>	<p>Channel, Culvert, Aqueduct, or Storm Sewer</p>

**Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM**

	<p>Dam, Jetty, Weir</p>
	<p>Levee, Dike, or Floodwall</p>
	<p>Bridge</p>
<p><b>COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AND OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREAS (OPA):</b> <i>CBRS areas and OPAs are normally located within or adjacent to Special Flood Hazard Areas. See Notes to Users for important information.</i></p>	
 <p><b>CBRS AREA</b> 09/30/2009</p>	<p>Coastal Barrier Resources System Area: Labels are shown to clarify where this area shares a boundary with an incorporated area or overlaps with the floodway.</p>
 <p><b>OTHERWISE PROTECTED AREA</b> 09/30/2009</p>	<p>Otherwise Protected Area</p>
<p><b>REFERENCE MARKERS</b></p>	
	<p>River mile Markers</p>
<p><b>CROSS SECTION &amp; TRANSECT INFORMATION</b></p>	
	<p>Lettered Cross Section with Regulatory Water Surface Elevation (BFE)</p>
	<p>Numbered Cross Section with Regulatory Water Surface Elevation (BFE)</p>
	<p>Unlettered Cross Section with Regulatory Water Surface Elevation (BFE)</p>
	<p>Coastal Transect</p>
	<p>Profile Baseline: Indicates the modeled flow path of a stream and is shown on FIRM panels for all valid studies with profiles or otherwise established base flood elevation.</p>
	<p>Coastal Transect Baseline: Used in the coastal flood hazard model to represent the 0.0-foot elevation contour and the starting point for the transect and the measuring point for the coastal mapping.</p>
	<p>Base Flood Elevation Line (shown for flooding sources for which no cross sections or profile are available)</p>

**Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM**

<b>ZONE AE (EL 16)</b>	Static Base Flood Elevation value (shown under zone label)
<b>ZONE AO (DEPTH 2)</b>	Zone designation with Depth
<b>ZONE AO (DEPTH 2) (VEL 15 FPS)</b>	Zone designation with Depth and Velocity
<b>BASE MAP FEATURES</b>	
<u>Missouri Creek</u>	River, Stream or Other Hydrographic Feature
	Interstate Highway
	U.S. Highway
	State Highway
	County Highway
<u>MAPLE LANE</u>	Street, Road, Avenue Name, or Private Drive if shown on Flood Profile
 RAILROAD	Railroad
	Horizontal Reference Grid Line
	Horizontal Reference Grid Ticks
	Secondary Grid Crosshairs
Land Grant	Name of Land Grant
7	Section Number
R. 43 W. T. 22 N.	Range, Township Number
<sup>42</sup> 76 <sup>000m</sup> E	Horizontal Reference Grid Coordinates (UTM)
<b>365000 FT</b>	Horizontal Reference Grid Coordinates (State Plane)
<b>80° 16' 52.5"</b>	Corner Coordinates (Latitude, Longitude)

## SECTION 2.0 – FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS

### 2.1 Floodplain Boundaries

To provide a national standard without regional discrimination, the 1% annual chance (100-year) flood has been adopted by FEMA as the base flood for floodplain management purposes. The 0.2% annual chance (500-year) flood is employed to indicate additional areas of flood hazard in the community.

Each flooding source included in the project scope has been studied and mapped using professional engineering and mapping methodologies that were agreed upon by FEMA and Scott County as appropriate to the risk level. Flood risk is evaluated based on factors such as known flood hazards and projected impact on the built environment. Engineering analyses were performed for each studied flooding source to calculate its 1% annual chance flood elevations; elevations corresponding to other floods (e.g. 10-, 4-, 2-, 0.2-percent annual chance, etc.) may have also been computed for certain flooding sources. Engineering models and methods are described in detail in Section 5.0 of this FIS Report. The modeled elevations at cross sections were used to delineate the floodplain boundaries on the FIRM; between cross sections, the boundaries were interpolated using elevation data from various sources. More information on specific mapping methods is provided in Section 6.0 of this FIS Report.

Depending on the accuracy of available topographic data (Table 23), study methodologies employed (Section 5.0), and flood risk, certain flooding sources may be mapped to show both the 1% and 0.2% annual chance floodplain boundaries, regulatory water surface elevations (BFEs), and/or a regulatory floodway. Similarly, other flooding sources may be mapped to show only the 1% annual chance floodplain boundary on the FIRM, without published water surface elevations. In cases where the 1% and 0.2% annual chance floodplain boundaries are close together, only the 1% annual chance floodplain boundary is shown on the FIRM. Figure 3, “Map Legend for FIRM”, describes the flood zones that are used on the FIRMs to account for the varying levels of flood risk that exist along flooding sources within the project area. Table 2 and Table 3 indicate the flood zone designations for each flooding source and each community within Scott County, KY, respectively.

Table 2, “Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report,” lists each flooding source, including its study limits, affected communities, mapped zone on the FIRM, and the completion date of its engineering analysis from which the flood elevations on the FIRM and in the FIS Report were derived. Descriptions and dates for the latest hydrologic and hydraulic analyses of the flooding sources are shown in Table 13. Floodplain boundaries for these flooding sources are shown on the FIRM (published separately) using the symbology described in Figure 3. On the map, the 1% annual chance floodplain corresponds to the SFHAs. The 0.2% annual chance floodplain shows areas that, although out of the regulatory floodplain, are still subject to flood hazards.

Small areas within the floodplain boundaries may lie above the flood elevations but cannot be shown due to limitations of the map scale and/or lack of detailed topographic data. The procedures to remove these areas from the SFHA are described in Section 6.5 of this FIS Report.

**Table 2: Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report**

Flooding Source	Community	Downstream Limit	Upstream Limit	HUC-8 Sub-Basin(s)	Length (mi) (streams or coastlines)	Floodway (Y/N)	Zone shown on FIRM	Date of Analysis
All Zone A streams studied in May 2009	Scott County and Incorporated Areas	Various	Various	05100205	128	N	A	2009
All Zone A streams studied in May 2015	Scott County and Incorporated Areas	Various	Various	05100205	66.16	N	A	2015
Cane Run	Scott County Unincorporated Areas; Georgetown, City of	Confluence with North Elkhorn Creek	Fayette County boundary	05100205	7.85	Y	AE	1980
Cane Run Tributary	Scott County Unincorporated Areas	Confluence with Cane Run	Fayette County boundary	05100205	1.03	Y	AE	1980
Dry Run	Scott County Unincorporated Areas; Georgetown, City of	Confluence with North Elkhorn Creek	Approximately 6,500 feet upstream of Burton Pike/State Highway 640	05100205	6.4	Y	AE	2009
Eagle Creek	Scott County Unincorporated Areas; Sadieville, City of	Owen County boundary	Approximately 3,800 feet downstream of confluence with Spoon Branch	05100205	12.78	N	A	2009
Eagle Creek	Scott County Unincorporated Areas; Sadieville, City of	Approximately 3,800 feet downstream of confluence with Spoon Branch	Approximately 80 feet upstream of Davis Road/State Highway 32	05100205	2.7	Y	AE	1979

**Table 2: Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report**

Flooding Source	Community	Downstream Limit	Upstream Limit	HUC-8 Sub-Basin(s)	Length (mi) (streams or coastlines)	Floodway (Y/N)	Zone shown on FIRM	Date of Analysis
Eagle Creek	Scott County Unincorporated Areas; Sadieville, City of	Approximately 80 feet upstream of Davis Road/State Highway 32	Approximately 9,060 feet upstream of Sharon Branch	05100205	13.88	N	A	2009
Lanes Run	Scott County Unincorporated Areas; Georgetown, City of	Confluence with North Elkhorn Creek	Approximately 850 feet upstream of Delaplain Road	05100205	5.46	Y	AE	2009
Locust Fork	Scott County Unincorporated Areas; Stamping Ground, City of	Approximately 3,600 feet upstream of confluence with North Elkhorn Creek	Approximately 3,300 feet upstream of Owenton Road/State Highway 227	05100205	2.45	Y	AE	1979
Locust Fork	Scott County Unincorporated Areas	Approximately 3,300 feet upstream of Owenton Road/State Highway 227	Approximately 3.7 miles upstream of Owenton Road/State Highway 227	05100205	3.01	N	A	2009
North Elkhorn Creek	Scott County Unincorporated Areas	Franklin County boundary	Approximately 2,670 feet downstream of Cane Run	05100205	16.56	N	A	2015
North Elkhorn Creek	Scott County Unincorporated Areas, Georgetown, City of	Approximately 2,670 feet downstream of Cane Run	Approximately 6,430 feet upstream of Crumbaugh Road	05100205	14.91	Y	AE	2015
North Elkhorn Creek	Scott County Unincorporated Areas	Approximately 6,430 feet upstream of Crumbaugh Road	Fayette County boundary	05100205	8.5	N	A	2015
Royal Springs Branch	Georgetown, City of	Confluence with North Elkhorn Creek	Approximately 260 feet upstream of U.S. Highway 460/62	05100205	0.68	N	A	2015

**Table 2: Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report**

Flooding Source	Community	Downstream Limit	Upstream Limit	HUC-8 Sub-Basin(s)	Length (mi) (streams or coastlines)	Floodway (Y/N)	Zone shown on FIRM	Date of Analysis
South Elkhorn Creek	Scott County Unincorporated Areas	Franklin County boundary	At South Weisenberger Mill Road	05100205	24.6	N	A	2015
South Elkhorn Creek	Scott County Unincorporated Areas	At South Weisenberger Mill Road	Woodford County boundary	05100205	0.91	Y	AE	1976


## 2.2 Floodways

Encroachment on floodplains, such as structures and fill, reduces flood-carrying capacity, increases flood heights and velocities, and increases flood hazards in areas beyond the encroachment itself. One aspect of floodplain management involves balancing the economic gain from floodplain development against the resulting increase in flood hazard.

For purposes of the NFIP, a floodway is used as a tool to assist local communities in balancing floodplain development against increasing flood hazard. With this approach, the area of the 1% annual chance floodplain on a river is divided into a floodway and a floodway fringe based on hydraulic modeling. The floodway is the channel of a stream, plus any adjacent floodplain areas, that must be kept free of encroachment in order to carry the 1% annual chance flood. The floodway fringe is the area between the floodway and the 1% annual chance floodplain boundaries where encroachment is permitted. The floodway must be wide enough so that the floodway fringe could be completely obstructed without increasing the water surface elevation of the 1% annual chance flood more than 1 foot at any point. Typical relationships between the floodway and the floodway fringe and their significance to floodplain development are shown in Figure 4.

To participate in the NFIP, Federal regulations require communities to limit increases caused by encroachment to 1.0 foot, provided that hazardous velocities are not produced. Regulations for Kentucky require communities in Scott County to limit increases caused by encroachment to 1.0 foot and several communities have adopted additional restrictions. The floodways in this project are presented to local agencies as minimum standards that can be adopted directly or that can be used as a basis for additional floodway projects.

**Figure 4: Floodway Schematic**



Floodway widths presented in this FIS Report and on the FIRM were computed at cross sections. Between cross sections, the floodway boundaries were interpolated. For certain stream segments, floodways were adjusted so that the amount of floodwaters conveyed on each side of the floodplain would be reduced equally. The results of the floodway computations have been tabulated for selected cross sections and are shown in Table 24, "Floodway Data."

All floodways that were developed for this FIS project are shown on the FIRM using the symbology described in Figure 3. In cases where the floodway and 1% annual chance floodplain boundaries are either close together or collinear, only the floodway boundary has been shown on the FIRM. For information about the delineation of floodways on the FIRM, refer to Section 6.3.

### **2.3 Base Flood Elevations**

The hydraulic characteristics of flooding sources were analyzed to provide estimates of the elevations of floods of the selected recurrence intervals. The Base Flood Elevation (BFE) is the elevation of the 1% annual chance flood. These BFEs are most commonly rounded to the whole foot, as shown on the FIRM, but in certain circumstances or locations they may be rounded to 0.1 foot. Cross section lines shown on the FIRM may also be labeled with the BFE rounded to 0.1 foot. Whole-foot BFEs derived from engineering analyses that apply to coastal areas, areas of ponding, or other static areas with little elevation change may also be shown at selected intervals on the FIRM.

Cross sections with BFEs shown on the FIRM correspond to the cross sections shown in the Floodway Data table and Flood Profiles in this FIS Report. BFEs are primarily intended for flood insurance rating purposes. For construction and/or floodplain management purposes, users are cautioned to use the flood elevation data presented in this FIS Report in conjunction with the data shown on the FIRM.

### **2.4 Non-Encroachment Zones**

Some States and communities use non-encroachment zones to manage floodplain development. For flooding sources with medium flood risk, field surveys are often not collected and surveyed bridge and culvert geometry is not developed. Standard hydrologic and hydraulic analyses are still performed to determine BFEs in these areas. However, floodways are not typically determined, since specific channel profiles are not developed. To assist communities with managing floodplain development in these areas, a "non-encroachment zone" may be provided. While not a FEMA designated floodway, the non-encroachment zone represents that area around the stream that should be reserved to convey the 1% annual chance flood event. As with a floodway, all surcharges must fall within the acceptable range in the non-encroachment zone.

Regulations for Kentucky require communities in Scott County to limit increases caused by encroachment to 1.0 foot and several communities have adopted additional restrictions for non-encroachment areas.

Non-encroachment determinations may be delineated where it is not possible to delineate floodways because specific channel profiles with bridge and culvert geometry were not developed. Any non-encroachment determinations for this FIS project have been tabulated for selected cross sections and are shown in Table 25, "Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data

for Selected Streams.” Areas for which non-encroachment zones are provided show BFEs and the 1% annual chance floodplain boundaries mapped as zone AE on the FIRM but no floodways.

**2.5 Coastal Flood Hazard Areas**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**2.5.1 Water Elevations and the Effects of Waves**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**Figure 5: Wave Runup Transect Schematic  
[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

**2.5.2 Floodplain Boundaries and BFEs for Coastal Areas**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**2.5.3 Coastal High Hazard Areas**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**Figure 6: Coastal Transect Schematic  
[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

**2.5.4 Limit of Moderate Wave Action**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**SECTION 3.0 – INSURANCE APPLICATIONS**

**3.1 National Flood Insurance Program Insurance Zones**

For flood insurance applications, the FIRM designates flood insurance rate zones as described in Figure 3, “Map Legend for FIRM.” Flood insurance zone designations are assigned to flooding sources based on the results of the hydraulic or coastal analyses. Insurance agents use the zones shown on the FIRM and depths and base flood elevations in this FIS Report in conjunction with information on structures and their contents to assign premium rates for flood insurance policies.

The 1% annual chance floodplain boundary corresponds to the boundary of the areas of special flood hazards (e.g. Zones A, AE, V, VE, etc.), and the 0.2% annual chance floodplain boundary corresponds to the boundary of areas of additional flood hazards.

Table 3 lists the flood insurance zones in Scott County.

**Table 3: Flood Zone Designations by Community**

Community	Flood Zone(s)
Georgetown, City of	A, AE, X
Sadieville, City of	A, AE, X

**Table 3: Flood Zone Designations by Community**

Community	Flood Zone(s)
Scott County Unincorporated Areas	A, AE, X
Stamping Ground, City of	AE, X

**3.2 Coastal Barrier Resources System**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**Table 4: Coastal Barrier Resources System Information**

**[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

**SECTION 4.0 – AREA STUDIED**

**4.1 Basin Description**

Table 5 contains a description of the characteristics of the HUC-8 sub-basins within which each community falls. The table includes the main flooding sources within each basin, a brief description of the basin, and its drainage area.

**Table 5: Basin Characteristics**

HUC-8 Sub-Basin Name	HUC-8 Sub-Basin Number	Primary Flooding Source	Description of Affected Area	Drainage Area (square miles)
Lower Kentucky	05100205	Kentucky River	Entire county within watershed	3,240

**4.2 Principal Flood Problems**

Table 6 contains a description of the principal flood problems that have been noted for Scott County by flooding source.

**Table 6: Principal Flood Problems**

Flooding Source	Description of Flood Problems
Various sources	Scott County is subject to flooding from North Elkhorn Creek, Cane Run, Cane Run Tributary, Lanes Run, Dry Run, Locust Fork, and Eagle Creek. Frequent flooding has caused property damage in the low lying areas adjacent to these creeks. Flooding usually occurs in late winter or spring as a result of rainfall on saturated soils.
Locust Fork	Frequent flooding has caused property damage in the low lying areas adjacent to Locust Fork in the City of Stamping Ground; specifically the area near Main Street and Locust Fork Road are subject to flooding from Locust Fork. Flooding usually occurs in late winter or spring as a result of rainfall on saturated soils.

Table 7 contains information about historic flood elevations in the communities within Scott County.

**Table 7: Historic Flooding Elevations**

Flooding Source	Location	Historic Peak (Feet NAVD88)	Event Date	Approximate Recurrence Interval (years)	Source of Data
North Elkhorn Creek	Upstream of Crumbaugh Road	19.65	1978	100	USGS gage
North Elkhorn Creek	Upstream of Crumbaugh Road	18.93	1964	200	USGS gage
North Elkhorn Creek	Upstream of Crumbaugh Road	25.47	1997	N/A	USGS gage
Eagle Creek	Confluence of Spoon Branch	21.39	1943	100	USGS gage
Eagle Creek	Confluence of Spoon Branch	19.94	1964	200	USGS gage

**4.3 Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures**

Table 8 contains information about non-levee flood protection measures within Scott County such as dams, jetties, and or dikes. Levees are addressed in Section 4.4 of this FIS Report.

**Table 8: Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures**  
**[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

**4.4 Levees**

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**Table 9: Levees**  
**[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

## **SECTION 5.0 – ENGINEERING METHODS**

For the flooding sources in the community, standard hydrologic and hydraulic study methods were used to determine the flood hazard data required for this study. Flood events of a magnitude that are expected to be equaled or exceeded at least once on the average during any 10-, 25-, 50-, 100-, or 500-year period (recurrence interval) have been selected as having special significance for floodplain management and for flood insurance rates. These events, commonly termed the 10-, 25-, 50-, 100-, and 500-year floods, have a 10-, 4-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2% annual chance, respectively, of being equaled or exceeded during any year.

Although the recurrence interval represents the long-term, average period between floods of a specific magnitude, rare floods could occur at short intervals or even within the same year. The risk of experiencing a rare flood increases when periods greater than 1 year are considered. For example, the risk of having a flood that equals or exceeds the 100-year flood (1-percent chance of annual exceedance) during the term of a 30-year mortgage is approximately 26 percent (about 3 in 10); for any 90-year period, the risk increases to approximately 60 percent (6 in 10). The analyses reported herein reflect flooding potentials based on conditions existing in the community at the time of completion of this study. Maps and flood elevations will be amended periodically to reflect future changes.

### **5.1 Hydrologic Analyses**

Hydrologic analyses were carried out to establish the peak elevation-frequency relationships for floods of the selected recurrence intervals for each flooding source studied. Hydrologic analyses are typically performed at the watershed level. Depending on factors such as watershed size and shape, land use and urbanization, and natural or man-made storage, various models or methodologies may be applied. A summary of the hydrologic methods applied to develop the discharges used in the hydraulic analyses for each stream is provided in Table 13. Greater detail (including assumptions, analysis, and results) is available in the archived project documentation.

**Table 10: Summary of Discharges**

Flooding Source	Location	Drainage Area (Square Miles)	Peak Discharge (cfs)				
			10% Annual Chance	4% Annual Chance	2% Annual Chance	1% Annual Chance	0.2% Annual Chance
Cane Run	At confluence with North Elkhorn Creek	44.97	3,300	*	4,800	5,500	7,036
Cane Run	Approximately 7,000 feet upstream of confluence with Cane Run	41.93	3,200	*	4,600	5,200	6,722
Cane Run	At U.S. 62	39.01	3,000	*	4,400	5,000	6,414
Cane Run	At private farm bridge approximately 5,000 feet upstream of U.S. 62	36.87	2,900	*	4,200	4,800	6,183
Cane Run	Just downstream of confluence with Cane Run Tributary	31.43	2,600	*	3,800	4,300	5,572
Cane Run	Just upstream of the confluence with Cane Run Tributary	26.4	2,300	*	3,400	3,900	4,975
Cane Run	At upstream limit of detailed study	23.24	2,100	*	3,100	3,600	4,578
Cane Run Tributary	At confluence with Cane Run	5.03	759	*	1,131	1,296	1,690
Cane Run Tributary	At upstream limit of detailed study	4.06	657	*	981	1,125	1,470

\*Not calculated for this Flood Risk Project

**Table 10: Summary of Discharges**

Flooding Source	Location	Drainage Area (Square Miles)	Peak Discharge (cfs)				
			10% Annual Chance	4% Annual Chance	2% Annual Chance	1% Annual Chance	0.2% Annual Chance
Dry Run	At confluence with North Elkhorn Creek	13.5	4,030	*	6,710	8,150	12,200
Dry Run	Approximately 2,600 feet downstream Champion Way	12.8	4,340	*	7,430	9,160	13,850
Dry Run	Just upstream Rail Road	12.0	4,510	*	7,780	9,540	14,420
Dry Run	At confluence with Dry Run Tributary 1	11.2	4,850	*	8,360	10,310	15,620
Dry Run	At Cincinnati Pike (US-25)	4.1	1,530	*	2,550	3,130	4,760
Dry Run	Approximately 3,800 feet upstream Burton Pike (KY-620)	1.0	489	*	866	1,080	1,650
Dry Run	At upstream limit of detailed study	0.8	490	*	884	1,090	1,670
Eagle Creek	Approximately 3,800 feet downstream confluence with Spoon Branch	42.9	6,704	*	8,675	9,503	11,430
Eagle Creek	At confluence with Halls Branch	38.48	6,268	*	8,111	8,885	10,687
Lanes Run	At confluence with North Elkhorn Creek	7.5	1,970	*	3,260	4,000	6,180
Lanes Run	At Paris Pike	7.2	1,960	*	3,240	3,980	6,150

\*Not calculated for this Flood Risk Project

**Table 10: Summary of Discharges**

Flooding Source	Location	Drainage Area (Square Miles)	Peak Discharge (cfs)				
			10% Annual Chance	4% Annual Chance	2% Annual Chance	1% Annual Chance	0.2% Annual Chance
Lanes Run	At Old Oxford Road	6.0	1,880	*	3,100	3,810	5,920
Lanes Run	At Clubhouse Drive	5.6	1,850	*	3,050	3,740	5,810
Lanes Run	At Cynthiana Road	3.7	1,470	*	2,460	3,110	4,880
Lanes Run	At Toyota Access Road	2.5	1,080	*	1,970	2,430	3,690
Lanes Run	At upstream limit of detailed study	1.4	921	*	1,600	1,950	2,920
Locust Fork	At confluence with North Elkhorn Creek	14.92	1,585	*	2,325	2,653	3,500
Locust Fork	Just upstream of confluence with Lecomptes Run	4.16	668	*	997	1,150	1,500
Locust Fork	At Owenton Road (KY-227)	3.61	606	*	907	1,042	1,400
Locust Fork	At upstream limit of detailed study	2.61	487	*	732	841	1,100
North Elkhorn Creek	Approximately 11,795 feet downstream of Stamping Ground Road	155.88	11,565	14,339	16,461	18,621	23,902

\*Not calculated for this Flood Risk Project

**Table 10: Summary of Discharges**

Flooding Source	Location	Drainage Area (Square Miles)	Peak Discharge (cfs)				
			10% Annual Chance	4% Annual Chance	2% Annual Chance	1% Annual Chance	0.2% Annual Chance
North Elkhorn Creek	Approximately 416 feet upstream of Cincinnati Pike	150.25	11,110	13,770	15,810	17,890	22,980
North Elkhorn Creek	Approximately 135 feet upstream of Paris Pike	133.35	9,365	11,598	13,318	15,108	19,558
North Elkhorn Creek	Approximately 1,198 feet downstream of Interstate 75	131.09	9,089	11,254	12,924	14,667	19,013
North Elkhorn Creek	Approximately 1,065 feet upstream of Crumbaugh Road	122.3	8,046	9,958	11,437	13,002	16,949
North Elkhorn Creek	Approximately 5,761 feet downstream of Crumbaugh Road	119.61	7,670	9,490	10,900	12,400	16,200
Royal Springs Creek	Confluence with North Elkhorn Creek	1.51	582	928	1,270	1,700	3,145
South Elkhorn Creek	At Browns Mill Road	57.0	3,800	*	5,800	6,800	9,800
South Elkhorn Creek	At Gage at Fort Spring, Kentucky (US-60)	24.0	1,850	*	3,000	3,600	5,400

\*Not calculated for this Flood Risk Project

**Figure 7: Frequency Discharge-Drainage Area Curves**

**[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

**Table 11: Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevations**

**[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

**Table 12: Stream Gage Information used to Determine Discharges**

Flooding Source	Gage Identifier	Agency that Maintains Gage	Site Name	Drainage Area (Square Miles)	Period of Record	
					From	To
Eagle Creek	03291000	USGS	Eagle Creek at Sadieville, KY	43	1941	1978
North Elkhorn Creek	03288000	USGS	North Elkhorn Creek near Georgetown, KY	119	1976	1986
Royal Springs Creek	03288110	USGS	Royal Springs at Georgetown, KY	*	1993	2011

**5.2 Hydraulic Analyses**

Analyses of the hydraulic characteristics of flooding from the sources studied were carried out to provide estimates of the elevations of floods of the selected recurrence intervals. Base flood elevations on the FIRM represent the elevations shown on the Flood Profiles and in the Floodway Data tables in the FIS Report. Rounded whole-foot elevations may be shown on the FIRM in coastal areas, areas of ponding, and other areas with static base flood elevations. These whole-foot elevations may not exactly reflect the elevations derived from the hydraulic analyses. Flood elevations shown on the FIRM are primarily intended for flood insurance rating purposes. For construction and/or floodplain management purposes, users are cautioned to use the flood elevation data presented in this FIS Report in conjunction with the data shown on the FIRM. The hydraulic analyses for this FIS were based on unobstructed flow. The flood elevations shown on the profiles are thus considered valid only if hydraulic structures remain unobstructed, operate properly, and do not fail.

For streams for which hydraulic analyses were based on cross sections, locations of selected cross sections are shown on the Flood Profiles (Exhibit 1). For stream segments for which a floodway was computed (Section 6.3), selected cross sections are also listed on Table 24, "Floodway Data."

A summary of the methods used in hydraulic analyses performed for this project is provided in Table 13. Roughness coefficients are provided in Table 14. Roughness coefficients are values representing the frictional resistance water experiences when passing overland or through a channel. They are used in the calculations to determine water surface elevations. Greater detail (including assumptions, analysis, and results) is available in the archived project documentation.

**Table 13: Summary of Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analyses**

Flooding Source	Study Limits		Hydrologic Model or Method Used	Hydraulic Model or Method Used	Date Analyses Completed	Flood Zone on FIRM	Special Considerations
	Downstream Limit	Upstream Limit					
All Zone A streams studied in May 2009	Various	Various	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 4.0.0 and HEC-RAS 3.1.2	05/01/2009	A	
All Zone A streams studied in May 2015	Various	Various	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 4.1.0	05/08/2015	A	
Cane Run	Confluence with North Elkhorn Creek	Fayette County boundary	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-2	02/01/1980	AE	
Cane Run Tributary	Confluence with Cane Run	Fayette County boundary	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-2	02/01/1980	AE	
Dry Run	Confluence with North Elkhorn Creek	Approximately 6,500 feet upstream of Burton Pike/State Highway 640	HEC-HMS 3.2	HEC-RAS 3.1.3	05/01/2009	AE	Portion of stream was revised by LOMR Case No. 14-04-4874P (05/29/2015)
Eagle Creek	Owen County boundary	Approximately 3,800 feet downstream of confluence with Spoon Branch	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 4.0.0 and HEC-RAS 3.1.2	05/01/2009	A	
Eagle Creek	Approximately 3,800 feet downstream of confluence with Spoon Branch	Approximately 80 feet upstream of Davis Road/State Highway 32	USGS Regression Equations, Gage Analysis	HEC-2	09/01/1979	AE	

**Table 13: Summary of Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analyses**

Flooding Source	Study Limits		Hydrologic Model or Method Used	Hydraulic Model or Method Used	Date Analyses Completed	Flood Zone on FIRM	Special Considerations
	Downstream Limit	Upstream Limit					
Eagle Creek	Approximately 80 feet upstream of Davis Road/State Highway 32	Approximately 9,060 feet upstream of Sharon Branch	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 4.0.0 and HEC-RAS 3.1.2	05/01/2009	A	
Lanes Run	Confluence with North Elkhorn Creek	Approximately 850 feet upstream of Delaplain Road	HEC-HMS 3.2	HEC-RAS 3.1.3	05/01/2009	AE	
Locust Fork	Approximately 3,600 feet upstream of confluence with North Elkhorn Creek	Approximately 3,300 feet upstream of Owenton Road/State Highway 227	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-2	09/01/1979	AE	
Locust Fork	Approximately 3,300 feet upstream of Owenton Road/State Highway 227	Approximately 3.7 miles upstream of Owenton Road/State Highway 227	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 4.0.0 and HEC-RAS 3.1.2	05/01/2009	A	
North Elkhorn Creek	Franklin County boundary	Approximately 2,670 feet downstream of Cane Run	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 4.1.0	05/08/2015	A	
North Elkhorn Creek	Approximately 2,670 feet downstream of Cane Run	Approximately 6,430 feet upstream of Crumbaugh Road	USGS Regression Equations, Gage Analysis	HEC-RAS 4.1.0	05/08/2015	AE	
North Elkhorn Creek	Approximately 6,430 feet upstream of Crumbaugh Road	Fayette County boundary	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 4.1.0	05/08/2015	A	

**Table 13: Summary of Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analyses**

Flooding Source	Study Limits		Hydrologic Model or Method Used	Hydraulic Model or Method Used	Date Analyses Completed	Flood Zone on FIRM	Special Considerations
	Downstream Limit	Upstream Limit					
Royal Springs Branch	Confluence with North Elkhorn Creek	Approximately 260 feet upstream of U.S. Highway 460/62	Gage Analysis	HEC-RAS 4.1.0	05/08/2015	A	
South Elkhorn Creek	Franklin County boundary	At South Weisenberger Mill Road	USGS Regression Equations	HEC-RAS 4.1.0	05/08/2015	A	
South Elkhorn Creek	At South Weisenberger Mill Road	Woodford County boundary	USGS Regression Equations, Gage Analysis	HEC-2	12/01/1976	AE	Data taken from effective Woodford County FIS, effective August 2011

**Table 14: Roughness Coefficients**

Flooding Source	Channel “n”	Overbank “n”
All Zone A streams studied in May 2009	0.035-0.055	0.060-0.120
All Zone A streams studied in May 2015	0.035-0.055	0.060-0.120
Cane Run	0.034-0.093	0.042-0.147
Cane Run Tributary	0.042-0.078	0.042-0.078
Dry Run	0.035-0.055	0.075-0.120
Eagle Creek	0.030-0.045	0.030-0.080
Lanes Run	0.040-0.045	0.080-0.120
Locust Fork	0.030-0.055	0.030-0.124
North Elkhorn Creek	0.035-0.055	0.060-0.120
Royal Springs Branch	0.045-0.055	0.060-0.100
South Elkhorn Creek	*	*

\*Data not available

### 5.3 Coastal Analyses

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**Table 15: Summary of Coastal Analyses  
[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

#### 5.3.1 Total Stillwater Elevations

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**Figure 8: 1% Annual Chance Total Stillwater Elevations for Coastal Areas  
[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

**Table 16: Tide Gage Analysis Specifics  
[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

#### 5.3.2 Waves

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

#### 5.3.3 Coastal Erosion

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

### 5.3.4 Wave Hazard Analyses

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**Table 17: Coastal Transect Parameters**  
**[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

**Figure 9: Transect Location Map**  
**[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

### 5.4 Alluvial Fan Analyses

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**Table 18: Summary of Alluvial Fan Analyses**  
**[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

**Table 19: Results of Alluvial Fan Analyses**  
**[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

## SECTION 6.0 – MAPPING METHODS

### 6.1 Vertical and Horizontal Control

All FIS Reports and FIRMs are referenced to a specific vertical datum. The vertical datum provides a starting point against which flood, ground, and structure elevations can be referenced and compared. Until recently, the standard vertical datum used for newly created or revised FIS Reports and FIRMs was the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD29). With the completion of the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88), many FIS Reports and FIRMs are now prepared using NAVD88 as the referenced vertical datum.

Flood elevations shown in this FIS Report and on the FIRMs are referenced to NAVD88. These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. For information regarding conversion between NGVD29 and NAVD88 or other datum conversion, visit the National Geodetic Survey website at [www.ngs.noaa.gov](http://www.ngs.noaa.gov), or contact the National Geodetic Survey at the following address:

NGS Information Services  
NOAA, N/NGS12  
National Geodetic Survey  
SSMC-3, #9202  
1315 East-West Highway  
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3282  
(301) 713-3242

Temporary vertical monuments are often established during the preparation of a flood hazard analysis for the purpose of establishing local vertical control. Although these monuments are not shown on the FIRM, they may be found in the archived project documentation associated with the

FIS Report and the FIRMs for this community. Interested individuals may contact FEMA to access these data.

To obtain current elevation, description, and/or location information for benchmarks in the area, please contact information services Branch of the NGS at (301) 713-3242, or visit their website at [www.ngs.noaa.gov](http://www.ngs.noaa.gov).

The datum conversion locations and values that were calculated for Scott County are provided in Table 20.

**Table 20: Countywide Vertical Datum Conversion**

**[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

**Table 21: Stream-by-Stream Vertical Datum Conversion**

**[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

**6.2 Base Map**

The FIRMs and FIS Report for this project have been produced in a digital format. The flood hazard information was converted to a Geographic Information System (GIS) format that meets FEMA’s FIRM database specifications and geographic information standards. This information is provided in a digital format so that it can be incorporated into a local GIS and be accessed more easily by the community. The FIRM Database includes most of the tabular information contained in the FIS Report in such a way that the data can be associated with pertinent spatial features. For example, the information contained in the Floodway Data table and Flood Profiles can be linked to the cross sections that are shown on the FIRMs. Additional information about the FIRM Database and its contents can be found in FEMA’s *Guidelines and Standards for Flood Risk Analysis and Mapping*, <http://www.fema.gov/guidelines-and-standards-flood-risk-analysis-and-mapping>.

Base map information shown on the FIRM was derived from the sources described in Table 22.

**Table 22: Base Map Sources**

Data Type	Data Provider	Data Date	Data Scale	Data Description
County Boundaries	Kentucky Division of Geographic Information	08/30/2008		S_POL_AR contains county boundary lines and areas associated with the study area
Corporate Boundaries	Georgetown-Scott County Planning Commission	01/23/2014		S_POL_AR contains corporate boundary lines and areas associated with the study area
Transportation	Georgetown-Scott County Planning Commission	01/16/2014		S_Trnsport_Ln. Roads within the study area

**Table 22: Base Map Sources**

Data Type	Data Provider	Data Date	Data Scale	Data Description
Transportation	Kentucky Transportation Cabinet	07/01/2013		S_Trnsport_Ln. Railroads within the study area
Raster Imagery	Kentucky Division of Geographic Information	03/11/2012		S_BASE_INDEX contains information about the raster data used as the base map for the study area
Water Features	Federal Emergency Management Agency	01/08/2014		S_Wtr_Ln. Stream Features.

### **6.3 Floodplain and Floodway Delineation**

The FIRM shows tints, screens, and symbols to indicate floodplains and floodways as well as the locations of selected cross sections used in the hydraulic analyses and floodway computations.

For riverine flooding sources, the mapped floodplain boundaries shown on the FIRM have been delineated using the flood elevations determined at each cross section; between cross sections, the boundaries were interpolated using the topographic elevation data described in Table 23.

In cases where the 1% and 0.2% annual chance floodplain boundaries are close together, only the 1% annual chance floodplain boundary has been shown. Small areas within the floodplain boundaries may lie above the flood elevations but cannot be shown due to limitations of the map scale and/or lack of detailed topographic data.

The floodway widths presented in this FIS Report and on the FIRM were computed for certain stream segments on the basis of equal conveyance reduction from each side of the floodplain. Floodway widths were computed at cross sections. Between cross sections, the floodway boundaries were interpolated. Table 2 indicates the flooding sources for which floodways have been determined. The results of the floodway computations for those flooding sources have been tabulated for selected cross sections and are shown in Table 24, “Floodway Data.”

Certain flooding sources may have been studied that do not have published BFEs on the FIRMs, or for which there is a need to report the 1% annual chance flood elevations at selected cross sections because a published Flood Profile does not exist in this FIS Report. These streams may have also been studied using methods to determine non-encroachment zones rather than floodways. For these flooding sources, the 1% annual chance floodplain boundaries have been delineated using the flood elevations determined at each cross section; between cross sections, the boundaries were interpolated using the topographic elevation data described in Table 23. All topographic data used for modeling or mapping has been converted as necessary to NAVD 88. The 1% annual chance elevations for selected cross sections along these flooding sources, along with their non-encroachment widths, if calculated, are shown in Table 25, “Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data for Selected Streams.”

**Table 23: Summary of Topographic Elevation Data used in Mapping**

Community	Flooding Source	Source for Topographic Elevation Data					
		Description	Scale	Contour Interval	RMSE <sub>z</sub>	Accuracy <sub>z</sub>	Citation
Scott County and Incorporated Areas	Eagle Creek, Locust Fork, All Zone A streams studied in 2009	Light Detection and Ranging data (LiDAR)	1" = 100' (City)	2 ft	N/A	N/A	Photo Science 2005
Scott County and Incorporated Areas	Eagle, Creek, Locust Fork, All Zone A streams studied in 2009	Light Detection and Ranging data (LiDAR)	1" = 200' (County)	5 ft	N/A	N/A	Photo Science 2005
Scott County and Incorporated Areas	Cane Run, Cane Run Tributary, Dry Run, Lanes Run, North Elkhorn Creek, Royal Springs Creek, South Elkhorn Creek, All Zone A streams studied in 2015	Light Detection and Ranging data (LiDAR)	N/A	N/A			Photo Science 2012

BFEs shown at cross sections on the FIRM represent the 1% annual chance water surface elevations shown on the Flood Profiles and in the Floodway Data tables in the FIS Report.

LOCATION		FLOODWAY			1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION ( FEET NAVD88)			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/ SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
A	2,200	122	1,326	4.1	777.2	777.2	777.6	0.4
B	3,770	183	1,613	3.4	779.0	779.0	783.5	0.9
C	5,840	107	1,273	4.3	782.6	782.6	789.2	0.9
D	8,400	86	1,049	5.0	788.3	788.3	789.2	0.9
E	11,590	417	1,871	2.8	792.7	792.7	793.7	1.0
F	14,380	98	938	5.5	798.6	798.6	799.5	0.9
G	17,260	288	2,634	1.9	804.6	804.6	805.4	0.8
H	20,005	197	1,158	4.3	806.0	806.0	806.9	0.9
I	24,430	295	1,503	3.2	814.1	814.1	815.0	0.9
J	27,576	221	1,375	3.5	819.4	819.4	820.3	0.9
K	29,367	381	1,734	2.8	821.6	821.6	822.4	0.8
L	32,408	222	1,534	2.8	826.5	826.5	827.3	0.8
M	35,485	230	1,344	2.9	830.9	830.9	831.8	0.9
N	37,656	584	3,766	1.0	837.9	837.9	838.9	1.0
O	40,600	281	1,091	3.3	840.8	840.8	841.6	0.8
P	41,464	217/193 <sup>2</sup>	888	4.1	842.4	842.4	843.3	0.9

<sup>1</sup>Stream distance in feet above confluence with North Elkhorn Creek

<sup>2</sup>Width/width within county

TABLE 24

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**SCOTT COUNTY, KY**  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**FLOODING SOURCE: CANE RUN**

LOCATION		FLOODWAY			1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION ( FEET NAVD88)			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/ SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
A	1,628	87	313	4.1	828.2	828.2	829.1	0.9
B	4,460	127	472	2.7	835.8	835.8	836.8	1.0
C	5,476	117	388	2.9	837.8	837.8	838.7	0.9

<sup>1</sup>Stream distance in feet above confluence with Cane Run

TABLE 24

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**SCOTT COUNTY, KY**  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**FLOODING SOURCE: CANE RUN TRIBUTARY**

LOCATION		FLOODWAY			1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION ( FEET NAVD88)			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/ SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
A	2,348	209	1,905	6.2	803.5	800.0 <sup>2</sup>	800.9	0.8
B	3,743	225	2,024	7.6	804.0	804.0	804.8	0.9
C	5,245	178	1,667	6.8	806.7	806.7	807.6	0.9
D	6,658	291	2,400	6.0	808.8	808.8	809.7	0.9
E	7,244	198	1,629	8.3	809.7	809.7	810.6	0.8
F	9,688	105	1,207	9.1	814.4	814.4	815.2	0.2
G	11,125	130	1,667	8.1	818.7	818.7	818.9	0.4
H	12,344	398	3,438	5.2	820.2	820.2	820.6	0.8
I	15,130	339	3,586	5.4	827.1	827.1	827.2	0.1
J	16,233	340	3,269	6.3	827.7	827.7	828.0	0.3
K	17,970	456	5,198	3.8	835.7	835.7	836.7	1.0
L	20,041	240	2,658	6.7	838.5	838.5	839.3	0.8
M	21,014	322	2,757	7.5	840.3	840.3	841.2	0.9
N	22,238	203	1,728	3.1	844.0	844.0	844.8	0.8
O	22,717	226	1,484	3.6	844.3	844.3	845.1	0.8
P	23,731	204	1,396	3.2	846.0	846.0	847.0	1.0
Q	24,888	170	833	5.4	849.4	849.4	850.1	0.7
R	25,513	120	628	7.2	851.8	851.8	852.6	0.8
S	26,855	154	702	6.4	856.5	856.5	857.2	0.8
T	28,015	193	1,293	4.2	861.8	861.8	862.7	0.9
U	29,659	130	743	7.0	866.8	866.8	867.5	0.7

<sup>1</sup>Stream distance in feet above confluence with North Elkhorn Creek

<sup>2</sup>Elevation computed without consideration of backwater effects from North Elkhorn Creek

TABLE 24	<b>FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY</b> <b>SCOTT COUNTY, KY</b> <b>AND INCORPORATED AREAS</b>	<b>FLOODWAY DATA</b>
		<b>FLOODING SOURCE: DRY RUN</b>

LOCATION		FLOODWAY			1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION ( FEET NAVD88)			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/ SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
V	30,836	242	1,190	4.9	870.9	870.9	871.7	0.8
W	32,386	68	343	4.2	875.7	875.7	876.5	0.9
X	33,178	54	260	5.0	877.2	877.2	877.9	0.7
Y	33,888	86	305	5.8	880.4	880.4	881.2	0.8

<sup>1</sup>Stream distance in feet above confluence with North Elkhorn Creek

TABLE 24

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**SCOTT COUNTY, KY**  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**FLOODING SOURCE: DRY RUN**

**Table 24: Floodway Data**

LOCATION		FLOODWAY			1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION ( FEET NAVD88)			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/ SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
A	10,360	171	3,188	3.0	777.4	777.4	778.4	1.0
B	11,225	140	2,595	3.7	777.5	777.5	778.5	1.0
C	12,908	220	3,975	2.4	777.8	777.8	778.8	1.0
D	15,610	146	2,352	4.0	778.2	778.2	779.2	1.0
E	17,235	145	2,574	3.7	778.5	778.5	779.5	1.0
F	19,159	178	2,803	3.4	778.8	778.8	779.8	1.0
G	20,860	193	2,937	3.2	779.3	779.3	780.2	0.9
H	21,741	180	3,090	3.1	779.5	779.5	780.4	0.9
I	22,673	151	2,284	3.9	779.5	779.5	780.5	1.0
J	24,090	161	2,551	3.5	779.9	779.9	780.9	1.0

<sup>1</sup>Stream distance in feet above Elk Lick Road

TABLE 24

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**SCOTT COUNTY, KY**  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**FLOODING SOURCE: EAGLE CREEK**

LOCATION		FLOODWAY			1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION ( FEET NAVD88)			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/ SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
A	2,672	102	682	8.0	815.3	812.4 <sup>2</sup>	813.4	0.9
B	3,337	221	2,103	3.4	820.1	820.1	820.1	0.0
C	4,101	218	1,468	4.4	820.2	820.2	820.4	0.2
D	4,608	202	1,087	5.8	820.7	820.7	820.9	0.2
E	5,446	153	761	7.5	822.3	822.3	822.6	0.4
F	6,042	130	693	8.0	824.0	824.0	824.8	0.9
G	6,990	120	719	7.9	828.1	828.1	828.5	0.5
H	7,750	154	958	6.4	830.0	830.0	831.0	1.0
I	8,579	99	730	7.6	832.0	832.0	832.8	0.8
J	9,132	146	1,066	6.8	835.5	835.5	836.3	0.8
K	10,561	216	1,196	6.7	838.4	838.4	839.4	0.9
L	11,128	98	597	10.3	839.9	839.9	840.8	0.9
M	11,659	149	1,142	6.3	842.6	842.6	843.6	1.0
N	12,140	201	1,112	4.2	848.0	848.0	848.0	0.0
O	13,169	155	1,026	4.8	848.7	848.7	849.2	0.4
P	14,434	156	925	5.7	849.9	849.9	850.9	1.0
Q	15,150	132	770	7.4	851.5	851.5	852.2	0.8
R	15,860	110	731	7.1	853.4	853.4	854.3	0.9
S	16,720	272	1,260	5.1	855.2	855.2	856.2	1.0
T	17,773	121	581	8.1	858.1	858.1	858.2	0.1
U	18,580	163	1,056	5.0	860.7	860.7	861.6	0.9

<sup>1</sup> Stream distance in feet above confluence with North Elkhorn Creek

<sup>2</sup> Elevation computed without consideration of backwater effects from North Elkhorn Creek

TABLE 24

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

SCOTT COUNTY, KY

AND INCORPORATED AREAS

FLOODWAY DATA

FLOODING SOURCE: LANES RUN

LOCATION		FLOODWAY			1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION ( FEET NAVD88)			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/ SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
V	19,332	104	658	6.7	861.9	861.9	862.6	0.7
W	20,033	185	1,014	3.7	866.2	866.2	866.3	0.1
X	20,918	203	888	5.0	866.7	866.7	867.1	0.4
Y	21,614	134	626	5.6	867.6	867.6	868.0	0.4
Z	22,233	57	462	5.8	868.8	868.8	869.1	0.3
AA	22,962	39	215	14.0	870.4	870.4	870.7	0.3
AB	23,614	96	623	7.3	875.0	875.0	876.0	1.0
AC	24,319	122	624	5.3	876.7	876.7	877.5	0.8
AD	24,883	150	1,085	3.6	878.4	878.4	879.4	1.0
AE	25,319	80	624	5.3	878.6	878.6	879.6	1.0
AF	25,887	55	369	8.3	879.3	879.3	880.3	1.0
AG	26,999	201	870	4.5	882.1	882.1	883.1	1.0
AH	27,686	127	499	7.2	883.9	883.9	884.4	0.5
AI	28,172	151	937	3.6	888.3	888.3	889.3	1.0
AJ	28,837	107	530	5.7	889.0	889.0	889.9	0.9

<sup>1</sup>Stream distance in feet above confluence with North Elkhorn Creek

TABLE 24

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**SCOTT COUNTY, KY**  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**FLOODING SOURCE: LANES RUN**

**Table 24: Floodway Data**

LOCATION		FLOODWAY			1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION ( FEET NAVD88)			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/ SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
A	4,680	67	355	7.5	726.5	726.5	727.4	0.9
B	5,638	54	280	9.5	731.8	731.8	732.3	0.5
C	6,914	68	367	7.2	736.5	736.5	737.2	0.7
D	8,858	98	579	4.6	743.0	743.0	744.0	1.0
E	10,328	56	272	4.2	746.3	746.3	747.2	0.9
F	12,138	29	118	9.8	754.2	754.2	754.5	0.3
G	13,163	26	149	7.7	759.0	759.0	759.7	0.7
H	14,021	22	118	8.8	763.0	763.0	763.2	0.2
I	14,655	29	125	8.4	765.7	765.7	766.3	0.6
J	16,369	50	209	4.0	776.3	776.3	777.3	1.0

<sup>1</sup>Stream distance in feet above confluence with North Elkhorn Creek

TABLE 24

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**SCOTT COUNTY, KY**  
 AND INCORPORATED AREAS

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**FLOODING SOURCE: LOCUST FORK**

LOCATION		FLOODWAY			1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION (FEET NAVD88)			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/ SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
A	98,756	285	4,152	5.4	774.5	774.5	775.1	0.6
B	100,407	341	4,670	4.8	775.9	775.9	776.5	0.6
C	102,051	231	3,609	5.2	776.7	776.7	777.4	0.7
D	103,676	346	4,215	4.4	777.7	777.7	778.4	0.7
E	105,173	262	3,918	4.8	780.1	780.1	781.0	0.9
F	106,500	202	3,646	5.1	780.7	780.7	781.7	1.0
G	107,823	156	2,964	6.3	781.3	781.3	782.2	0.9
H	109,212	267	4,673	4.0	782.2	782.2	783.2	1.0
I	111,014	277	3,755	5.0	782.7	782.7	783.7	1.0
J	112,583	191	3,152	5.9	783.8	783.8	784.7	0.9
K	114,167	193	3,054	6.1	784.8	784.8	785.8	1.0
L	115,500	238	4,343	4.3	786.1	786.1	787.1	1.0
M	117,054	264	4,008	4.5	786.8	786.8	787.7	0.9
N	118,538	285	4,002	4.5	787.4	787.4	788.4	1.0
O	120,166	318	4,729	3.8	788.3	788.3	789.3	1.0
P	121,627	313	3,826	4.7	789.0	789.0	790.0	1.0
Q	123,138	169	2,688	6.7	789.8	789.8	790.8	1.0
R	124,577	133	2,392	7.5	791.2	791.2	792.0	0.8
S	125,900	360	4,642	3.9	792.6	792.6	793.5	0.9
T	127,219	174	2,298	7.8	793.5	793.5	794.4	0.9
U	128,819	475	4,225	4.2	795.7	795.7	796.7	1.0
V	130,264	303	3,007	6.0	796.6	796.6	797.5	0.9

<sup>1</sup> Feet above Limit of Detailed Study\*

\*Limit of Study is 11,217 feet downstream of the Franklin/Scott County boundary

TABLE 24

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**SCOTT COUNTY, KY**

AND INCORPORATED AREAS

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**FLOODING SOURCE: NORTH ELKHORN CREEK**

LOCATION		FLOODWAY			1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION (FEET NAVD88)			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/ SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
W	131,683	125	2,061	8.7	799.3	799.3	800.1	0.8
X	133,250	300	4,320	4.1	803.0	803.0	803.1	0.1
Y	135,157	298	3,912	3.9	803.7	803.7	803.9	0.2
Z	136,569	337	4,520	3.3	804.1	804.1	804.4	0.3
AA	138,214	276	4,024	3.8	804.5	804.5	804.9	0.4
AB	140,183	304	5,062	3.0	805.8	805.8	806.1	0.3
AC	141,771	473	6,230	2.4	806.2	806.2	806.6	0.4
AD	143,747	353	4,697	3.2	806.6	806.6	807.1	0.5
AE	145,738	661	7,934	1.9	807.0	807.0	807.6	0.6
AF	147,414	335	3,888	3.9	807.8	807.8	808.4	0.6
AG	148,764	356	3,988	3.8	808.5	808.5	809.2	0.7
AH	150,176	371	3,575	4.1	810.4	810.4	811.2	0.8
AI	151,937	363	4,759	3.1	811.3	811.3	812.1	0.8
AJ	152,996	333	4,686	3.1	811.8	811.8	812.5	0.7
AK	154,509	217	3,326	4.4	812.1	812.1	812.9	0.8
AL	155,992	302	4,846	3.0	815.1	815.1	815.9	0.8
AM	158,235	294	4,516	2.9	815.5	815.5	816.4	0.9
AN	159,911	347	5,108	2.6	815.9	815.9	816.8	0.9
AO	161,571	419	5,950	2.2	816.4	816.3	817.2	0.9
AP	163,304	102	2,246	5.8	816.5	816.5	817.3	0.8
AQ	164,726	328	4,773	2.7	817.3	817.3	818.1	0.8
AR	166,408	314	5,316	2.5	817.7	817.7	818.5	0.8

<sup>1</sup> Feet above Limit of Detailed Study\*

\*Limit of Study is 11,217 feet downstream of the Franklin/Scott County boundary

TABLE 24

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

SCOTT COUNTY, KY

AND INCORPORATED AREAS

FLOODWAY DATA

FLOODING SOURCE: NORTH ELKHORN CREEK

LOCATION		FLOODWAY			1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD WATER SURFACE ELEVATION (FEET NAVD88)			
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE <sup>1</sup>	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQ. FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET/ SEC)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
AS	168,180	248	3,780	3.4	818.0	818.0	818.9	0.9
AT	169,636	273	3,974	3.3	818.5	818.5	819.4	0.9
AU	171,220	200	3,484	3.6	819.9	819.9	820.9	1.0
AV	173,098	263	4,027	3.1	820.4	820.4	821.4	1.0
AW	174,813	319	4,598	2.7	821.0	821.0	821.9	0.9
AX	176,607	190	3,152	3.9	821.6	821.6	822.6	1.0

<sup>1</sup> Feet above Limit of Detailed Study\*

\*Limit of Study is 11,217 feet downstream of the Franklin/Scott County boundary

TABLE 24

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**SCOTT COUNTY, KY**

AND INCORPORATED AREAS

**FLOODWAY DATA**

**FLOODING SOURCE: NORTH ELKHORN CREEK**

Non-encroachment areas may be delineated where it is not possible to delineate floodways because specific channel profiles with bridge and culvert geometry were not developed. Any non-encroachment determinations for this FIS project have been tabulated for selected cross sections and are shown in Table 25. The non-encroachment width indicates the measured distance left and right (looking downstream) from the mapped center of the stream to the non-encroachment boundary based on a surcharge of 1.0 foot or less.

**Table 25: Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data for Selected Streams**

Flooding Source	Cross Section	Stream Station <sup>1</sup>	1% Annual Chance Flood Discharge (cfs)	1% Annual Chance Water Surface Elevation (feet NAVD88)	Non-Encroachment Width (feet)	
					Left	Right
Royal Spring Creek	222	222	1,700	798.6 <sup>2</sup>	167.31	18.20
Royal Spring Creek	354	354	1,700	798.6 <sup>2</sup>	19.15	19.15
Royal Spring Creek	389	389	1,700	798.6 <sup>2</sup>	19.15	19.15
Royal Spring Creek	461	461	1,700	798.6 <sup>2</sup>	20.56	17.40
Royal Spring Creek	750	750	1,700	798.6 <sup>2</sup>	19.05	37.41
Royal Spring Creek	988	988	1,700	798.6 <sup>2</sup>	43.87	20.40
Royal Spring Creek	1,201	1,201	1,700	798.6 <sup>2</sup>	68.30	17.25
Royal Spring Creek	1,283	1,283	1,700	798.6 <sup>2</sup>	20.75	20.75
Royal Spring Creek	1,307	1,307	1,700	798.6 <sup>2</sup>	20.75	20.75
Royal Spring Creek	1,446	1,446	1,700	798.6 <sup>2</sup>	19.70	19.70
Royal Spring Creek	1,581	1,581	1,700	798.80	29.30	29.30
Royal Spring Creek	1,657	1,657	1,700	798.82	43.68	19.70
Royal Spring Creek	1,696	1,696	1,700	799.36	43.68	19.70
Royal Spring Creek	1,772	1,772	1,700	800.06	74.09	18.95
Royal Spring Creek	1,989	1,989	1,700	800.28	35.77	26.92
Royal Spring Creek	2,055	2,055	1,700	800.63	24.76	22.70
Royal Spring Creek	2,080	2,080	1,700	800.70	24.76	22.70
Royal Spring Creek	2,163	2,163	1,700	800.82	32.90	27.90
Royal Spring Creek	2,267	2,267	1,700	800.90	29.95	24.95

**Table 25: Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data for Selected Streams**

Flooding Source	Cross Section	Stream Station <sup>1</sup>	1% Annual Chance Flood Discharge (cfs)	1% Annual Chance Water Surface Elevation (feet NAVD88)	Non-Encroachment Width (feet)	
					Left	Right
Royal Spring Creek	2,347	2,347	1,700	801.17	31.25	23.25
Royal Spring Creek	2,360	2,360	1,700	801.38	28.25	25.25
Royal Spring Creek	2,372	2,372	1,700	801.53	31.15	26.15
Royal Spring Creek	2,381	2,381	1,700	801.73	31.55	26.55
Royal Spring Creek	2,393	2,393	1,700	802.32	33.55	26.55
Royal Spring Creek	2,493	2,493	1,700	802.62	41.58	18.75
Royal Spring Creek	2,728	2,728	1,700	803.44	48.38	16.55
Royal Spring Creek	2,945	2,945	1,700	803.93	31.15	19.39
Royal Spring Creek	3,026	3,026	1,700	804.06	20.10	20.10
Royal Spring Creek	3,102	3,102	1,700	804.76	21.20	35.29
Royal Spring Creek	3,118	3,118	1,700	804.80	21.20	35.29
Royal Spring Creek	3,161	3,161	1,700	804.89	32.13	30.61
Royal Spring Creek	3,197	3,197	1,700	804.96	27.29	36.02
Royal Spring Creek	3,222	3,222	1,700	805.24	27.29	36.02
Royal Spring Creek	3,260	3,260	1,700	805.33	26.44	37.95
Royal Spring Creek	3,307	3,307	1,700	805.36	34.10	28.30
Royal Spring Creek	3,395	3,395	1,700	820.57	31.20	31.20
Royal Spring Creek	3,473	3,473	1,700	820.58	70.85	71.40
Royal Spring Creek	3,608	3,608	1,700	820.58	64.05	78.20

<sup>1</sup> Feet above mouth

<sup>2</sup> Water-surface elevations include backwater effects

## 6.4 Coastal Flood Hazard Mapping

This section is not applicable to this Flood Risk Project.

**Table 26: Summary of Coastal Transect Mapping Considerations  
[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]**

## 6.5 FIRM Revisions

This FIS Report and the FIRM are based on the most up-to-date information available to FEMA at the time of its publication; however, flood hazard conditions change over time. Communities or private parties may request flood map revisions at any time. Certain types of requests require submission of supporting data. FEMA may also initiate a revision. Revisions may take several forms, including Letters of Map Amendment (LOMAs), Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill (LOMR-Fs), Letters of Map Revision (LOMRs) (referred to collectively as Letters of Map Change (LOMCs)), Physical Map Revisions (PMRs), and FEMA-contracted restudies. These types of revisions are further described below. Some of these types of revisions do not result in the republishing of the FIS Report. To assure that any user is aware of all revisions, it is advisable to contact the community repository of flood-hazard data (shown in Table 31, “Map Repositories”).

### 6.5.1 Letters of Map Amendment

A LOMA is an official revision by letter to an effective NFIP map. A LOMA results from an administrative process that involves the review of scientific or technical data submitted by the owner or lessee of property who believes the property has incorrectly been included in a designated SFHA. A LOMA amends the currently effective FEMA map and establishes that a specific property is not located in a SFHA. A LOMA cannot be issued for properties located on the PFD (primary frontal dune).

To obtain an application for a LOMA, visit <https://www.fema.gov/floodplain-management/letter-map-amendment-loma> and download the form “MT-1 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional and Final Letters of Map Amendment and Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill”. Visit the “Flood Map-Related Fees” section to determine the cost, if any, of applying for a LOMA.

FEMA offers a tutorial on how to apply for a LOMA. The LOMA Tutorial Series can be accessed at <http://www.fema.gov/online-tutorials>.

For more information about how to apply for a LOMA, call the FEMA Map Information eXchange; toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627).

### 6.5.2 Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill

A LOMR-F is an official revision by letter to an effective NFIP map. A LOMR-F states FEMA’s determination concerning whether a structure or parcel has been elevated on fill above the base flood elevation and is, therefore, excluded from the SFHA.

Information about obtaining an application for a LOMR-F can be obtained in the same manner as that for a LOMA, by visiting <https://www.fema.gov/floodplain-management/letter-map->

amendment-loma for the “MT-1 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional and Final Letters of Map Amendment and Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill” or by calling the FEMA Map Information eXchange, toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627). Fees for applying for a LOMR-F, if any, are listed in the “Flood Map-Related Fees” section.

A tutorial for LOMR-F is available at <http://www.fema.gov/online-tutorials>.

### 6.5.3 Letters of Map Revision

A LOMR is an official revision to the currently effective FEMA map. It is used to change flood zones, floodplain and floodway delineations, flood elevations and planimetric features. All requests for LOMRs should be made to FEMA through the chief executive officer of the community, since it is the community that must adopt any changes and revisions to the map. If the request for a LOMR is not submitted through the chief executive officer of the community, evidence must be submitted that the community has been notified of the request.

To obtain an application for a LOMR, visit <https://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-flood-hazard-mapping/mt-2-application-forms-and-instructions> and download the form “MT-2 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional Letters of Map Revision and Letters of Map Revision”. Visit the “Flood Map-Related Fees” section to determine the cost of applying for a LOMR. For more information about how to apply for a LOMR, call the FEMA Map Information eXchange; toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627) to speak to a Map Specialist.

Previously issued mappable LOMCs (including LOMRs) that have been incorporated into the Scott County FIRM are listed in Table 27.

**Table 27: Incorporated Letters of Map Change**

Case Number	Effective Date	Flooding Source	FIRM Panel(s)
14-04-4874P	05-29-2015	Dry Run	21209C0177C 21209C0181C

### 6.5.4 Physical Map Revisions

PMRs are an official republication of a community’s NFIP map to effect changes to base flood elevations, floodplain boundary delineations, regulatory floodways and planimetric features. These changes typically occur as a result of structural works or improvements, annexations resulting in additional flood hazard areas or correction to base flood elevations or SFHAs.

The community’s chief executive officer must submit scientific and technical data to FEMA to support the request for a PMR. The data will be analyzed and the map will be revised if warranted. The community is provided with copies of the revised information and is afforded a review period. When the base flood elevations are changed, a 90-day appeal period is provided. A 6-month adoption period for formal approval of the revised map(s) is also provided.

For more information about the PMR process, please visit <http://www.fema.gov> and visit the “Flood Map Revision Processes” section.

### 6.5.5 Contracted Restudies

The NFIP provides for a periodic review and restudy of flood hazards within a given community. FEMA accomplishes this through a national watershed-based mapping needs assessment strategy, known as the Coordinated Needs Management Strategy (CNMS). The CNMS is used by FEMA to assign priorities and allocate funding for new flood hazard analyses used to update the FIS Report and FIRM. The goal of CNMS is to define the validity of the engineering study data within a mapped inventory. The CNMS is used to track the assessment process, document engineering gaps and their resolution, and aid in prioritization for using flood risk as a key factor for areas identified for flood map updates. Visit [www.fema.gov](http://www.fema.gov) to learn more about the CNMS or contact the FEMA Regional Office listed in Section 8 of this FIS Report.

### 6.5.6 Community Map History

The current FIRM presents flooding information for the entire geographic area of Scott County. Previously, separate FIRMs, Flood Hazard Boundary Maps (FHBM) and/or Flood Boundary and Floodway Maps (FBFM) may have been prepared for the incorporated communities and the unincorporated areas in the county that had identified SFHAs. Current and historical data relating to the maps prepared for the project area are presented in Table 28, "Community Map History." A description of each of the column headings and the source of the date is also listed below.

- *Community Name* includes communities falling within the geographic area shown on the FIRM, including those that fall on the boundary line, nonparticipating communities, and communities with maps that have been rescinded. Communities with No Special Flood Hazards are indicated by a footnote. If all maps (FHBM, FBFM, and FIRM) were rescinded for a community, it is not listed in this table unless SFHAs have been identified in this community.
- *Initial Identification Date (First NFIP Map Published)* is the date of the first NFIP map that identified flood hazards in the community. If the FHBM has been converted to a FIRM, the initial FHBM date is shown. If the community has never been mapped, the upcoming effective date or "pending" (for Preliminary FIS Reports) is shown. If the community is listed in Table 28 but not identified on the map, the community is treated as if it were unmapped.
- *Initial FHBM Effective Date* is the effective date of the first Flood Hazard Boundary Map (FHBM). This date may be the same date as the Initial NFIP Map Date.
- *FHBM Revision Date(s)* is the date(s) that the FHBM was revised, if applicable.
- *Initial FIRM Effective Date* is the date of the first effective FIRM for the community. This is the first effective date that is shown on the FIRM panel.
- *FIRM Revision Date(s)* is the date(s) the FIRM was revised, if applicable. This is the revised date that is shown on the FIRM panel, if applicable. As countywide studies are completed or revised, each community listed should have its FIRM dates updated accordingly to reflect the date of the countywide study. Once the FIRMs exist in countywide format, as Physical Map Revisions (PMR) of FIRM panels within the county are completed, the FIRM Revision Dates in the table for each community affected by the PMR are updated with the date of the PMR, even if the PMR did not revise all the panels

within that community.

The initial effective date for the Scott County FIRMs in countywide format was 01/08/2014

**Table 28: Community Map History**

Community Name	Initial Identification Date (First NFIP Map Published)	Initial FHBM Effective Date	FHBM Revision Date(s)	Initial FIRM Effective Date	FIRM Revision Date(s)
Georgetown, City of	05/24/1974	05/24/1974	N/A	02/04/1981	xx/xx/xxxx 01/08/2014
Sadieville, City of	01/03/1975	01/03/1975	N/A	02/18/1981	xx/xx/xxxx 01/08/2014
Scott County Unincorporated Areas	01/03/1975	01/03/1975	N/A	09/30/1981	xx/xx/xxxx 01/08/2014
Stamping Ground, City of	01/03/1975	01/03/1975	N/A	03/02/1981	xx/xx/xxxx 01/08/2014

## SECTION 7.0 – CONTRACTED STUDIES AND COMMUNITY COORDINATION

### 7.1 Contracted Studies

Table 29 provides a summary of the contracted studies, by flooding source, that are included in this FIS Report.

**Table 29: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report**

Flooding Source	FIS Report Dated	Contractor	Number	Work Completed Date	Affected Communities
North Elkhorn Creek, Royal Springs Creek, Zone A streams	xx/xx/xxxx	AECOM	EMA-2012-CA-5265	May 2015	Georgetown, City of; Scott County Unincorporated Areas
Dry Run, Lane Run, Zone A streams	01/08/2014	AMEC Earth & Environmental, Inc.	EMA-2007-CA-5772	May 2009	Georgetown, City of; Scott County Unincorporated Areas
Eagle Creek	08/18/1980	Booker Associates, Inc.	H-4640	September 1979	Sadieville, City of

**Table 29: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report**

Flooding Source	FIS Report Dated	Contractor	Number	Work Completed Date	Affected Communities
Cane Run, Cane Run Tributary, South Elkhorn Creek	03/30/1981	Booker Associates, Inc.	H-4640	February 1980	Scott County Unincorporated Areas
Locust Fork	09/02/1980	Booker Associates, Inc.	H-4640	September 1979	Stamping Ground, City of

**7.2 Community Meetings**

The dates of the community meetings held for this FIS project and any previous FIS projects are shown in Table 30. These meetings may have previously been referred to by a variety of names (Community Coordination Officer (CCO), Scoping, Discovery, etc.), but all meetings represent opportunities for FEMA, community officials, study contractors, and other invited guests to discuss the planning for and results of the project.

**Table 30: Community Meetings**

Community	FIS Report Dated	Date of Meeting	Meeting Type	Attended By
Georgetown, City of	XX/XX/XXXX	09/12/2012	Discovery	
		xx/xx/xxxx	Resilience	
		xx/xx/xxxx	CCO Open House	
Sadieville, City of	01/08/2014	05/24/2007	Initial CCO	Scott County Unincorporated Areas, Georgetown, City of, FMSM Engineers, KYDOW
		11/04/2009	Final CCO	Scott County Unincorporated Areas, Georgetown, City of, FMSM Engineers, KYDOW
Scott County Unincorporated Areas	XX/XX/XXXX	09/12/2012	Discovery	
		xx/xx/xxxx	Resilience	
		xx/xx/xxxx	CCO Open House	
Stamping Ground, City of	01/08/2014	05/24/2007	Initial CCO	Scott County Unincorporated Areas, Georgetown, City of, FMSM Engineers, KYDOW
		11/04/2009	Final CCO	Scott County Unincorporated Areas, Georgetown, City of, FMSM Engineers, KYDOW

## SECTION 8.0 – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Information concerning the pertinent data used in the preparation of this FIS Report can be obtained by submitting an order with any required payment to the FEMA Engineering Library. For more information on this process, see <http://www.fema.gov>.

Table 31 is a list of the locations where FIRMs for Scott County can be viewed. Please note that the maps at these locations are for reference only and are not for distribution. Also, please note that only the maps for the community listed in the table are available at that particular repository. A user may need to visit another repository to view maps from an adjacent community.

**Table 31: Map Repositories**

Community	Address	City	State	Zip Code
Georgetown, City of	Georgetown-Scott County Planning Commission 230 East Main Street	Georgetown	KY	40324
Sadieville, City of	Georgetown-Scott County Planning Commission 230 East Main Street	Georgetown	KY	40324
Scott County Unincorporated Areas	Georgetown-Scott County Planning Commission 230 East Main Street	Georgetown	KY	40324
Stamping Ground, City of	City Hall 3374 Main Street	Stamping Ground	KY	40379

The National Flood Hazard Layer (NFHL) dataset is a compilation of effective FIRM databases and LOMCs. Together they create a GIS data layer for a State or Territory. The NFHL is updated as studies become effective and extracts are made available to the public monthly. NFHL data can be viewed or ordered from the website shown in Table 32.

Table 32 contains useful contact information regarding the FIS Report, the FIRM, and other relevant flood hazard and GIS data. In addition, information about the state NFIP Coordinator and GIS Coordinator is shown in this table. At the request of FEMA, each Governor has designated an agency of State or territorial government to coordinate that State's or territory's NFIP activities. These agencies often assist communities in developing and adopting necessary floodplain management measures. State GIS Coordinators are knowledgeable about the availability and location of state and local GIS data in their state.

**Table 32: Additional Information**

FEMA and the NFIP	
FEMA and FEMA Engineering Library website	<a href="https://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-flood-hazard-mapping/engineering-library">https://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-flood-hazard-mapping/engineering-library</a>
NFIP website	<a href="http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program">http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program</a>
NFHL Dataset	<a href="http://msc.fema.gov">http://msc.fema.gov</a>
FEMA Region IV	Federal Emergency Management Agency, 3003 Chamblee Tucker Road, Atlanta, GA 30341 (770) – 220 - 5200
Other Federal Agencies	
USGS website	<a href="http://www.usgs.gov">http://www.usgs.gov</a>
Hydraulic Engineering Center website	<a href="http://www.hec.usace.army.mil">http://www.hec.usace.army.mil</a>
State Agencies and Organizations	
State NFIP Coordinator	Alex J. VanPelt KY Division of Water 200 Fair Oaks Lane Frankfort, KY 40601 502-564-3410 ext. 4952 <a href="mailto:alex.vanpelt@ky.gov">alex.vanpelt@ky.gov</a>
State GIS Coordinator	Kent Anness Statewide GIS Coordinator 100 Fair Oaks Lane Frankfort, KY 40601 Phone: 502-564-6268 <a href="mailto:kent.anness@ky.gov">kent.anness@ky.gov</a>
Statewide Regulatory Coordinator	Carey Johnson Statewide Regulatory Coordinator 200 Fair Oaks Lane, Fourth Floor Frankfort, KY 40601 Phone: 502-564-3410 <a href="mailto:carey.johnson@ky.gov">carey.johnson@ky.gov</a>

**SECTION 9.0 – BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES**

Table 33 includes sources used in the preparation of and cited in this FIS Report as well as additional studies that have been conducted in the study area.

**Table 33: Bibliography and References**

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	Publication Title, "Article," Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
CED, 2009	Cabinet for Economic Development	<i>Kentucky Quick Facts</i>	Cabinet for Economic Development	Kentucky	2009	<a href="http://www.thinkkentucky.com">http://www.thinkkentucky.com</a>
FEMA, 1977	FEMA	<i>Flood Insurance Study, Woodford County, Kentucky</i>	FEMA	Washington, D.C.	December, 1977	
FEMA, 1981	FEMA	<i>Flood Insurance Study, Scott County Unincorporated Areas, Kentucky</i>	FEMA	Washington, D.C.	March 30, 1981	
FEMA, Georgetown, 1980	FEMA	<i>Flood Insurance Study, City of Georgetown, Kentucky</i>	FEMA	Washington, D.C.	August 4, 1980	
FEMA, Sadieville, 1980	FEMA	<i>Flood Insurance Study, City of Sadieville, Kentucky</i>	FEMA	Washington, D.C.	August 18, 1980	
FEMA, Stamping Grounds, 1981	FEMA	<i>Flood Insurance Study, City of Stamping Grounds, Kentucky</i>	FEMA	Washington, D.C.	September 2, 1980	
Hydrology, 2005	Subcommittee on Hydrology: Hydrologic Frequency Analysis Work Group	<i>Bulletin 17-B Guidelines for Determining Flood Flow Frequency: Frequently Asked Questions</i>	Advisory Committee on Water Information		2005	

**Table 33: Bibliography and References**


Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	Publication Title, "Article," Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
KG, 2010	Kentucky Geography Network	<i>Geoportal</i>			December 29, 2010	<a href="http://kygisserver.ky.gov/geoport/catalog/main/home.page">http://kygisserver.ky.gov/geoport/catalog/main/home.page</a>
M.H. Harden & Associates 1978	M.H. Harden and Associates, Inc.	<i>Topographic Survey, Scott County Kentucky, Scale 1:4800</i>			April 1978	
Photo Science, 2005	Photo Science, Inc.	<i>2 ft. contours, scale 1" = 100' (City) and 5 ft. contours, scale 1" = 200' (County) derived from photography. Horizontal datum is NAD83, Geoid Model is GEOID03, US Feet, and vertical datum is NAVD88.</i>	Photo Science, Inc.	Lexington, Kentucky	April 9, 2005	
Photo Science, 2012	Photo Science, Inc.	<i>2 ft. contours, scale 1" = 200' derived from photography. Horizontal datum is NAD83, Geoid Model is GEOID03, US Feet, and vertical datum is NAVD88.</i>	Photo Science, Inc.	Lexington, Kentucky	2011	Photo Science, Inc.
USACE, 1975	USACE, Louisville District	<i>Detailed Project Report, Small Flood Control Project, Town Fork, Nicholasville, Kentucky</i>			November 1975	
USACE, 1976	Hydrologic Engineering Center	<i>HEC-2 Water Surface Profiles</i>		Davis, California	November 1976	

**Table 33: Bibliography and References**

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	Publication Title, "Article," Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
USACE, 2004	Hydrologic Engineering Center	River Analysis System, HEC-RAS, Version 3.1.2 Computer Program		Davis, California	April 2004	
USACE, 2010	Hydrologic Engineering Center	<i>HEC-RAS, River Analysis System User's Manual, Version 4.1</i>		Davis, California	2010	
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey	<i>Water Resources Data for Kentucky</i>	University of Kentucky	Lexington, Kentucky		
USGS, 1976	U.S. Geological Survey	<i>Technique for Estimating Magnitude and Frequency of Floods in Kentucky, Water Resources Investigations 76-62</i>			November 1976	
USGS, 2003	U.S. Geological Survey	<i>Estimating the Magnitude of Peak Flows for Streams in Kentucky for Selected Recurrence Intervals, Water Resources Investigations Report 03-4180</i>	Hodgkins, G.A. and Martin, G.R.		2003	
USIACWD, 1982	U.S. Interagency Advisory Committee on Water Data	<i>Guidelines for Determining Flood Flow Frequency, Bulletin 17-B of the Hydrology Subcommittee</i>	Office of Water Data Coordination, U.S. Geological Survey	Reston, VA	1982	

**Table 33: Bibliography and References**

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	Publication Title, "Article," Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
USWRC, 1976	U.S. Water Resources Council	<i>Guidelines for Determining Flood Flow Frequency, Bulletin No. 17</i>	Hydrology Committee		March 1976	
Watershed Concepts, 2008	Watershed Concepts, a Division of Hayes, Seay, Mattern & Mattern, Inc.	<i>Watershed Information System (WISE) Computer Software, Version 4.1.0</i>	Watershed Concepts		2008	




FLOOD PROFILES

CANE RUN

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS

01P




FLOOD PROFILES

CANE RUN

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS




FLOOD PROFILES

CANE RUN

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS




FLOOD PROFILES

CANE RUN

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY


SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS

04P



FLOOD PROFILES  
CANE RUN TRIBUTARY

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**SCOTT COUNTY, KY**  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS




FLOOD PROFILES

CANE RUN TRIBUTARY

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS




FLOOD PROFILES

DRY RUN

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS


07P



FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**SCOTT COUNTY, KY**  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS

**08P**




FLOOD PROFILES

DRY RUN

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY


SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS

09P



**FLOOD PROFILES**  
**EAGLE CREEK**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**SCOTT COUNTY, KY**  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS




**FLOOD PROFILES**

**EAGLE CREEK**


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**



**FLOOD PROFILES**  
**EAGLE CREEK**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**SCOTT COUNTY, KY**  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS




FLOOD PROFILES

LANES RUN


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS



FLOOD PROFILES  
LANES RUN

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS




FLOOD PROFILES

LANES RUN


FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS




**FLOOD PROFILES**  
**LOCUST FORK**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**SCOTT COUNTY, KY**  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS



**FLOOD PROFILES**  
**LOCUST FORK**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**SCOTT COUNTY, KY**  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS




**LEGEND**

-  0.2% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD
-  1% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD
-  2% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD
-  10% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOOD
-  STREAM BED
-  CROSS SECTION LOCATION

**FLOOD PROFILES  
LOCUST FORK**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
**SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**



\* Limit of Detailed Study is 11,217 feet downstream of the Franklin/Scott County boundary


FLOOD PROFILES

NORTH ELKHORN CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS






\* Limit of Detailed Study is 11,217 feet downstream of the Franklin/Scott County boundary

FLOOD PROFILES

NORTH ELKHORN CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS




STREAM DISTANCE IN FEET ABOVE LIMIT OF DETAILED STUDY

\* Limit of Detailed Study is 11,217 feet downstream of the Franklin/Scott County boundary

FLOOD PROFILES  
NORTH ELKHORN CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS






\* Limit of Detailed Study is 11,217 feet downstream of the Franklin/Scott County boundary

FLOOD PROFILES

NORTH ELKHORN CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS




\* Limit of Detailed Study is 11,217 feet downstream of the Franklin/Scott County boundary

FLOOD PROFILES  
NORTH ELKHORN CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY  
SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS






\* Limit of Detailed Study is 11,217 feet downstream of the Franklin/Scott County boundary

FLOOD PROFILES

NORTH ELKHORN CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS



FLOOD PROFILES


NORTH ELKHORN CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS

\* Limit of Detailed Study is 11,217 feet downstream of the Franklin/Scott County boundary





\* Limit of Detailed Study is 11,217 feet downstream of the Franklin/Scott County boundary

STREAM DISTANCE IN FEET ABOVE LIMIT OF DETAILED STUDY


FLOOD PROFILES

NORTH ELKHORN CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS






FLOOD PROFILES

ROYAL SPRINGS CREEK

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS

24hP



**FLOOD PROFILES**

**SOUTH ELKHORN CREEK**

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

**SCOTT COUNTY, KY  
AND INCORPORATED AREAS**